



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_7202
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Plant Spices (Thymus vulgaris, Murraya koenigii, Ocimum gratissimum, Piper guineense) on Haemoglobin Glycation, Selected Enzymes and Red Blood Cell Indices in Alloxan-Induced Diabetic Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors talks about late diabetes complications but they do not evaluate any AGE. I think the paper is sound but does not support completely the notion that the plant extract protect from late complications, even if they noted an amelioration in glycosylated Hb, which is in fact an early product of glycation. The authors should better explain the concentration of the extract (lane 83: why kg of body weight?) The authors should better explain the reasons why they evaluated LDH (plasmatic? See line 199) and G6PDH and red blood cell parameters as marker of diabetic sequelae.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	English is somewhere not really sound; the manuscript should have been read and corrected by a native English speaker	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Major revision	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nicola Traverso
Department, University & Country	Dept Experimental Medicine, University of Genova, Genova, Italy