



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_8179
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidant activity phenolics flavonoids and proanthocyanidins content of Senecio anteuphorbium
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	You need more information of compounds and plant on introduction. No comment reference of the table 3. The tables are very small that do not admit of details of the information. Mean very little information of the solvents and the interaction with temperature. Missing quantity you place in each level extraction for to see differences in performance. Name the author of the method used not only to put the citation. Improve writing section of plant material. Improve abbreviations in tables (GAE:EAG ?). Explain more clearly what the positive correlation between the three different compounds. In line 36 is Senecio. In Line 41 are solvents.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rojano-Vilchis Nadia Asseneth
Department, University & Country	Instituto of Chemistry, National Autonomous University of Mexico, UNAM, Mexico DF, Mexico.