



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_7825
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of Serum Complement Activity in Three Species of Crocodilians from Southeast Mexico
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please see the Ms with the comments in the file.doc attached. The work has many problems. There are some basic mistakes such as the use of serum or plasma as they were the same material. If plasma was employed which was the anticoagulant used???? Moreover, complement is measured in serum. The experimental conditions were different without justification for example for centrifugation force, period of incubation, final concentration of SRBC (1% or 2%???) final volume of reaction mixture and so on. Please revise the English language in all the Ms. References were also revised.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I think the work need a deep revision in order to be published. There are some works from the McNeese University (cited by the authors), done in a more robust form and better written. I can not explain why the Ms was sent in a different way. I tried to do my best to help the authors.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer