



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_12237
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Serum Level of Neutrophil Elastase, Superoxide Dismutase and Nitric Oxide In COPD Patients: Correlation With Lung Function Test
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors evaluated the levels of serum neutrophil elastase, nitric oxide and SOD in COPD patients. Therefore, they also investigate the correlation between markers of airflow obstruction (FEV1 % predicted) with the serum level of NE, NO and SOD in COPD patients.. Thus, this study appear to be interesting in the clinical area; and I suggest major revision to improve the work	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Authors should review small errors of concordance and typing. - The introduction is somewhat confusing and focuses on aspects that are not addressed in the study. - The study aims were not well defined, are confused and have been underutilized in the article. The authors can improve their results, concluding and discussing their data more deeply. - No need that the authors describe the abbreviations in tables; it can be put in the legends. - As suggestions, the authors may relate to age, gender and disease severity to the factors evaluated (NE, NO, SOD) . Furthermore, correlations shown in the study were poorly discussed in the article.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Pará, Brazil