



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_11120
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of dietary supplementation of Turmeric (Curcuma Longa) and/or Black cumin seed (Nigella Sativa) in streptozotocin induced diabetic rat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. First I should mention that this article is full of grammatical errors and certainly needs to be revised by a professional medical editor. 2. This article does not have any novel message to convey. The beneficial effects of turmeric and black cumin have already been shown in several animal and human studies. 3. The way the data are presented in the tables is confusing and not optimal. I suggest the authors to consult a biostatistician to improve the presentation of their data.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Instead of writing $P < 0.05$, it is better to mention the exact p-value levels.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer