

Original Research Article

Assessment of ApoB and TG/HDL-C ratio as indicators of insulin resistance determined by HOMA IR

Objectives:

The aim of the present study was to assess Apolipoprotein B (Apo B) and Triglyceride/High Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (TG/HDL-C) ratio as indicators of insulin resistance (IR) with Homeostasis Model of assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA IR) in metabolic syndrome patients.

Study design: Observational and prospective

Place and duration of study: The study was carried out in Department of Biochemistry and Department of Medicine, MGM Medical College, Navi-Mumbai from March 2012 to June 2013

Methodology

Total 110 normal subjects and patients were recruited in the study after obtaining informed written consent. They were divided into two groups. Group I was healthy controls (n=50) and Group II was subjects with MS (n=60) as per NCEP ATP III criteria. Anthropometric measurements & biochemical analysis was performed in all subjects. IR was defined by HOMA IR. Simple & multiple regression analysis was used to obtain relationship between IR (HOMA IR) using TG/HDL-C (model -1) and Apo B (Model-2) as variables.

Result

There was statistically significant difference in anthropometric, glycemic and lipid parameters in control and study group ($p < 0.0001$). The regression model between HOMA IR and TG/HDL-C ratio showed positive correlation, ($r = 0.29, p < 0.05$) while between HOMA IR & Apo B also showed significantly positive correlation ($0.41, p < 0.001$). But combined multivariate analysis indicated that Apo B is a better predictor of IR as compared to TG/HDL-C ratio.

Conclusion

We conclude in our study that ApoB is more significant and a better predictor of IR than TG/HDL-C and hence can be adopted in routine laboratory practice as a lipid marker for prediction of insulin resistance (IR). So that patients with metabolic syndrome may be identified at an early stage.

1. INTRODUCTION

Insulin resistance (IR), is a major finding in Metabolic syndrome (MS) [1] and a contributing factor for risk of development of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular diseases (CVD). Therefore, a reliable measure of insulin resistance is important for investigating its link with metabolic syndrome (MS). [2,3] For this, the homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) [4], is widely accepted method as an

alternative to the glucose clamp which is laborious. HOMA-IR is comparable to the glucose clamp technique, in terms of precision but not accuracy and hence it is possible to study large number of subjects using a single measurement of glucose and insulin in fasting state [5]. Since it is empirical, additional tests have been used for assessment of IR.[6]

Another alternative proposed for the identification of IR is determination of fasting triglycerides (TG) and glucose.[7] Since elevated triglyceride is one of the NCEP ATP III criteria for MS, hypertriglyceridemic state which may accompany either normal and impaired fasting glucose should promote surveillance for IR.[8,9]

Recently Triglycerides/High density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) ratio has been proposed as one of the indices to evaluate the atherogenic state due to the association between dyslipidemia and IR. [10,11] IR significantly impacts lipoprotein metabolism and is associated with increased TG levels and depressed HDL levels.[12,13]

But TG/HDL-C ratio is known to be affected by gender and ethnic variabilities. Beside, TG itself may also be influenced by biological variabilities such as lifestyle, medications, and metabolic abnormalities. Hence TG/HDL-C ratio may not be a stable variable of IR.[14] Recent studies have suggested the use of Apo B as a direct marker of atherogenic state & IR.[14,19]

ApoB is a structural protein of atherogenic lipoproteins such as very-low-density lipoprotein [VLDL], intermediate-density lipoprotein [IDL] as well as both large, buoyant low density lipoproteins (LDL-C) cholesterol and small, dense LDL.[15,16] Cardiovascular risk is more directly related to the number and size of circulating atherogenic particles than to the concentration of cholesterol in these particles.[17,18] In hypertriglyceridemic patients, the vast majority of total plasma Apo B is associated with LDL-C, making Apo B100 an effective surrogate for LDL particle concentration.[19]

Apo B may be particularly relevant in the assessment of insulin resistant states, such as diabetes and metabolic syndrome, as patients with these disorders often manifest normal LDL-C values, but have a preponderance of small, dense LDL-C particles and higher Apo B.

Hence the study was undertaken to compare the existing model of HOMA IR with both TG/HDL-C ratio and Apo B levels for assessment of insulin resistance in MS patients.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was an observational prospective study approved by Institutional ethics review committee. A total of 110 subjects between age group of 35-65 years were recruited for the study after obtaining their informed

consent. The subjects were divided in to two groups. Group I (n=50) included healthy controls and Group II (n=60) included subjects with metabolic syndrome. The diagnosis of metabolic syndrome was based on NCEP ATP III criteria which is based on presence of three or more of the risk factors such as: Waist circumference: men > 102 cm (40 in); women > 88 cm (35 in); Triglycerides $\geq$ 150 mg/dl; HDL cholesterol: men < 40 mg/dl; women < 50 mg/dl; Blood pressure $\geq$ 130/ $\geq$ 85 mmHg; Fasting glucose $\geq$ 110 mg/dl. All the subjects were matched for age, gender and were non smokers, non alcoholic and of same socioeconomic status. The subjects with chronic diseases of kidney, liver, cancer and diabetes mellitus were excluded from study.

Measurements of height and weight were done with the subjects standing, without shoes and with light clothing. BMI was calculated as weight in kg divided by height in meter squared. Waist circumference (WC) was measured at the level of the umbilicus with a tape in centimeter scale.

Venous blood samples were collected after 12 hrs of fasting by closed collection system. For fasting plasma glucose samples were collected in fluoride vacutainer and for serum lipid profile and Apo B samples were collected in plain vacutainer. All the samples were processed on the same day for biochemical analysis. Fasting blood glucose, TG, Total and HDL cholesterol levels were measured by enzymatic methods using kits supplied by Beckman and Coulter. All the assays were performed on fully automated analyzer, AU 480 of Beckman and Coulter. TG values of Group-II subjects were between 150 to 192 mg/dl.

LDL-C and VLDL values were obtained by using Friedewald's formula.[20] Serum Apo B levels were estimated by immunoturbidometry method using kits supplied by Beckman Coulter. Fasting plasma insulin was measured by ELISA technique using kits supplied by Immunoshop India Pvt Ltd. Insulin Resistance was determined by means of homeostasis model assessment index (Homeostasis Model Assessment–Insulin Resistance, HOMA–IR) using the formula,

$$\text{HOMA-IR} = \text{fasting insulin } (\mu\text{IU/ml}) \times \text{fasting glucose (mg/dl)} / 405. [21]$$

The TG/HDL-C ratio was calculated using the formula: Fasting TG (mg/dl)/HDL-cholesterol (mg/dl).

3. Statistical analysis

Data are presented as means $\pm$ sd, student *t*-test was used to compare age, BMI, W/H ratio, SBP, DBP, FPG, FPI, Lipid profile and Apo B levels. HOMA IR was correlated with both TG/HDL-C ratio and Apo B and Pearson correlation coefficient was found. Multiple regression analysis was performed when appropriate. Statistical Package for Social Sciences (version 17.0) was used for calculations. *P* values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

4.Result:

Table 1 shows anthropometric and clinical characteristics of control and study group (mean and standard deviation).

There was significant differences in the values of anthropometric parameters ($p < 0.0001$). The BMI was between 25 to 30 kg/m². The average W/H ratio exceeded the NCEP ATP III criteria in both the genders. The difference in fasting plasma glucose and LDL-C was also statistically significant in control and study group ($p < 0.0001$). The HOMA IR was elevated in metabolic syndrome group compared to controls. The mean high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) level was significantly lower in group II ($p < 0.0001$) whereas Apo B levels were significantly higher ($p < 0.0001$). Regression analysis was performed for patients using HOMA IR as dependent variable and TG/HDL-C as an independent variable in first model. The model showed positive correlation with r value as 0.29 which is statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) as shown in graph 1. In Model 2, Apo B was used as independent variable with HOMA IR Which also showed positive correlation ($r=0.41$, $P<0.001$) with statistical significance in graph 2. The combined multivariate analysis of HOMA IR with TG/HDL-C ratio and Apo B which is depicted in table 2 showed that Apo B variable is statistically significant ($p< 0.005$) than TG/HDL-C ratio. The regression model of control group did not reveal any statistical significance.

5.DISCUSSION:

In our study we have used HOMA IR, the widely accepted Model for assessment of IR and compared lipid profile markers TG/HDL-C and Apo B , as predictor of IR.

The TG/HDL-C ratio was calculated in metabolic syndrome patients and control group and we found that TG/HDL-C ratio was higher in the study group. There was a significant positive correlation between HOMA IR and TG/HDL-C ratio ($r=0.29$; $p<0.05$) in the study group, refer to graph 1. The regression model showed R^2 value of 0.087, indicating 8.7 percent variation in the HOMA IR due to TG/HDL ratio. We also performed regression analysis for control group and we did not get any significant correlation between HOMA IR and TG/HDL-C or Apo B.

Our results are in accordance with Marotta et al [22] and Brehm et al [23] who demonstrated positive correlation between TG/HDL-C ratio and insulin resistance, confirming that TG/HDL-C ratio predicts insulin resistance in metabolic syndrome. But an independent study by Knight et al [24] stated that, TG/HDL-C ratio fails to predict insulin resistance in African American women.

The predictive level and significance of correlation between HOMA IR and Apo B was assessed by regression analysis taking HOMA IR as dependent variable. As shown in graph 2, a significant positive correlation ($r=0.41$; $p < 0.001$) was obtained between HOMA IR and Apo B in metabolic syndrome

147 patients. The R^2 value showed by regression model was 0.17 showing 17 percent variation in HOMA IR
148 due to Apo B levels.

149
150 When combined multivariate analysis of TG/HDL-C and Apo B with HOMA IR was performed, the
151 regression model indicated that TG/HDL-C ratio is a non significant ($p > 0.05$) variable whereas Apo B
152 was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) as shown in table 2. Most of the studies have compared HOMA IR
153 with either TG alone or with TG/HDL-C ratio.[25,21] Some studies have estimated LDL and Apo B levels
154 or Apo B to Apo AI ratio in metabolic syndrome or diabetic patients. We have performed combined model
155 of HOMA IR with either TG/HDL-C or Apo B studied by multivariate analysis. The results of our study
156 support that measurement of Apo B is superior to TG/HDL-C ratio. Although the TG/HDL-C ratio is cheap
157 and easy to calculate [26] and is also a good predictor of LDL size. However, it does not identify most of
158 the patients with hyper ApoB. Moreover, in addition to requirement of fasting state it shows high
159 biological variability inherent to triglycerides[27], as reflected by the wide range of recommended cutoff
160 points and it necessitates fasting state. On the other hand, Apo B reflects the total number of atherogenic
161 particles and its determination can also be made in non fasting state.[28,29] Its biological variability is
162 also known to be lower than other lipid components.

163
164 Thus, our study shows that measurement of Apo B levels is a stable, predictive atherogenic biomarker
165 than TG/HDL-C ratio in metabolic syndrome patients with insulin resistance. Miller et al [14] in his review
166 has compared the predictive value of Apo B with non-HDL-C for CVD outcomes and reported that Apo B
167 has been commonly identified as either superior marker or equivalent to non-HDL-C. While non-HDL-C
168 alone has been more predictive only in limited cases.[30] Yet in studies that demonstrated statistically
169 significant differences between Apo B and non-HDL-C, the differences in point estimates were often quite
170 small and therefore unlikely to have a major impact of day-to-day clinical practice.[31]

171
172 As per NCEP ATP III final guidelines of 2002 apolipoprotein B is recommended as a potential marker for
173 MS and it has been proposed as an alternative to LDL cholesterol. Thus, Apo B may be linked to
174 metabolic risk factor clustering phenomena. As Non-HDL cholesterol is significantly correlated with
175 apolipoprotein B, it can serve as a “surrogate” for metabolic syndrome.[32]

176
177 This study is limited by the fact that HOMA IR index was not correlated with insulin sensitivity by the gold
178 standard method such as euglycemic clamp.

179 6.CONCLUSION

180
181 In conclusion, we propose that Apo B estimation be used to predict long term lipid control for diagnosing
182 IR in line with the current use of HbA1C as long term glycemic control marker.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by MGM Medical College and Hoapital, Kamothe, Navi-Mumbai, India. We acknowledge Mr. Pandurang Tihare for helping with data analysis.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no competing interests.

CONSENT

Authors declare that written informed consent was obtained from subjects (normal controls) and patients before their participation in the study.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

All authors hereby declare that approval from Institutional ethics review committee was obtained and study was carried out as per standards.

REFERENCES:

1. Martin BC, Warram JH, Krolewski AS, Bergman RN, Soeldner JS, Kahn CR: Role of glucose and insulin resistance in development of type 2 diabetes mellitus: results of a 25-year follow-up study. Lancet. 1992; 340:925-929.
2. Hanley AJ, Williams K, Stern MP, Haffner SM: Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance in relation to the incidence of cardiovascular disease: the San Antonio Heart Study. Diabetes Care. 2002; 25:1177-1184.
3. Rutter MK, Meigs JB, Sullivan LM, D'Agostino RB Sr, Wilson PW: Insulin resistance, the metabolic syndrome, and incident cardiovascular events in the Framingham Offspring Study. Diabetes. 2005; 54:3252-3257.
4. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC: Turner, Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia. 1985; 28:412-419.
5. Bonora E, Targher G, Alberiche M, Bonadonna RC, Saggiani F, Zenere MB, Monauni T, Muggeo M: Homeostasis model assessment closely mirrors the glucose clamp technique in the assessment of insulin sensitivity: studies in subjects with various degrees of glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity. Diabetes Care. 2000; 23(1):57-63.

6. Wallace TM, Levy JC, Matthews DR: Use and abuse of HOMA modeling. *Diabetes Care*.2004; 27:1487-1495.
7. Simental-Mendía LE, Rodríguez-Morán M, Guerrero-Romero F. The product of fasting glucose and triglycerides as surrogate for identifying insulin resistance in apparently healthy subjects. *Metabolic Syndrome Related Disorders*. 2008;6:299-304
- 8 Haffner SM, Stern MP, Hazuda HP, Mitchell BD, Patterson JK. Cardiovascular risk factors in confirmed prediabetic individuals: does the clock for coronary heart disease start ticking before the onset of clinical diabetes? *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 1990;263:2893–2898
9. D’Agostino RB Jr, Hamman RF, Karter AJ, Mykkanen L, Wagenknecht LE, Haffner SM; Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study Investigators. Cardiovascular disease risk factors predict the development of type 2 diabetes: the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. *Diabetes Care*. 2004;27:2234 –2240.
10. McLaughlin T, Abbasi F, Cheal K, Chu J, Lamendola C, Reaven G: Use of metabolic markers to identify overweight individuals who are insulin resistant. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2003; 139:802-809.
11. McLaughlin T, Reaven G, Abbasi F, Lamendola C, Saad M, Waters D, Simon J, Krauss RM: Is there a simple way to identify insulin-resistant individuals at increased risk of cardiovascular disease? *American Journal of Cardiology*. 2005;96:399-404.
12. M. Laakso and E. Barrett-Connor, “Asymptomatic hyperglycemia is associated with lipid and lipoprotein changes favoring atherosclerosis,” *Arteriosclerosis*.1989;9(5):665–672.
13. B. V. Howard, “Insulin resistance and lipid metabolism,” *American Journal of Cardiology*. 1999; 84: 28J–32J.
14. Michael Miller, Neil J. Stone, Christie Ballantyne, Vera Bittner, Michael H. Criqui, Henry N. Ginsberg, Anne Carol Goldberg Triglycerides and Cardiovascular Disease: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, 2013;2293-2305.
15. Marcovina S, Packard CJ. Measurement and meaning of apolipoprotein AI and apolipoprotein B plasma levels. *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 2006;259:437–446.

16. Walldius G, Jungner I. The apoB/apoA-I ratio: a strong, new risk factor for cardiovascular disease and a target for lipid-lowering therapy—a review of the evidence. *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 2006;259:493–519.
17. Barter PJ, Ballantyne CM, Carmena R, et al. Apo B versus cholesterol in estimating cardiovascular risk and in guiding therapy: report of the thirty-person/ten-country panel. *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 2006;259:247–258
18. Kathiresan S, Otvos JD, Sullivan LM, et al. Increased small lowdensitylipoprotein particle number: a prominent feature of the metabolic syndrome in the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2006;113:20–29.
19. Michael H. Davidson. Apolipoprotein Measurements: Is More Widespread Use Clinically Indicated? *Clinical Cardiology*. 2009;32, 9, 482–486.
20. Friedewald W.T., Levy R.I., and Fredrickson D.S.: Estimation of the concentration of low-density Lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clinical Chemistry*. 1972;18:499–502.
21. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC: Turner, Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 1985, 28:412-419
22. Teodoro Marotta, Barbara F. Russo² and L. Aldo Ferrara. Triglyceride-to-HDL-cholesterol Ratio and Metabolic Syndrome as Contributors to Cardiovascular Risk in Overweight Patients. *Obesity*. 2010; 18:1608–1613
23. Attila Brehm, Georg Pfeiler, Giovanni Pacini, Heinrich Vierhapper, and Michael Roden. Relationship between Serum Lipoprotein Ratios and Insulin Resistance in Obesity. *Clinical Chemistry*. 2004; 50(12):2316–2322
24. Michael G. Knight, Julia H. Goedecke, Madia Ricks, Juliet Evans, Naomi S. Levitt, Marshall K. Tulloch-Reid, Anne E. Sumner. The TG/HDL ratio does not predict insulin resistance in overweight women of African descent: A study of south African, African Americans and West African Women. *Ethnicity & Disease*, 2011; 21, 490-494

25. Chávez A.G, Simental-Mendía L.E, Elizondo-Argueta S. Elevated triglycerides/HDL-cholesterol ratio associated with insulin resistance. *Circulation* 2011;79:115-119
26. Wanger A.M, Perez A.P., Sanchez-Quesada J.L., Ordonez-Linos J. Triglycerides-to-HDL Cholesterol ratio in the Dyslipidemic state classification of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetic Care*. 2005;28(7):1798-1800.
27. Ortolá J, Castineiras MJ, Fuentes-Arderiu X: Biological variation data applied to the selection of serum lipid ratios used as risk markers of coronary heart disease. *Clinical Chemistry*. 1992; 38:56 –59.
28. Sniderman AD, Furberg CD, Keech A, Roeters van Lennep JE, Frohlich J, Jungner I, Walldius G: Apolipoproteins versus lipids as indices of coronary risk and as targets for statin treatment. *Lancet*. 2003 361:777–780.
29. Walldius G, Jungner I, Holme I, Aastveit AH, Kolar W, Steiner E: High apolipoprotein B, low apolipoprotein A-I, and improvement in the prediction of fatal myocardial infarction (AMORIS study): a prospective study. *Lancet*. 2001 358:2026–2033.
30. Wiesbauer F, Blessberger H, Azar D, Goliasch G, Wagner O, Gerhold L, Huber K, Widhalm K, Abdolvahab F, Sodeck G, Maurer G, Schillinger M. Familial-combined hyperlipidaemia in very young myocardial infarction survivors (< or = 40 years of age). *European Heart Journal*. 2009;30:1073–1079
31. De Graaf J, Couture P, Sniderman A. A diagnostic algorithm for the atherogenic apolipoprotein B dyslipoproteinemias. *Nature Clinical Practice Endocrinology & Metabolism*. 2008;4:608–618.
32. National Cholesterol Education Program. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute National Institutes of Health NIH Publication No. 02-5215. 2002.

330

331 **Table.1 Showing descriptive and comparative statistics for different groups by student t test**

Parameters	Group I	Group II	P Value
SBP(mm/Hg)	115 ± 6.98	134 ± 2.86	0.0001
DBP (mm/Hg)	76.7 ± 4.98	96 ± 0.60	0.0001
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.3 ± 1.39	29.6 ± 0.53	0.0001
W/H ratio	0.802 ±0.03	0.99±0.01	0.0001
Fasting Plasma Glucose mg/dl	88.1 ± 5.06	113. ± 1.19	0.0001
Fasting Plasma insulin µIU/ml	10.2 ± 3.2	22 ± 0.11	0.0001
HOMA IR	2.23 ± 0.69	6.14 ± 0.09	0.0001
Cholesterol mg/dl	146.3±10.59	174 ±15	0.0001
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	110±17.2	189 ±7.5	0.0001
HDL Cholestrol mg/dl	44.5±3.58	40±0.6	0.0001
VLDL mg/dl	21.59±2.72	36±2.1	0.0001
LDL cholesterol mg/dl	83.92±14.2	103±22.2	0.0001
Apo B mg/dl	82.615±15.34	108.96±23.06	0.0001
TG/HDL-C ratio	2.4653± 0.2094	4.554± 0.152	0.0001

332 Data is expressed as mean ± sd

333 P<0.01 is statistically significant

334

335


336

Table 2 Linear Regression Model

Dependent Variable: HOMA IR

Variable	Reg. Coefficient	SE	P-value	Correlation Coefficient	R-square
TG/HDL-C	0.180	0.114	0.120	0.46	0.21
APO B	0.014	0.005	0.005		

337



338

339

Graph 1 showing positive correlation between HOMA IR (Y-axis) and TG/HDL-C (X-axis) ratio in subjects with metabolic syndrome(r=0.29; P<0.05)

340

341

342

343


344

345

346

347

348



349

350 Graph 2 showing positive correlation between HOMA IR (Y-axis) and Apo B (X-axis) ratio in
351 subjects with metabolic syndrome(r=0.41; P<0.001)

352

353

354