



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_15784
Title of the Manuscript:	Kinetics For The Inhibition of Serum Acetylthiocholin Esterase Activity by Some New Phenobarbital Derivatives.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This manuscript brings to light information about the inhibitory activity of novel Phenobarbital-derived compounds on the cholinesterase activity in vitro. It is an interesting study and the subject of this paper is worthy of investigation. Despite the results are apparently ok, the presentation of data is poorly conducted. A strong revision e edition of figures and tables are necessary. There are also several spelling and wording errors throughout the manuscript, which deserves an accurate edition as well. Regarding the experiments, did authors tested butyrythiocholine as substrate? The discussion should be improved by including a comparison between these novel compounds and currently available CHE reversible inhibitors.	I change the weak places in my paper and what you pointed to it with my respect. I change it . No ,I did not use it. I do it as I can.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		