



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2015_IJBcRR_16081
Title of the Manuscript:	INVITRO ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY AND INVIVO ACUTE TOXICOLOGICAL STUDIES OF NELSONIA CAMPESTRIS AQUEOUS LEAF EXTRACT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The manuscript was clearly written. However, there is still some room to improve in terms of expressions. 100mg/kg, 500mg/kg, 1000mg/kg, 2000mg/kg and 500mg/kg. mg/kg should be written once. 2. Write the full names of abbreviations once at least in the manuscript. E.g EDTA etc. 3. The Author Should Interpret the results of phytochemical analysis, Bacterial Susceptibility Tests, MIC etc.	Correction effected Correction effected Results interpreted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In order to reflect that this paper is based on the recent researches, we need authors to update the references. New references can reflect that this paper is in today's level. If the references are old, readers may consider that this work still stays in the past level. So please try your best to update the references and make sure two thirds of the references are in recent 5 years (2009-2014).	References updated



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Take a look at the references format of the manuscript. Format should be equivalent though out the manuscript.	Correction effected
---	---	---------------------