



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2015_IJBcRR_16890
Title of the Manuscript:	Fisetin prevented amyloid formation of insulin and attenuated fibril-induced cytotoxicity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	This article is quite interesting. Just some minor points need to be clarified: 1. Figure 2 and 4 need error bars and also significant difference signs. 2. Authors should specified how many time of each experiment was performed (e.g. triplicate) 3. It will be more interesting if authors put the examples of fruit or vegetable which contain fisetin. The natural samples of those contained fisetin should be used to evaluate with similar experiments as described in this manuscript.	Reply: 1. Error bars have been added in Fig. 2 and 4. Significant difference signs are not necessary for the ThT and ANS curves. 2. The experiments were performed in triplicate. Please see 2.7 'Statistical analyses'. 3. It is interesting to investigate natural samples containing fisetin. It can be another work because natural samples always contain mixture of flavonoids.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		