



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2015_IJBcRR_17219
Title of the Manuscript:	Macronutrients and Micronutrients profile of some underutilized beans in South Western Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Corrections: 1. Throughout the manuscript: "ml" and "mls" units should be change to "mL" 2. Throughout the manuscript: "fig" and "table" words should be change to "Fig" and "Table" 3. Throughout the manuscript: "Human" word should be change to "human" 4. In Introduction, Page 2, Line 49: "...the World health Organization..." should be change to "...the World Health Organization..." 5. In Materials and Methods, Page 2, Line 74-82: All the chemicals purity should be written. 6. In Materials and Methods, Page 2, Line 64: ...Six Bean... should be change to ...Six bean... 7. In Materials and Methods, Page 2, Line 71: ...A.O.A.C... this abbreviation should be clear statement. 8. In Materials and Methods, Page 3, Line 120: ...potassium dehydrate phosphate... should be change to ... potassium dihydrate phosphate... 9. In Materials and Methods, Page 4, Line 131: ...Littre... should be change to ...Liter... 10. Throughout the manuscript: "hrs" and "hour" words should be change to "h".	We agree with all the comments made by the reviewer. The comments are valid and important. We have effected all errors noted and/or comments made by the reviewer.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		