



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2015_IJBcRR_18465
Title of the Manuscript:	Changes in Antioxidant Status between Different Cooking Methods in Eggs Yolk
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Please talk more about egg cooking in the Introduction section. 2. Please add different letters to the data in Table 1 to show whether the difference among treatments was significant ($P < 0.05$) or not. 3. Please add more in-depth discussion in the Discussion section to describe more about why there was such a difference among cooking methods based on their cooking principles. 4. Please check throughout the manuscript to correct any language errors.	1) Some data add in Table 1 2) One similar study was discussed in discussion 3) Some information about egg cooking has been mentioned in introduction. 4) More subjects have been mention in discussing. The little similar articles has been dine therefore we have limitation in data sources
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		