



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_21608
Title of the Manuscript:	HPLC-PDA-ESI-MS identification of polyphenolic phytochemicals in different market classes of common beans (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Why the authors carried out no quantification of phenolic compounds? They have some standards to do it, or they can calculate only percentage with the area under the curve. This could be interesting. Some little details are commented in the document attached.	1. Recommendation on the quantification of individual phenolic compounds where standards are available has been noted. This will be done in the next work package that will include to total polyphenol contents, total flavonoids and antioxidant assays of the same common bean market classes. 2. 100 has been corrected to to read 'Hundred' (see page 6, line 8 from the bottom 3. Repeated word 'was' has been deleted (see page 7, line 6 from the top) 4. 'For peaks numbers for these compounds refer to Table 5 and Figure 3' has been deleted, (see page 14, line 4 from the top)
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		