



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2015_IJBcRR_17529
Title of the Manuscript:	Glycaemic Evaluation of <i>Murraya koenigii</i> in Alloxan-Induced Diabetic Rabbits
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title: antidiabetic activity of <i>Murrya koenigii</i> in Alloxan-induced diabetic Rabbits. - Is it Murrya or Murrya? Please check and correct in all cases Abstract: Line 2; use 'diabetic' instead of diabetes Line 8; rephrase the sentence, not too clear! *Format your abstract according to the journal you intend to publish your findings (see previous issues of the journal or SDI journal template for details) Materials and Methods 2.1: use 'extraction procedure' instead of preparation. The extraction procedure is not reproducible! Thus, author should include the followings; - The quantity of the powdered sample used (g) - The volume of the extracting solvent - State how the crude extract was dried 2.2Animal preparation - State the number of rabbit used for the study - State the number of rabbit per group 2.3Administration of drug - Author should state how 100, 200 and 300mg/kg of dried extract was obtained. - If reconstitution of dried extract was carried out, with what solvent and how? 3.0 Result - Author should note that tables are reference point for statement of results as such, author should be able to give line by line account of his/ her results in written in addition	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	to the table - Results should also include values for 100 and 200mg/kg Table 1, 2 and 3: the values in the tables revealed the followings; - Each of the method used is replicated. If it is so, it should be reflected in materials and methods - The figures obtained; are they in percentage, mean, standard deviation or standard mean error? Please indicate in bracket - Statistical analysis was used; author should state the statistical package and tools used for that purpose in materials and methods Tables should also reflect the results of 200 and 100mg/kg since they're used in the methods Discussion; - Your discussion is too brief; please add more details on each point raised in the result. - You don't make reference to tables in discussion, only applicable in your results. References: Review all your references in accordance with the format of the journal you intend to publish your article. (See SDI journal template for details) Ethical issues: Yes: on the used of laboratory animals	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Musa Yakubu Tula
Department, University & Country	Department of Biological Science Technology, Federal Polytechnic Mubi, Adamawa State, Nigeria