



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_15161
Title of the Manuscript:	Peculiarities of Carbonyl Stress on Rats' Model of Diabetes and Rhabdomyolysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The work is not novel since carbonyl stress is very well reported in diabetes as well as in rhabdomyolysis. The authors have concluded that carbonyl stress is decreased in terms of "intensity". How is the intensity measured? The authors have failed to justify as to why there is such decrease. The results are present in very haphazard manner. There are many errors in the study design and results. For eg. the authors have mentioned in methodology section that RM group 4 animals were sacrificed on 4th day, however, the results of this group is not given. The language is very poor and there are lot of grammatical errors.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer