



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_15161
Title of the Manuscript:	Peculiarities of Carbonyl Stress on Rats' Model of Diabetes and Rhabdomyolysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The entire manuscript requires thorough check for grammatical errors. Hence it needs to be revised. 1. Please rewrite your aim and scope of the study in the introduction part of the manuscript. 2. In the discussion part of the results, the authors have to add references and justification for demonstrating that additional stimulation of RM during hyperglycemia lead to decrease in carbonyl stress. 3. What could be the reason for this decrease in carbonyl stress? Give appropriate answers. 4. Kindly give the rationale for choosing this study. 5. The peculiarities of the studies need to be highlighted in the discussion part with adequate justification as the title indicates. Justify the novelty of the study.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer