SCIENCEDOMAIN international





SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJMPCR_12498
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTERNAL AUDITORY CANAL FRACTURE AFTER POSTERIOR DISLOCATION OF AN INTACT CONDYLAR HEAD.
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that \underline{NO} manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed
	notionel 5 comment	with reviewer, correct the
		manuscript and highlight that
		part in the manuscript. It is
		mandatory that authors should
		write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION	Aims- this indicates more than one aims. This should be	
comments	refined.	
	Presentation of case- the acronym EAC should be defined	
	preferably in the Introduction or Background before it is used	
	here.	
	Canavally, this ways was do aditavial assistance to waste it	
	Generally, this paper needs editorial assistance to make it	
	better readable for the Journal.	
Minor REVISION	Abstract	
comments	Discussion- Once EAC is defined, only the relevant acronym	
	need be used.	
	Page 1 Lines 34-35 It is better to mention causes, then	
	risk factors as they are not the same.	
	Page 2	
	Lines 62-63road accident 30days beforethe	
	woman was referred to	
	Line 70 What form of zygoma fracture? There is need to	
	clarify what is meant by 'breadth reduction' of EAC. Is that	
	the standard dimension for determining fracture of the EAC?	

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 71 All figures montioned about displayed substitution was	
	Line 71 All figures mentioned should include what they refer	
	to.	
	Line 72 What approach was used to access the TMJ? As	
	there was no mention of pre-operative ENT specialist	
	consultation, how was the post-operative evaluation	
	determined as 'considerable improvement.	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	Lines 83-86 Why this repetition of details already presented?	
	The available literature should be used to discuss your result	
	(case presentation).	
	Lines 87-09 Useful when related to the case presentation.	
	Page 3 line 22. Since the approach to the TMJ was not	
	mentioned in the presentation of the case, it is 'foreign	
	matter' here.	
	Generally, this paper needs editorial assistance to make it	
	better readable for the Journal.	
Optional/General		
comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer