



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJMPCR_12498
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTERNAL AUDITORY CANAL FRACTURE AFTER POSTERIOR DISLOCATION OF AN INTACT CONDYLAR HEAD.
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The case itself does not add new information to already known facts. This type of injury is not uncommon and all OMFS should be familiar with this injury during their basic training.- The language should be improved. The authors should consult a professional English language specialist regarding spelling and grammar.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The patient showed up 4 weeks after injury. During this time, 2/3 of the healing process has been completed.- The Discussion is too long. It should be more focused on the actual case.- The figures are not comparable. The fracture on Fig 2 is not presented in the same view as Fig 3, hence it is very difficult to compare the preoperative and the postoperative situations.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer