



SDI Review Form 1.6

This PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of Plant & Soil Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJPSS_4981
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantifying Medicago sativa yield under deficit irrigation technique in sandy soil
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

[\(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline\)](http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. This paper needs to be subjected to English language review so that it can be easy to read. My major difficulty was to understand what the author(s) were trying to say, because there are English languages errors from the Abstract throughout the document.</p> <p>2. In the Materials and Methods and the Results and Discussion sections, it is necessary to emphasize the comparison between the proposed model and the FAO model, especially with respect to measurable advantages of the latter.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. Please look at the captions of your Tables and Figures again to make sure that you use correct sentences and that you describe what is presented in them.</p> <p>2. For Figure 1, either use one X-axis (top) label or label all x-axes.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research contained in the paper is useful and worthy of publication.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer