



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJPSS_10607
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF BIO FERTILIZERS AND NATURAL MINERALS ON PRODUCTIVITY AND FRUIT QUALITY OF "PICUAL" OLIVE TREES
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Line 94. In this section authors mentioned only 11 treatments of which there are only a few combinations. If be all possible combinations would be very difficult to analyze it so surely the authors decided to perform only some combinations. They could clarify somewhere why only those combinations were made.</b></p> <p><b>Table 6 is not mentioned in the main text. Results and discussion section there is little comparison to bibliography since there is lot of information concerning olive cultivation</b></p>	<p>Concerning the used combinations, I concentrate on biofertilizer in this combination because it is well known that organic matter help in the absorption of other elements which are difficult to absorb from the soil in the absence of biofertilizer and that what I would like to prove in the study.</p> <p><b>The bibliography mentioned here are about eight references and came after illustrated all traits, if I mentioned workers after each trait, they will be repeated many times, therefore I collect them at end of results and discussion</b></p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The references are not numbered nor in the main text. The reference style is variable Line 24 there is an "e" missing to the word "biofertilizers" Line 63 Electrical conductivity (EC) is abbreviated here but not clarified Line 85 is "manur" correct? Or is "matter" the correct word? Line 162 there is a "g" missing for the word "rowing" Line 166, 194, 221, 253 and 282 put brackets to the word "biofertilizers" Line 208 add a space to "ispresented" Line 225 add a space to "Rockphosphate"</p>	<p>The references are arranged in alphabet The reference style is corrected The remaining comments are modified as the reviewer mentioned.</p> <p>Is presented become in line 313 Rock phosphate become in line 229</p>



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		
---	--	--