



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJPSS_9075
Title of the Manuscript:	Water-use efficiency and transpiration rate of wheat under irrigated and desiccated conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	what form nitrogen treatments in the pot based on 300 and ... Kilograms of nitrogen per hectare is calculated (On page 2 headline nitrogen)? While the figures are given in terms of g.	<b>0.0, 0.24 ,0.48, 0.72 and 0.96 g pot<sup>-1</sup> (0.0, 75, 150, 225,300 kg N h<sup>-1</sup>)</b>  I arranged
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	1-Materials and Methods section can be rewritten (with respect to articles published in the Journal). 2-Source writing and the last part of the paper according to the journal format should be brought. 3- According to the magazine article format can be set.	I respect your opinion and I checked
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	1-Japanese is location of test but in introduction has come Egypt (the wheat)!  2- The results and discussion are presented only in the shape! And a numbering mistake! While the results should be expressed well with flowing text and scientific interpretations to be presented and the results compared to others.  3- The writing has Errors (plant names should be in italics, methods and materials need to be corrected).	I am Egyptian and worked this experiment in Japan and used both Egyptian cultivar and Turkish one that cultivate in Mediterranean zone  Thank you, in paper now you can check I added results and dicussion  Please check now after my revision may its ok



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	4- The paper with the correction mentioned above will be considered again.	
--	--	--