



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJPSS_8023
Title of the Manuscript:	Removal Pb <sup>2+</sup> and Cd <sup>2+</sup> from contaminated water by alternative low-cost materials

**PART 2:**

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>1. The grammar check and some important corrections suggested previously seem to have been ignored by the author.</p> <p><b>2. Abstract:</b> Line 5: Correct 'it should be removed...' to read 'the metals need to be removed...'</p> <p><b>3. Introduction:</b> A brief literature survey of related studies relevant to the aims and scope of the journal IJPSS should be included in the introductory section. This would make the subsection more meaningful.</p> <p><b>4. Materials and Methods:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Line 72: The reference 'APHA, 1998' should be cited according to IJPSS style.</li> <li>Author should include the operating conditions, and standards of reference of the AAS machine used for metal assay.</li> <li>Author should include a statement and/or equation to explain how the metal removal efficiency of the agents (%) was got from corresponding concentrations in solutions (in mg/L).</li> </ul>	<p>Grammar check and some important corrections to have been ignored by the author.</p> <p><b>2. Abstract:</b> Line 5: Correct 'it had been removed...' to read 'the metals need to be removed...'</p> <p><b>3. Introduction:</b> A brief literature survey of related studies relevant to the aims and scope of the journal IJPSS had been included in the introductory section.</p> <p><b>4. Materials and Methods:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Line 72: The reference 'APHA, 1998' had been cited according to IJPSS style.</li> <li>The operating conditions had included, and standards of reference of the AAS machine used for metal assay.</li> <li>A equation had included to explain how the metal removal efficiency of the agents (%) was got from corresponding concentrations in solutions (in mg/L).</li> </ul>