



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJPSS_9075
Title of the Manuscript:	Water-use efficiency and transpiration rate of wheat under irrigated and desiccated conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Language of the manuscript is very poor and needs serious revision with proper grammar check. • Alignment of the paragraph needs to be corrected • Bring the paper in right format of English with missing punctuation marks. • Mention the soil physical parameters of the study area. • What was the depth of irrigation at each level of deficit available soil moisture • Some serial numbers are given in the MS for mentioning different topics. But the numbers are very disordered. Correct it • Title of figures are not given • Why the two cultivars were used in different years • Why one cultivar was not evaluated for both the year • How statistical evaluation was done based on only one year data for one cultivar • Result and discussion was not explained at all. There was continuous repetition of same explanation for all the paragraphs. • The author tried to make it too general. Please elaborate each point separately with separate discussion. • Conclusion is too general and need to be correlated with each point explained in the result and discussions section. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The paper shall be written in past tense but in the manuscript it is completely in the future tense with sentences like "It will be measured" and "Leaf and other parts of shoots will be put into 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>80°C oven” and “Plant parts will be reserved”..... etc and many more.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Full forms of many abbreviations were not mentioned correctly (soil water content is designated both as SWC and W_s, which creates confusions)• No Figure nos are given in the figure but then also the fig nos are mentioned on the text• Fig no. 1 missing	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Good work done but more efforts to be done on the manuscript preparation. Need many revisions and can be accepted after the suggested revisions.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer