



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJPSS_11281
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Glomalin in Improving Soil Fertility: A Review
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Line 22: citation missing</p> <p>Introduction: it seems that the importance of glomalin is not addressed in the introduction, this is incorrect.</p> <p>Line 57: It is incorrect to say that VAM is found in some trees or families!</p> <p>Wang, B.; Qiu, Y.L. (2006). "Phylogenetic distribution and evolution of mycorrhizas in land plants". Mycorrhiza 16 (5): 299–363.</p> <p>Line 67: information given here is in contradiction with information in line 57.</p> <p>Line 164 this information was already mentioned in the other chapter, it is redundant.</p> <p>Line 201: the correct term is "agroforestry systems"</p> <p>Line 205; citation missing</p> <p>Line 211; citation missing</p> <p>Line 213; source of figure citation missing</p> <p>Line 221; source of figure citation missing</p> <p>Line 240; source of figure citation missing</p> <p>Line 372; source of figure citation missing</p> <p>Line 422; What is the relationship between glomalin and biofertilizer? This subchapter is disconnected with the chapter and it is redundant.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper have problems with the structure, the introduction is not related with the title or the text. There is an absence of sources in many figures and citations in many paragraphs. There is much redundancy among chapters (repetitive information). There is contradictory information among chapters.	
---	---	--

Note: Anonymous Reviewer