SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJPSS_9075
Title of the Manuscript:	Water-use efficiency and transpiration rate of wheat under irrigated and desiccated conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that \underline{NO} manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	what form nitrogen treatments in the pot based on 300 and Kilograms of nitrogen per hectare is calculated (On page 2 headline nitrogen)? While the figures are given in terms of g.	
Minor REVISION comments	1-Materials and Methods section can be rewritten (with respect to articles published in the Journal). 2-Source writing and the last part of the paper according to the journal format should be brought. 3- According to the magazine article format can be set.	
Optional/General comments	1-Japanese is location of test but in introduction has come Egypt (the wheat)! 2- The results and discussion are presented only in the shape! And a numbering mistake! While the results should be expressed well with flowing text and scientific interpretations to be presented and the results compared to others. 3- The writing has Errors (plant names should be in italics, methods and materials need to be corrected). 4- The paper with the correction mentioned above will be considered again.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer