



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJPSS_8023
Title of the Manuscript:	Removal Pb ²⁺ and Cd ²⁺ from contaminated water by alternative low-cost materials
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Line 25, reference [5] should not be italicized 2. Line 67, C for conductivity should be a small letter 3. Line 75, there must be a full stop after 35) 4. Line 86, should read: polluted water containing..... 5. Line 122,period of one hr rather than longer..... 6. Line 136, Polluted water containing..... 7. Line 162,efficiency to remove..... 8. Line 184 and 186, I think the sentences that begins with the references should begin with the authors surnames then followed by the reference numbers they represent, i.e. Macchi [22].... 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is well written and well organised except very few minor corrections needed. It is acceptable for publication.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer