SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJPSS_6870
Title of the Manuscript:	Irrigation strategies for optimizing water table contribution to soil moisture storage and water use of pepper in a humid tropical zone of Nigeria

PART 2:

PART Z:		
FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments	
I think the MS in writing has improved a lot, but there are still many misunderstandings in	Thank you	
calculation of the ET and each components of the water balance, which lead to the unreliable		
results in the MS. I suggest that the author could just write a paper about the irrigation scheduling		
on the yield performance of this crop, not involving the crop water use. You didn't have enough		
data to do such calculations.	The length of the tap root was measured and the unit of the root length is	
1. Table 2a, what's the unit of the root length? Is it cm/plant? If so, the root length data is not correct, with a	cm/plant The error is regretted	
root weight of over 60 g/plant, the root length would be much higher than the some 20 cm.		
2. Table 2a, from the value of the water use efficiency (WUE) in the table, it was the irrigation WUE. But for	The errors are regretted. The unit of leaf area measured is m ²	
irrigation water use efficiency calculation, it shoulb be IWUE=(yield with irrigation-yield without	, and the second	
irrigation)/irrigation amount.		
3. What's the unit of the Leaf area? Is it cm2/plant? If so, the plant had a very small leaf, only with a leaf area		
several cm2. When did the data collected? The errors are regretted. The unit is m ²	Irrigation water use efficiency (WUE)	
4. Table 2b, how the water use efficiency for the non-irrigated treatment was calculated?		
5. Table 3, what's the unit for the ET, was it daily value or for a growing period? The values of ET reported		
were daily values		
6. If ET0 was the reference ET in this MS, the ratio of ETa/ETo was the crop coefficient (Kc). The values in		
the table 3 and table 4 were not correct.		
7. The MM section didn't provide information about the measuring some parameters in the tables.		
New comments:		
1. I think the MS didn't revise as my previous suggestions. You have two paramters ETa and Cg		
unknown in the water balance equation, how could they be calculated correctly? In the paper		
sometime ETa was calculated from ETO multiplied by Kc, some time it was said calculated from		
the water balance equation. How exactly was it estimated? From the available data, it would be		
better just delete all the egations, and simply say that ETa was estimated by ETo multiplied by		
Kc, and Cg was estimated use the water balance equation. Equation 1-8 could be just simplified		
as one equation.		
2. ETa/ETo could not be defined as relative water use.		
3. What's the unit of SWD? Please carefully check all the units in the tables. (Table 2b, units were		
misplaced for the last two parameters).		
4. Soil water potential data need to be carefully checked.		
T. Son water potential data need to be tarefung thetheu.		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)