Seed Germination and Seedling Emergence of Shepherd's needle (Scandix pecten-veneris) as Affected by Seed Weight or Burial Depth.

ABSTRACT

Shepherd's needle (Scandix pecten-veneris L.) is a very common broadleaf weed of winter cereals and also an edible weed used in many regions in Greece. Knowing the behavior of the weed seeds in the soil may help in designing its management strategy and its future cultivation. Field and laboratory experiments were conducted to evaluate the effect of seed weight or burial depth on seed germination and seedling emergence in 2008 and 2010. For seed weight effect on germination and seedling emergence light and heavy seeds were tested by Petri dish assay and in the field (sowing depth 4 cm). For burial depth study six depths- 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, 12.5 and 15 cm - were examined in field trials made in two periods of time: 25 November and 15 March for two years. Percentage of seed germination, seedling emergence and mean emergence time were measured. Results showed that light seeds germinated better ($74\pm2.2-95\pm2.2\%$) and earlier ($20.5\pm0.64-31.0\pm0.45$ days) than heavy seeds (34±3.2-58±5.1% and 25.4±0.57-33.8±0.46 days respectively). The burial depth influenced seedling emergence and mean emergence time (MET) in most cases. Low emergence percentage (1.7±1.1-33.8±7.2%) was found at the depth of 15cm and high at depth of 2.5, 5, 7.5 cm. Seeds sowed 15 cm deep had higher MET (27.0±0.9-55.1±1.1 days) than those sowed at 2.5 cm (20.9±0.9-41.6±0.5 days).

11

1 2

3

4

12 *Keywords: seedling emergence; burial depth; seed weight; germination; Scandix pecten-*13 *veneris.*

14

15 **1. INTRODUCTION**

16

Nowadays, concerns for environmental protection and the demand for less use of chemicals in agriculture have increased considerably. Scientists aim at more efficient, sustainable and economical alternative methods in weed control such as integrated weed management systems. However, the development of effective integrated weed management systems depends on a thorough understanding of weed seed biology [1]. Seedling emergence is a key event in determining the success of some weeds in an agroecosystem [2]

23

24 Shepherd's needle (Scandix pecten-veneris) is a very common annual broadleaf weed of 25 Apiaceae family of winter cereals in Greece [3] and is controlled mainly by chemical 26 methods. To improve its control where this weed is a problem, it is important and useful to 27 know about its germination behavior. However, studies on factors affecting seed germination 28 and emergence of this weed, such as seed weight and burial depth, are scarce. The weight 29 of seed is known to play a major role in plant population dynamics and community structure 30 [4]. Heavy seeds are considered to have better adaptation in competitive conditions [5] while 31 lighter seeds show often high dispersal and much persistence in the seed bank [6]. Another 32 factor that alters the weed seed emergence is burial depth and species-specific emergence 33 responses to this have already been well-documented [7]. Generally, with increasing burial 34 depth plant seed emergence decreases. Carefully chosen cultivations, tailored to the weed

species composition in the reservoir of seeds in the soil, can alter seed behavior. This could
be achieved by encouraging emergence and hence the premature depletion of the seed
bank or by burying weed seeds to depths from which they cannot germinate and
successfully emerge [8].

39

This weed is an edible wild green leafy vegetable widely used in the Mediterranean diet and traditionally is used in various local cuisines [9]. It is consumed either boiled cooked with olive oil, or in pies and salads. In several regions of Greece, Shepherd's needle seeds are shown in vegetable gardens (from late October to Spring) and there is an increasing interest in cultivating this species as a vegetable crop.

45

46 The objective of this research was to reveal the influence that seed weight or burial depth 47 have on germination, seedling emergence, and mean emergence time of *Scandix pecten-*48 *veneris* thus, expanding our knowledge into managing the population of this weed species. 49 The results of the study will also help the cultivation techniques of this plant in cases it is 50 grown as a vegetable crop.

- 5152 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS
- 52 53

54 2.1 Plant material

55

56 All needed weed fruits were harvested, in a random way, from the natural population that 57 exists at the research farm Velestino, University of Thessaly, in the middle of July in 2007 58 and 2009 (in central Greece Shepherd's needle seedling emergence occurs from October to 59 April [10] and maturity at the end of spring-May). Each flower produces a fruit (mericarp) 60 consisting of two seeds which remain joined until they ripe. Each seed has a long scabrid 61 needle-like appendage (beak) up to 6 cm in length, which acts as a spring dispersal mechanism as the seed ripens. Only fruits with both well developed seeds were selected 62 63 and stored in paper bags in laboratory temperature. Seeds collected directly after maturity from mother plant can germinate (at 14°C, plus moisture) 50-60% and over 70-80% when 64 65 collected approximately 60 days after maturity (unpublished findings). The field experiments 66 were carried out in a field where Scandix pecten-veneris had never been seen emerged 67 before. The soil texture (depth 0-30 cm) was Sand 47%, Silt 30%, Clay 23% (Loam). 68 Irrigation was applied after sowing and during the tests at any time it was necessary. For the 69 laboratory tests, the amount of soil used was collected from the above field. For seed weight 70 trials, selected fruits were divided into two classes, small-light and big-heavy, based on the 71 size of the fruit. Seeds derived from them had mean weight 8±2.9 or 15±3.2 mg, made up 72 the small-light class, and 35±3.6 or 53±4.3 mg made up the big-heavy class in 2008 or 2010 73 trials respectively. Heavy seeds had a beak about 1/3 to 1/4 bigger in length compared to 74 light seeds and also bigger and heavier the lower reserve part. In seed burial depth tests, all 75 the selected fruits were of medium size-weight (to avoid possible interactions between light 76 or heavy seed weight and burial depth) and derived seeds had mean weight 25±2.1 mg.

77 78

2.2 Mean emergence time and seed weight trial

79

Forty seeds of each class were sowed in the field at a depth of 4 cm in a randomized complete block design (RCB), with plot size 0.5 x 0.5 m, block size (including space between plots) 1.2 x 0.5 m and four replications per treatment (weight class) on 24 March 2008 and 12 February 2010. The number of emerged seedlings (cotyledons completely unfolded) was recorded daily until no more seedlings were observed. Mean emergence time (MET) was calculated according to the equation of Ellis & Roberts [11] as follow:

MET=Σ Dn /Σn

where n is the number of seeds, which were emerged on day D, and D is the number of days counted from the beginning of the test.

89 90 91

2.3 Seed germination and seed weight trial

92 The effect of seed weight on seed germination was evaluated in laboratory tests carried out 93 in June 2008 and 2010. Twenty seeds of each heavy and light class (described above) were 94 placed in a plastic 9 cm diameter Petri dish (5 dishes-replications per treatment-class, in 95 completely randomized design). Then, dishes were filled with 50 mL of dry sieved soil (2 mm size sieve) and irrigated with 20 mL distilled water. The applied temperature and photoperiod 96 97 inside the incubator was 15°C/ dark 24 h. Temperature selection was based on previous 98 laboratory experimentation of the authors on the effect of temperature on Shepherd's needle seed germination (unpublished findings). Petri dishes were left at these conditions for 35 99 100 days, then the germinated seeds were carefully removed, counted and the final germination percentage was calculated. Germination was recorded only once (end of experiment) and 101 102 not daily to avoid the risk of breaking the radicles by digging into soil to check germinated 103 seeds.

104

105 2.4 Seedling emergence and seed burial depth trial

106

107 Six burial depths were examined: 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, 12.5 and 15 cm in field trials carried out 108 during two different periods of time - 25 November and 15 March (sowing dates) for two 109 years 2008-09 and 2010-11. Forty seeds were sowed at each depth in a RCB design with 110 plot size 0.5 x 0.5 m, block size (including space between plots) 2 x 1.5 m and 4 replications 111 per treatment-depth. Emergence of seedlings was recorded every day until no more 112 seedlings were observed. Mean emergence time (MET) was also calculated as mentioned before. Soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm was recorded (1 record/30 min) by a data logger 113 114 (type i-button Dallas Semiconductor) placed near the plots. Values on Fig. 1 showed that 115 there was a difference in mean soil temperature between years 2008-09 and 2010-11 during 116 these trials. In March trials the temperature was lower in 2010-11 than 2008-09 (difference of 117 0 to 5-6°C) from sowing date (15 Mar.) to the end of April. In November 2010-11 test the 118 mean soil temperature for about 10 days (10 to 20 Dec.) was very low and ranged between 1 to 5°C. 119






Fig. 1. Mean soil temperature at 5 cm depth in field trials 2008-09 and 2010-11

126 2.5 Statistical analysis

127

128 Data from trials which examined the effect of seed weight on germination and mean 129 emergence time of Shepherd's needle were analyzed by an ANOVA. Regression analysis was performed for data from seedling emergence and seed burial depth tests. SPSS 130 131 software (v13.0 for Windows) was used for all statistics. Where F test was significant 132 treatment means were given with their ±SE.

3. RESULTS 134


135

133

136

3.1 Seed weight effect on seedling emergence - seed germination 137

138 Emergence of seedlings was found to be affected by seed weight significantly in both field 139 experiments, in 2008 and in 2010 (Fig. 2 and Table 1). The final percentage of light seeds 140 emerged (74±2.2-75±2.7%) was almost double than that of heavy seeds (34±3.2-39±2.3%). 141 In 2008 mean emergence time of light seeds was lower (20.5±0.64 days) compared to that of heavy seeds (25.4±0.57 days). Also in 2010 trial, light seeds emerged earlier (31.0±0.45 142 days) than heavy seeds (33.8±0.46 days) (Fig. 2). 143 100




145 146 Fig. 2. Seedling emergence as % (above) and mean emergence time in days (below) of Shepherd's needle as affected by seed weight.

- 148 For each year, different letters above bars indicate significant difference at P = .05. Error 149 bars are standard error of means of four replications.
- 147

Table 1. One-way ANOVA for the effect of seed weight on seedling emergence and mean emergence time (field trials 2008, 2010).

	ioan onnoi gonioo a					
	Source of var.	Sum of Squares	<mark>df</mark>	<mark>Mean</mark> Square	F-value	<mark>P-value</mark>
0	Between Groups	<mark>3200.000</mark>	<mark>1</mark>	<mark>3200.000</mark>	<mark>108.169</mark>	<mark>.000</mark>
Seedling emergence 2008	Within Groups	<mark>177.500</mark>	<mark>6</mark>	<mark>29.583</mark>		
2000	Total	<mark>3377.500</mark>	7			
Coodling on one of the	Between Groups	<mark>2556.125</mark>	<mark>1</mark>	<mark>2556.125</mark>	<mark>100.404</mark>	<mark>.000</mark>
Seedling emergence 2010	Within Groups	<mark>152.750</mark>	<mark>6</mark>	<mark>25.458</mark>		
2010	Total	<mark>2708.875</mark>	7			
Meen energenee time	Between Groups	<mark>48.020</mark>	<mark>1</mark>	<mark>48.020</mark>	<mark>32.300</mark>	<mark>.001</mark>
Mean emergence time 2008	Within Groups	<mark>8.920</mark>	<mark>6</mark>	<mark>1.487</mark>		
2000	Total	<mark>56.940</mark>	7			
Maan amarganaa tima	Between Groups	<mark>15.680</mark>	<mark>1</mark>	<mark>15.680</mark>	<mark>18.892</mark>	<mark>.005</mark>
Mean emergence time 2010	Within Groups	<mark>4.980</mark>	<mark>6</mark>	<mark>0.830</mark>		
2010	Total	<mark>20.660</mark>	<mark>7</mark>			

Results derived from laboratory tests were similar to those of field experiments. Light seeds
had a statistically significant higher level of germination percentage, 78±4.6-95±2.2%, than
heavy seeds, 35±3.5-58±5.1% in both years 2008 and 2010 (Fig. 3 and Table 2).



Seed weight class

Fig. 3. Seed germination (%) of Shepherd's needle as affected by seed weight. For each year, different letters above bars indicate significant difference at P = .05. Error bars are standard error of means of five replications.

Table 2. One-way ANOVA for the effect of seed weight on seed germination (laboratory trials 2008, 2010).

	Source of var.	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-value	<i>P</i> -value	
Germination 2008	Between Groups	<mark>4622.500</mark>	1	<mark>4622.500</mark>	<mark>54.382</mark>	.000	
	Within Groups	<mark>680.000</mark>	8	<mark>85.000</mark>			
	Total	<mark>5302.500</mark>	9				
Germination 2010	Between Groups	<mark>3422.500</mark>	1	<mark>3422.500</mark>	<mark>43.460</mark>	.000	
	Within Groups	<mark>630.000</mark>	8	<mark>78.750</mark>			
	Total	<mark>4052.500</mark>	9				

169

170

171 **3.2 Seed burial depth effect on seedling emergence**

172 Significant influence of burial depth on Shepherd's needle emergence was measured in 173 174 2008-09 and 2010-11 trials in both periods of time 25 November and 15 March (except Nov. 2010) as shown in Table 3. Emergence percentage generally decreased as burial depth 175 increased. The lowest percentage 1.7±1.1 to 33.8±7.2% was found at the depth of 15 cm. 176 177 Emergence above 70% was measured in all trials where seeds were sowed 2.5 to 10 cm 178 deep in soil except in March 2011 test where the highest percentage (51.7±7.7%) was observed only in 2.5 cm depth. 179 180

181Table 3. Seedling emergence (%) of Shepherd's needle as affected by burial depth in182two periods of time in 2008-09 and 2010-11 trials.

	2008	-09	2010-11		
Depth (cm)	25 Nov.	15 Mar.	25 Nov.	15 Mar.	
2.5	86.3±5.2	75.0±5.4	68.3±9.6	51.7±7.7	
5	90.0±4.6	81.3±4.7	65.0±10.8	41.7±6.6	
7.5	85.0±2.0	87.5±4.3	80.0±7.4	45.0±9.4	
10	72.5±12.7	78.8±5.5	70.0±5.4	26.7±6.6	
12.5	51.3±14.3	61.3±2.4	66.7±1.2	10.0±5.0	
<mark>15</mark>	26.3±10.5	33.8±7.2	66.7±3.1	1.7±1.1	

191 Means in each column are followed by \pm standard error.

The data of Table 3 were also examined by regression analysis for each trial separately. The results (Table 4) indicated that there was a significant quadratic trend of seedling emergence response to burial depth for Nov. and Mar. 2008-09 (Fig. 4). For Nov. 2010 regression was not significant (P=.811 or P=.617). Model comparisons revealed that for Nov. 2008 trial the increase in R² from linear to quadratic was significant (P=.000) but not for Mar. 2011 (P=.261).

Table 4. Regression analysis and model comparisons for seedling emergence and burial depth. 200

			Model	Summ	ary	Parameter Estimates			
Equation		R ² , <mark>Adj.R²</mark>	<mark><i>F</i>-value</mark>	df1 df2		P-value	Constant, P-value	b1, <mark>P-value</mark>	b2, <mark>P-value</mark>
Nov.	<mark>linear</mark>	0.835 0.793	<mark>20.197</mark>	1	<mark>4</mark>	<mark>.011</mark>	<mark>111.427</mark> .000	<mark>-4.898</mark> .011	-
<mark>2008</mark>	quadr.	<mark>0.999</mark> 0.998	<mark>1471.162</mark>	<mark>2</mark>	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>.000</mark>	<mark>76.710</mark> .000	<mark>5.517</mark> .001	-0.595 .000
Mar.	<mark>linear</mark>	<mark>0.561</mark> 0.451	<mark>5.111</mark>	<mark>1</mark>	<mark>4</mark>	<mark>.087</mark>	<mark>-</mark>	<mark>-</mark>	H
<mark>2009</mark>	<mark>quadr.</mark>	<mark>0.992</mark> 0.987	<mark>188.517</mark>	<mark>2</mark>	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>.001</mark>	<mark>53.120</mark> .001	<mark>10.051</mark> .002	-0.754 .001
Nov.	<mark>linear</mark>	<mark>0.016</mark> -0.230	<mark>0.065</mark>	1	<mark>4</mark>	<mark>.811</mark>	<mark>-</mark>	-	H
<mark>2010</mark>	quadr.	<mark>0.275</mark> -0.208	<mark>0.569</mark>	<mark>2</mark>	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>.617</mark>	-	<mark>-</mark>	<mark>-</mark>
Mar. 2011	linear	<mark>0.923</mark> 0.904	<mark>48.284</mark>	1	<mark>4</mark>	<mark>.002</mark>	<mark>65.807</mark> .000	<mark>-4.153</mark> .002	-
2011	quadr.	<mark>0.953</mark> 0.922	<mark>30.611</mark>	<mark>2</mark>	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>.010</mark>	<mark>53.890</mark> .013	-0.578 .841	-0.204 .261
			•			<mark>parisons</mark>			
		R ²	R ² Change	F Cha	ange	df1	df2	Sig. F C	hange
<mark>Nov.</mark>	linear	<mark>0.835</mark>							
<mark>2008</mark>	<mark>quadr.</mark>	<mark>0.999</mark>	<mark>0.164</mark>	<mark>483.895</mark>		<mark>1</mark>	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>.000</mark>	
Mar.	linear	<mark>0.923</mark>							
<mark>2011</mark>	quadr.	<mark>0.953</mark>	<mark>0.030</mark>	<mark>1.9</mark>	9 <mark>13</mark>	<mark>1</mark>	<mark>3</mark>	.2	<mark>61</mark>




Fig. 4. Response curve of seedling emergence to burial depth according to regression equation. Error bars are ± standard error of observed means.

Mean emergence time (MET) of Shepherd's needle was also affected by burial depth in all trials in both years. The range of MET values (days) for each experiment was: $29.0\pm1.8-54.1\pm3.4$ Nov. 2008, $20.1\pm0.6-27.0\pm0.9$ Mar. 2009, $41.6\pm0.5-55.1\pm1.1$ Nov. 2010 and $25.4\pm0.7-47.0\pm1.2$ Mar. 2011. When seeds were sowed deeper in the soil, seedling emergence was delayed. MET in 15cm treatments (Nov.: 54.1 ± 3.4 , Mar.: 27.0 ± 0.9 days) during the year 2008-09 was higher than that in 2.5 cm (31.8 ± 2.1 , 20.9 ± 0.8 days, respectively) as shown in Table 5. Similar results were taken in 2010-11 study (Table 5).

229 230

230Table 5. Mean emergence time (days) of Shepherd's needle as affected by burial depth231in two periods of time in 2008-09 and 2010-11 trials232

	2008	8-09	2010-11		
Depth (cm)	25 Nov.	15 Mar.	25 Nov.	15 Mar.	
<mark>2.5</mark>	31.8±2.1	20.9±0.9	41.6±0.5	25.4±0.7	
5	29.0±1.8	20.1±0.6	47.8±1.9	30.7±2.0	
7.5	35.6±0.9	21.7±0.3	48.1±1.8	35.6±1.2	
<mark>10</mark>	39.5±5.7	24.7±0.9	48.5±1.3	35.4±3.0	
<mark>12.5</mark>	45.0±4.5	23.7±0.4	50.5±1.2	32.3±0.8	
15	54.1±3.4	27.0±0.9	55.1±1.1	47.0±1.2	

242 Means in each column are followed by \pm standard error 243


Data of each trial (Table 5) were also analyzed by regression and results (Table 6) revealed that there was a significant linear trend of mean emergence time response to burial depth for all tests carried out in 2008-09 and 2010-11 (Fig. 5). For Nov. 2008 test the increase in R^2 from linear to quadratic was not significant (*P*=.046) at *P*=.02.

248

249 250

Table 6. Regression analysis and model comparisons for mean emergence time and burial depth

	Model Summary						Parameter Estimates			
Equation		R ² , Adj.R ²	F-value	df1	df2	P-value	Constant, <i>P</i> -value	b1, <mark>P-value</mark>	b2, <mark>P-value</mark>	
<mark>Nov.</mark>	linear	0.892 0.865	<mark>33.116</mark>	<mark>1</mark>	<mark>4</mark>	<mark>.005</mark>	<mark>22.827</mark> .002	<mark>1.867</mark> .005	-	
<mark>2008</mark>	quadr.	<mark>0.977</mark> 0.961	<mark>63.089</mark>	<mark>2</mark>	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>.004</mark>	<mark>32.010</mark> .002	<mark>-0.888</mark> .374	<mark>0.157</mark> .046	
Mar.	linear	<mark>0.827</mark> 0.784	<mark>19.161</mark>	<mark>1</mark>	<mark>4</mark>	<mark>.012</mark>	<mark>18.587</mark> .000	<mark>0.506</mark> 0.12	•	
<mark>2009</mark>	<mark>quadr.</mark>	<mark>0.863</mark> 0.772	<mark>9.459</mark>	<mark>2</mark>	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>.051</mark>	-	•	•	
<mark>Nov.</mark>	linear	<mark>0.862</mark> 0.827	<mark>24.918</mark>	<mark>1</mark>	<mark>4</mark>	<mark>.008</mark>	<mark>41.000</mark> .000	<mark>0.869</mark> 0.008	•	
<mark>2010</mark>	<mark>quadr.</mark>	<mark>0.862</mark> 0.770	<mark>9.358</mark>	<mark>2</mark>	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>.051</mark>	-	•	•	
Mar.	linear	<mark>0.696</mark> 0.620	<mark>9.151</mark>	<mark>1</mark>	<mark>4</mark>	<mark>.039</mark>	<mark>23.140</mark> .005	<mark>1.287</mark> .037	•	
<mark>2011</mark>	quadr.	<mark>0.706</mark> 0.510	<mark>3.604</mark>	<mark>2</mark>	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>.159</mark>	-	•	•	
						<mark>oarisons</mark>				
		R ²	R ² Change	F Cha	ange	df1	df2	Sig. FC	hange	
<mark>Nov.</mark>	linear	<mark>0.892</mark>								
<mark>2008</mark>	quadr.	<mark>0.977</mark>	<mark>0.085</mark>	<mark>10.</mark>	<mark>921</mark>	<mark>1</mark>	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>.0</mark>	<mark>46</mark>	





257

258

259

260

261

regression equation. Error bars are ± standard error of observed means. 4. DISCUSSION

262 Seed weight had a strong influence on Shepherd's needle seed germination and seedling 263 emergence as derived from the experimental results. Larger-heavier seeds had significantly 264 lower percentage of germination-emergence as compared to small-lighter seeds. Similar 265 results to these findings have been observed for *Erodium* brachycarpum [12]. Contrary to 266 this, it has been reported that heavier seeds of Abutilon theophrasti [13], Lithospermum 267 arvense [14] and Panicum racemosum [15] had greater germination. However, for other 268 species like Ambrosia artemisiifolia [16], Dactylis glomerata [17], Andropogon tectorum [18], 269 Rumex obtusifolius [19] weight of seed had no any effect on their germination. Baskin and 270 Baskin [20] suggested that this effect is species dependant and different seed sizes may 271 have low, high or same germination percentage. Shepherd's needle light seeds had lower 272 mean emergence time than heavy seeds and that means earlier germination in soil. Earlier 273 germination of light seeds had also been found in *Pastinaca sativa* [21], *Cakile edentula* [22], 274 Erodium brachycarpum [12], Alliaria petiolata [23] and Senna obtusifolia [24]. However, 275 Stanton [25] found that seed size of Raphanus raphanistrum had no effect on emergence 276 time. Stamp [12] attributed the earlier germination of small seeds to their greater access to water as a result of their higher surface to volume ratio. Hence, small seeds imbibed water 277 278 faster and broke dormancy sooner. Susko and Lovett-Doust [23] stated that more rapid

279 uptake of water, as well as thinner seed coats, may be responsible for the earlier loss of 280 dormancy in small seeds of Alliaria petiolata. In a previous germination study of Shepherd's 281 needle seeds carried out by the authors of this article (unpublished data) it was found that 282 seeds of same size treated with a 98% sulphuric acid solution had higher germination 283 percentage than those of seeds treated with distilled water. It means that sulphuric acid 284 made the seed coats thinner, therefore quick water uptake become easier. So, thinner seed 285 coat of light Shepherd's needle seeds may be one reason for their earlier germination 286 compared to heavy seeds.

287

288 Many studies have reported the negative effect of increasing burial depth on emergence of 289 several weeds species such as Amaranthus spinosus and A. viridis [1], Phalaris paradoxa 290 [26], Xanthium strumarium, Abutilon theophrasti, Pharbitis purpurea [27], Plantago 291 lanceolata, Digitaria sanguinalis, Portulaca oleracea, Stellaria media [28], Ambrosia 292 artemisiifolia [16]. Burial depth also influenced Shepherd's needle emergence percentage 293 and MET in our study. In most cases, as seeds were sowed deeper in soil the total 294 emergence percentage decreased and MET increased. It seemed that the 12.5 cm was the 295 critical depth (for the given soil type) for emergence of this weed, below which the seeds 296 poorly could give seedlings and their emergence was too delayed. In the burial tests carried 297 out during March, mean emergence time generally was smaller than that of November trials 298 (independently of burial depth). Differences in mean soil temperature at this period of time 299 could explain this.

300

301 Benvenuti and Macchia [29] suggested that affections of burial depth on weed seed 302 germination could be attributed to variation of different soil's layer characteristics, water 303 holding-capacity and gas environment conditions. Although no retrieval of buried seeds was 304 made in this study to check germination afterwards, suicide seed germination or induced 305 secondary seed dormancy could probably also has been another reason for low emergence 306 at deep sowing depths. On 25 November 2010 trial, statistically significant difference in total 307 emergence percentage between treatments was not observed (although there was for MET 308 measurements). During this day of trial installation soil moisture was very close to saturation 309 due to extended rainfall and the soil used to cover the buried seeds was not compressed 310 properly as in the other trials in order to avoid excessive soil compaction. This may have 311 altered soil's layer characteristics and, perhaps, the results of emergence percentage that 312 were observed at this experiment.

313

314 **5. CONCLUSION**

315

316 To summarize, seed weight of Shepherd's needle influenced its germination and emergence 317 characteristics, light seeds germinated and emerged quicker and in higher percentage than 318 heavy seeds. It seems that the variable seed size is a biological trait that could allow this 319 weed like other weed species to cope with the variable soil environment conditions found in 320 different fields. Also, the fact that seedling emergence is limited and delayed considerably 321 where seeds sit 12.5 cm or deeper below soil surface could make soil tillage at a depth of 15 322 cm or more with moldboard plough a useful tool in controlling this weed where it is a 323 problem. In case of cultivation of Shepherd's needle as a vegetable crop, these findings 324 could be very useful when seeds of this plant species are sown in the field.

- 325
- 326
- 327

328 COMPETING INTERESTS

329

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

330 331

333

366

367

332 **REFERENCES**

- Chauhan BS, Johnson DE. Germination ecology of spiny (*Amaranthus spinosus*)
 and slender amaranth (*A. viridis*): Troublesome weeds of direct-seeded rice. Weed
 Sci. 2009;57:379-385.
- Forcella F, Benech ARL, Sanchez R, Ghersa CM. Modeling seedling emergence.
 Field Crops Res. 2000;67:123–139.
- 339
 3. Lolas P. Weed Science: Weeds, Herbicides (in Greek). 2nd ed. Thessaloniki,
 340 Greece: Syghroni Paideia;2007
- 341
 342
 343
 4. Turnbull LA, Coomes D, Hector A, Rees M. Seed mass and the competition/colonization trade-off: competitive interactions and spatial patterns in a guild of annual plants. J. Ecol. 2004;92:97–109.
- Fenner M, Thompson K. The Ecology of Seeds. Cambridge. Cambridge University
 Press; 2005.
- 346
 347
 6. Harper JL, Lovell PH, Moore KG. The shapes and sizes of seeds. Ann. Rev. Ecol.
 347
 Syst. 1970;1:327–356.
- 348
 349
 7. Mohler CL. A model of the effects of tillage on emergence of weed seedlings.
 349 Ecological Applications 1993;3:53–73.
- Froud-Williams RJ, Chancellor RJ, Drennan DSH. Influence of cultivation regime on buried weed seeds in arable cropping systems. J. of Appl. Ecology 1983;20:199– 208.
- B. Hadjichambis ACH, Paraskeva-Hadjichambi D, Della A, Giusti M, Pasquale DE, Lenzarini C, Censorii E, Gonzales-Tejero MR, Sanchez-Rojas CP, Ramiro-Gutierrez
 J, Skoula M, Johnson CH, Sarpakia A, Hmomouchi M, Jorhi S, El-Demerdash M, ElZayat M, Pioroni A. Wild and semi-domesticated food plant consumption in seven
 circum-Mediterranean areas. Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr. 2008;59:383–414.
- 358 10. Souipas S, Bardabakis E, Lolas P. Seedling emergence of Shepherd's needle (Scandix pecten-veneris) as affected by year time and burial depth. 2nd International 359 360 Conference on «Novel and sustainable weed management in arid and semi-arid 361 agro-ecosystems» European Weed Research Society, 7-10 Sept. 2009, Santorini, 362 Greece. Accessed 12 Dec. 2012. Available: http://www.ewrs.org/arid/doc/EWRS Arid 2nd Conference Greece 2009.pdf 363
- 364 11. Ellis RH, Roberts EH. The quantification of aging and survival in orthodox seeds.
 365 Seed Sci. Technol. 1981;9:373-409.
 - 12. Stamp NE. Production and effect of seed size in a grassland annual (*Erodium brachycarpum*, Geraniaceae). Am. J. Bot. 1990;77: 874–882.
- 368
 13. Baloch HA, DiTommaso A, Watson AK. Intrapopulation variation in *Abutilon* 369 *theophrasti* seed mass and its relationship to seed germinability. Seed Sci. Res.
 370 2001;11: 335–343.
- Milberg P, Andersson L, Elfverson C, Regnér S. Germination characteristics of seeds differing in mass. Seed Sci. Res. 1996;6:191–197.
- 373 15. Cordazzo CV. Effect of seed mass on germination and growth in three dominant
 374 species in southern Brazilian coastal dunes. Brazil. J. of Biology 2002;62:427-435,
 375 199–208.
- 376 16. Guillemin JP, Chauvel B. Effects of the seed weight and burial depth on the seed
 377 behavior of common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*). Weed Biol. and Manag.
 378 2011;11:217–223.

379	17.	Bretagnolle F, Thompson JD, Lumaret R. The influence of seed size variation on
380		seed germination and seedling vigor in diploid and tetraploid Dactylis glomerata L.
381		Ann. Bot. 1995;76:605–615.
382	18.	Smith MAK. Influence of seed size on germination and seedling growth in giant blue-
383		stem. Agric. Sci. Digest. 1998;18:102–104.
384	19.	Cideciyan MA, Malloch AJC. Effect of seed size on the germination, growth and
385		competitive ability of Rumex crispus and Rumex obtusifolius. Journal of Ecology
386		1982;70:227-232.
387	20.	Baskin CC, Baskin JM. Seeds: ecology, biogeography, and evolution of dormancy
388		and germination. San Diego, California, USA: Academic Press;1998.
389	21.	Hendrix SD. Variation in seed weight and its effects on germination in Pastinaca
390		sativa L. (Umbelliferae). Am. J. Bot. 1984;71:795-802.
391	22.	Zhang JH. Seed dimorphism in relation to germination and growth of Cakile
392		edentula. Canadian Journal of Botany 1993;71:1231–1235.
393	23.	Susko DJ, Lovett-Doust L. Patterns of seed mass variation and their effects on
394		seedling traits in Alliaria petiolata. Am. J. Bot. 2000;87:56-66.
395	24.	Tungate KD, Susko DJ, Rufty TW. Reproduction and offspring competitiveness of
396		Senna obtusifolia influenced by nutrient availability. New Phytol. 2002;154:661–669.
397	25.	Stanton ML. Seed variation in wild radish: effect of seed size on components of
398		seedling and adult fitness. Ecology 1984;65:1105-1112.
399	26.	Taylor IN, Walker SR, Adkins SW. Burial depth and cultivation influence emergence
400		and persistence of Phalaris paradoxa seed in an Australian sub-tropical
401		environment. Weed Res. 2005;45:33–40.
402	27.	Zheng W, Zhang HX, Japhet W, Zhou D. Phenotypic plasticity of hypocotyl is an
403		emergence strategy for species with different seed size in response to light and
404		burial depth. J. of Food, Agric. & Env. 2011;9:742-747.
405	28.	Benvenuti S, Macchia M, Miele S. Quantitative analysis of emergence of seedlings
406		from buried weed seeds with increasing soil depth. Weed Sci. 2001;49:528–535.
407	29.	Benvenuti S, Macchia M. Effects of hypoxia on buried weed seed germination.
408		Weed Res.1995;35:343–351.