



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJPSS_15912
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>INFLUENCE OF POULTRY DROPPINGS ON SOIL CHEMICAL PROPERTIES AND PERFORMANCE OF RICE (Oriza Sativa L.) IN SOKOTO, SUDAN SAVANNA ZONE OF NIGERIA.</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title:</b> correct as directed.</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b> poorly written.</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> grossly incoherent . Gap in the knowledge of subject matter should be established.</p> <p><b>Methodology:</b> necessary facts and details not available in the experimental design.</p> <p><b>Results:</b> fairly well arranged with no quantitative presentation.</p> <p><b>Discussion:</b> no effort to discuss the result.</p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b> none at all.</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> brief and not based on the work.</p> <p><b>References:</b> some references were quoted out of context. Should be based on the journal's style.</p> <p><b>Ethical issues :</b> Yes but, quality of the language and some grammatical defaults need to be improve.</p>	<p>The reviewer's observations and comments have been attended to as suggested. The abstract, introduction, methodology and the result presentation and discussion have been seriously looked at and improved upon.</p> <p>The cited and listed references have been harmonized. Other necessary areas of adjustment/improvement have also been made.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>1. The abstract should begin by justifying the</p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<p>purpose of the study.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Most important components of the study were not mentioned in the abstract.</li> <li>3. The introduction should include current findings of studies related to rice, source, rate and method of application of organic manure.</li> <li>4. More details about the methods &amp; materials and standard methods for soil analysis should be described.</li> <li>5. Correlation and where possible regression analysis among growth/yield parameters and nutrient availability of mineralized organic matter from the poultry manure, should be included in this study.</li> <li>6. Most importantly, your study must as a matter of fact include; measurement of dry matter, nutrients (Ca, Mg, K and Na) concentration and nutrients uptake as part of yield component, so as to give a more understanding of the effect of manure on performance of rice.</li> </ol>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		