# SCIENCEDOMAIN international



#### www.sciencedomain.org

# **SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

## PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science			
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJPSS_13371			
Title of the Manuscript:	Agronomic Performance of Local and High Yielding Varieties of Boro Rice Under Different Age of Seedlings			

### PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)						Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The previous con	nment content is s	still maintained. T	he fact of stater	nents	in the revised	
manuscript [line 68- line 73] is substantiated by analysing the authors' data reported in						Reviewer's comments are appreciable. Actually BRRI recommend a general managemen
<b>Table7 and Table</b>	11. The maximis	sed age of seedling	gs determined o	on the	basis of yield	package for all rice varieties released for commercial cultivation. So, the attempt wa
as well as on the basis of varieties are as under:					-	undertaken considering the SRI theme to know the effect of seedling age on both high vielding and local cultivars.
Table Age of seed	iling that gives m	aximum producti	on (refer author	rs' Ta	ble 7)	
Age of	Yield,	Straw	Biological	H	larvest index,	I think degree of freedom is sufficient for a factorial randomized complete block design.
seedlings.	tonne/ha	.tonnes/ha	vield.tonnes/		/0	
davs	34.3	31	33		26	The reviewer put his commendable endeavour to review this article. He tried to analyze the
Table Age of seed authors data in T	llings that produc able 11 ie in resp	ce maximum yield ect of varieties.	l factors as opti	imise	d using	data through regression analysis. There were only three levels of age of seedling in the experiment. So, prediction may be erroneous using this insufficient data in regression. There is no scope of conducting the experiment further in the next season. The result
Variety, V	Yield	Net ret	urn US\$ BCR			whatever we obtained that was actual picture of the field experiment.
<b>-</b>	Maximised	predicted values	of age of seedlin	ng for		
	transplanti	ng, days	-	-		
V1 BRRI dhan 2	28 23	23 24		24		Thus the article may be considered to publish as a full-length paper with the constructive
V2 BRRIdhan 2	BRRIdhan 29 37 60			48		decision of the editorial board.
V3 Khoiya boro	3 Khoiya boro 25 29			26		
V4 Begunbichi	/4 Begunbichi 31 31			31		
These ages of seedlings are little lower than actual as there were only three data sets in the study ( $R^2 = 1$ in all cases), which was pointed out as a discrepancy in the scientific					three data sets in the scientific	
study. Had there	e been five data	sets the age of s	eedlings should	d hav	ve been longer.	
Nevertheless, as	pointed out earl	ier it proves that	authors were	not a	ble to visualise	
implications of da	ata and draw corr	ect picture of cro	p performance.	Now	as it is only one	
year study and i	it suffered set ba	ack of number of	treatments to	fulfi	I the minimum	
standard statistic	cal requirement	of degree of free	dom, the minin	num a	age of seedling	
snould not be les	S than 30 of it sh	ould go up to35 d	ays instead of 2	25 as 1	reported by the	
change it should l	bo thoroughly inv	ecommenuation s	eems to be corr	ella	nu to bring any	
change it should i	be thoroughly my	estigateu.				
Considering abov	Considering above facts three conclusions can be drawn.					
(1) Hybrid BRRI dhan 29 boro rice seedling should not be less tha 35 or even 40 days old. Or in other words, BBBI dhan 29 enables farmers to carryout planting for				a 35 ( arrvo	or even 40 days	
more num	ber of days so wo	rk pressure can b	e reduced.	uiiyo	at planting for	
(2) Begunbich	i should be plante	ed with minimum	35 days old see	dling	S.	
(3) The Hybrid BRRI dhan 28 and scented local variety Khoiyaboro cultivation is not economically promising.					ultivation is not	
Therefore, if authors wish to publish this article they have to include this analysis and					nis analysis and	
report these findings. The revised article as a short note (not more than 4-5 printed						
pages) should be resubmitted to the journal. As such as indicated in the second revision						





#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org

**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1** 

it is further substantiated that the results of the study will be misleading as per second revision. Appropriate scientific data analysis has substantiated this fact.	
The time devoted by the researchers is appreciated. But, the scientific rigour demanded these quality control measures.	
Requires major revision as a short note and include the suggested results in this comment.	