

Editorial decision:

The manuscript deals with important topic of the rice production and soil fertilization. Nevertheless, the manuscript needs many formal corrections, in spite of the fact, that revised version was fundamentally improved.

1. The conclusion should be richer, the period and way of fertilization of recommended dose of poultry must be mentioned.
2. There are still many grammar mistakes in the text, especially combined words, for example in Sokoto State of Nigeria. Please, what is correct: Usmanu Danfodiyo or Usmanu Danfodiyo? The mistakes were found in many citations, like Ogunwole et al., 2005 or Usman et al. (2007): Why not Ogunwole et al., 2005 or Usman et al. (2007) in corrected manuscript? There are many mistakes of this kind in the manuscript, I only mentioned: Abstract – 7 mistakes, Introduction – 11 mistakes etc, even in very short chapters are many mistakes, for example Plant Height – 5 mistakes etc.
3. Please, check carefully the units, for example table 2: mg/kg, probably should be mg.kg⁻¹, the same in the text on page 6.
4. The References must be checked and corrected, the form must be unified. There are many formal mistakes (missing spaces after dots, missing dashes.... For example: Adeboye, M. K. A.; E. N. O. Iwuafor and J. Agbenin (2006). – correct form will be Adeboye, M. K. A.; E. N. O. Iwuafor and Agbenin, J. (2006).

Obi M. E. and Ebo P. O. (1995). ??Ezeibekwe, I.O. Ogbonnaya C.I. and Onuoha, C.I. (2009). Comparative Effect of Poultry manure and Urea on the Growth and Yield of Maize (Zeamays L.) Report and Opinion, (4) <http://www.sciencepub.net/report>.

Author's feedback:

I believed an uncorrected version was mistakenly sent as revised copy. All the observations made by the editor were acknowledged and correction/improvement have been made upon as suggested.