



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJPSS_13371
Title of the Manuscript:	Agronomic Performance of Local and High Yielding Varieties of Boro Rice Under Different Age of Seedlings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Add justification how and why seedling age 25days is the best? The authors claim lacks experimental evidence as their study did not include period beyond 25 days.</p> <p>Add research need to have interaction of age vs no of seedlings planting/hill</p> <p>Method of creating seedlings sown on different days vs seedling raised on one day and planted on different days. This fact depicts the real practice of rice cultivation and takes account of variations in the optimum date of sowing.</p> <p>The SRI is claimed as innovation on plant physiology which finds low consideration in the research of authors in their article.</p> <p>How is world's feeling and acceptance of Boro rice which is blamed to emit methane and nitrous oxide throughout the period, ie by aman and boro rice cultivation in Bangladesh and many other countries.</p> <p>Why rice growing countries do not think of crop diversification for reducing water consumption, improving food quality and reducing intake of As in the food chain?</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	Some part of English in the text where they claim their best result of 25 days of seedling age.	
Optional/General comments	<p>The article is traditional agronomical experiment, based on traditional knowledge of agronomy in Bangladesh.</p> <p>It is valuable for Bangladesh, but for international readership it has low value on the universal application of the resulting science.</p> <p>IF it is for Bangladesh journal, it is o.k., but for international readability and use ability it gets low merit.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	India