2 3

1

4

- 5
- 6
- 7

<u>Original Research Article</u> Effects of Fertilization Patterns using Mineral and Organic Fertilizers on Growth and Yield of Cucumber under Greenhouse

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to investigate the effects of four fertilization patterns on growth parameters, and yield of cucumber crop under greenhouse cultivation. A field experiment was carried out at the experimental farm of Palestine Technical University Kadoorie located at Tulakrm, Palestine. Cucumber seedlings were planted on 14 February 2012 in greenhouse at a rate of 1500 seedlings per 1000 m². Four fertilization patterns were examined during the growing period of cucumber crop as follows: traditional fertilization (TF), mineral fertilization (MF), mineral fertilization plus humic acids (MFHA), and liquid organic fertilization (LOF).

Samples were collected from different sites at soil depths of 0-15 and 15-30 cm for evaluating the physical and chemical properties of the soil. The soil of the experimental plot can be classified as clay texture with bulk density in the upper 30 cm of 1.22 g cm^{-3} . The soil had no salinity problem with saturation extract ECe of 0.9 - 1 dS/m. Plant data were collected during the growing period of cucumber crop for evaluating the total yield, plant height, number of harvested fruits per plant, weight of harvested fruits per plant and dry matter of above and under ground parts.

Results of this study indicated that the average yield of investigated treatments indicated that the MFHA treatment obtained the highest crop yield of 72.30 t ha⁻¹, followed by 67.36, 61.73 and 58.07 t ha⁻¹, for MF, TF and LOF treatments, respectively. The MFHA treatment obtained the highest fruit number per plant followed by MF, TF and LOF, respectively. At the end of the growing period, the MFHA obtained the highest dry matter, while the LOF treatment gave the smallest one compared to the other fertilization treatments. The MFHA treatments, respectively.

8

9

Keywords: Cucumber, Greenhouse, Organic fertilizer, Fertilization, Humic acids.

10 11

12 **1. INTRODUCTION**

13

14 During the past few decades, intensive agriculture involving exhaustive high yielding 15 varieties has led to heavy withdrawal of nutrients from the soil. Generally, excessive amounts of inorganic fertilizers are applied to vegetables in order to achieve a higher yield 16 17 (Stewart, et al., 2005), and maximum value of growth (Arisha, and Bradisi, 1999; Badr, and Fekry, 1998; Dauda, et al., 2008). However, the overuse of inorganic fertilizers alone 18 19 damage soil structure, increases soil acidity, causes nutrient imbalance, and decrease crop 20 sustainability and may cause problems for human health and the environment (Arisha, and 21 Bradisi, 1999; Khan et al., 2008; Obi and Ebo, 1995). Long-term studies on various crops indicated that the balanced use of NPK fertilizer could not maintain the higher yields over 22 23 years because of emergence of secondary and micronutrient deficiencies and deterioration 24 of soil physical properties. On the other hand, use of organic manures alone can not fulfill 25 the crop nutrients requirement (Kondapa, et al., 2009).

27 Bokhtiar et al. (2008) reported that organic manures, when applied with chemical fertilizers 28 gave better yield than individual ones. Murwira and Kirchman (1993) observed that nutrient 29 use efficiency might be increased through the combination of manure and inorganic fertilizer. 30 In recent times, consumers are demanding higher quality and safer food and highly 31 interested in organic products (Ouda and Mahadeen, 2008). Hence there is urgent need to 32 improve organic fertilizers with natural minerals through biological processes. Organic manure has been used as a soil conditioner since ancient times and its benefit have not 33 34 been fully harnessed due to large quantities required in order to satisfy the nutritional needs 35 of crops (Makinde et al., 2007). The efficiency of organic material utilization by a crop is determined by the method of application, time to incorporation, and the rate of 36 37 decomposition in the soil (Achieng et al., 2010). Improvement of environmental conditions 38 and public health are important reasons for advocating increased use of organic materials (Maritus and Vleic, 2001; Ojenivi, 2000). The complementary use of organic and inorganic 39 40 fertilizers has been recommended for sustenance of long term cropping in the tropics 41 (Ipimoroti et al., 2002).

42

43 Humic substances (humic and fulvic acids) are commercial products contain many elements 44 which improve the soil fertility and increasing the availability of nutrient elements and 45 consequently affects plant growth and yield (Akinremi et al., 2000; Stevenson, 1979). Humic 46 substances which are the major components of soil organic matter are mostly used to 47 eliminate the adverse effects of chemical fertilizers and decrease soil pH (Akinci et al., 2009; 48 Katkat et al., 2009; Chen and Aviad, 1990). Compost enhances the environmental 49 sustainability of agriculture by decreasing chemical inputs and increasing soil organic matter (Mathur et al., 1993). Adding different organic compost to the soil caused remarkable 50 improvement of different growth characters and yield (Tara et al., 1996). Abou-Hadid et al. 51 52 (2011) found that application of organic matter increased the early and final yield.

53

54 The objectives of this study were to investigate the effects of four fertilization patterns 55 including traditional fertilization, mineral fertilization, mineral fertilization plus humic acid and 56 liquid organic fertilization on growth parameters and yield of cucumber cultivated under 57 protected agriculture.

58 59

60 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

61

62 2.1 Field experimental layout

63 64 This investigation was out at the experimental farm of Palestine Technical University-Kadoorie located at Tulkarm, Palestine (Latitude: 32.31; Longitude: 35.02). A greenhouse 65 66 with an area of 1000 m² was used for the experiment. Each treatment has and area of 250 67 m², with five replicates per each treatment. Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.), Nasim variety, were planted at a rate of 1500 seedlings per 1000 m² on 14 February 2012. The soil of the 68 experimental plot can be classified as clay texture with bulk density in the upper 30 cm of 69 70 1.22 g cm⁻³. A drip irrigation system with emitter discharge at a rate of 4 I hr⁻¹ and with 71 spacing between emitters of 40 cm was installed at spacing between laterals of 120 cm, and 72 plastic mulch was applied at each planting row.

73

74 **2.2 Fertilization treatments**

75

Four fertilization patterns were examined during the growing period of cucumber crop as follows: traditional fertilization (TF), mineral fertilization (MF), mineral fertilization plus humic acids (MFHA), and liquid organic fertilization (LOF). The amount of mineral fertilizers to be applied under (TF) treatment was estimated according to the recommended amount of 80 fertilizers which usually applied by the farmers in the experimental area. The amount of mineral fertilizer to be applied under (MF) treatment was calculated according to the 81 82 recommended NPK requirements of cucumber plants during different growth periods as given in Table 1. The amount of mineral fertilizer to be applied under (MFHA) treatment was 83 the same as (MF) treatment plus humic acid at a rate of 40 I ha⁻¹ was applied at split doses 84 85 with mineral fertilizers during the growing period. The amount of liquid organic fertilizer under (LOF) treatment was calculated according to the recommended NPK requirements of 86 cucumber as given in Table 1. Same amount of compost 5 ton ha¹⁻ were applied for all the 87 88 investigated treatments and mixed with the soil before planting.

89

90 Table 1. Major nutrient requirements of cucumber crop cultivated in greenhouse.

91

	Nutrient requirements (g du ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)		
Plant growth stages	N	P_2O_5	K₂O
Transplanting - 14 days	100	100	100
14-35 days	200	100	200
35- end of growing season	250	100	350

92

93 The amount and type of mineral fertilizers, humic acids and liquid organic fertilizer which 94 applied to the four fertilization treatments during the different growth periods of cucumber 95 plants cultivated under greenhouse are given in Table 2.

96

97 Table 2. Type and amount of fertilizers applied during the growing period of 98 cucumber.

99

Growth	Fertilization Treatments			
stages (days)	TF	MF	MFHA	LOF
Transplanting	250 kg/ha	130 kg/ha	130 kg/ha	160 l/ha
-14 days	(13:13:13)	(13:13:13)	(13:13:13)	bio-fish
-		10 l/ha humic acids		
14-35 days	680 kg/ha	620 kg/ha	620 kg/ha	210 l/ha
-	(11:8:20)	(11:8:20)	(11:8:20)	bio-fish
			10 l/ha humic acids	
35-110 days	4140 kg/ha	2560 kg/ha	2560 kg/du	1100 l/ha
-	(11:8:20)	(11:8:20)	(11:8:20)	bio-fish
		. ,	20 I /ha humic acids	

100

101

102

103 104

105 2.3 Measurements

106

107 Soil samples' analyses for the experimental site were performed before planting (Table 3). 108 Samples were collected from different sites at soil depths of 0-15 and 15-30 cm for 109 evaluating the physical and chemical properties of the soil. Soil samples were analyzed for gravimetric soil moisture content, soil pH and electrical conductivity by saturation past, soil 110 particle size distribution by hydrometer method, soil bulk density by core method, 111 phosphorus content was determined using spectrophotometer according to Wantanabe and 112 Olsen (1965), potassium content was determined photo-metrically using flame photometer 113 as described by Chapman and Pratt (1961). Physical and chemical properties of the soil 114 were described by Piper (1950), Jackson (1967) and Black (1969). The soil of the 115

experimental site had no salinity problem with saturation extract ECe of 0.9 - 1 dS/m. The results indicated a soil pH of 8.3.

118

119 Plant data were collected during the growing period of cucumber crop for evaluating the total 120 vield, plant height, number of harvested fruits per plant, weight of harvested fruits per plant 121 and dry matter of above and under ground parts. Total dry matter was determined after fruit 122 harvesting using three plants from each replicate (whole plants minus fruits). Leaves, roots 123 and stems were separated and weighed to obtain root and shoot (leaves and stem) dry 124 weight after drying at 65 °C for one week to constant weight. Harvesting was done manually from 40 to 117 days after transplanting. The total cucumber fruits produced were weighed 125 126 using a digital balance.

127

128	Table 3. Some selected physical and chemical properties of the soil.
129	

		Soil depth (cm)		
Parameters	unit	0-15	15-30	
Texture		clay	clay	
Sand	%	19	8	
Silt	%	26	29	
Clay	%	55	63	
FC	%	36	36	
PWP	%	16	16	
Bulk density	g cm⁻³	1.20	1.25	
рН		8.3	8.3	
EC _e	dS/m	1.0	0.9	
Ca ⁺²	ppm	99.2	78.1	
Mg ⁺²	ppm	36.5	31.5	
Na⁺	ppm	58.1	47.3	
K ⁺	ppm	8.7	7.6	
Cl	ppm	197	166	
HCO ₃	ppm	69.0	54.4	
CO ₃	ppm	10.6	10.2	
CaCO ₃ ⁻	%	18.3	17.6	
NO ₃ -N	ppm	29.5	29.1	
PO_4^-	ppm	8.5	13.8	

130 131

132 2.4 Statistical analysis

133

The effects of fertilization treatments on growth and yield of cucumber crop cultivated in greenhouse were analyzed using a randomized complete block design, using four treatments with five replicates per each treatment. Collected data in this study were analyzed and examined statistically using analysis of variance (ANOVA) from the Statistical Analysis System (SPSS) appropriate for a randomized complete block design. Means were compared by LSD test at 5% level of significance. The mean values of each treatment are designated by letters (a, b, c) which represent the significance degree of the difference between the means. Means represented by two letters in common indicate that the difference is not significant or weakly significant.

143 144

145 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

146

147 3.1 Yield and yield components

148

149 The effects of fertilization treatments on cucumber yield are shown in Figure 1. The average vield of investigated treatments indicated that the MFHA treatment obtained the highest crop 150 yield of 72.30 t ha⁻¹, while the yield of MF, TF and LOF treatments were 67.36, 61.73 and 151 58.07 t ha⁻¹, respectively. Statistical analysis given in Table 4 indicated that the yield 152 153 obtained under MFHA was significantly higher than that under TF, MF and LOF treatments. 154 On average, cucumber yield under MFHA treatment was 19%, 14% and 6% higher than that under LOF, TF and MF, respectively. These results may be attributed to the fact that humic 155 156 acids when combined with inorganic fertilizers had positive impact on plant growth through 157 enhancing soil fertility, increasing cation exchange capacity and increasing nutrient 158 availability. These results agree with the findings of Akinci et al. (2009) and Stevenson 159 (1994), Moreover, Chen and Aviad (1990) reported that humic acids have positive effects on 160 plant biomass under condition of adequate mineral nutrition. Similar results were reported by studies in climbing beam (Ece et al., 2007), canola (Akinci et al., 2009), common millet 161 (Saruhan et al., 2011a) and common vetch (Saruhan et al., 2011b) in which the combined 162 163 use of humic substance with recommended inorganic fertilizer doses significantly increased 164 the forage yields of common millet and common vetch, the marketable yield of climbing bean 165 and the dry matter of yield of canola.

166

167 Despite their lower nutrient supply, humic substances increase the availability of nutrient 168 element by holding the mineral elements on the mineral surfaces and converting them into 169 forms available to plants, which eventually leads to a great uptake of nutrients into the plant 170 root and through the cell membrane (Stevenson, 1994; Tipping, 2002; Kulikova et al., 2005; 171 Akinci, 2009).





175 Fig. 1. Yield of cucumber crop under different fertilization treatments.

The yield components are given in Table 4. The yield components; total yield, plant yield, 176 177 number of fruit per plant were significantly affected by applied fertilization treatments. The 178 MFHA treatment obtained the highest fruit number per plant followed by MF, TF and LOF, 179 respectively. These results indicated that the humic acids when applied with mineral 180 fertilizers increased the absorption and assimilation of nutrients by plant roots. The yield of 181 cucumber was significantly reduced by the application of liquid organic fertilization treatment. Statistical analysis given in Table 4 indicated that the number of fruit per plant, the plant 182 183 length and the dry matter under MFHA was significantly higher than that under TF, MF and 184 LOF treatments.

185

186	Table 4. Yield components of cucumb	er plants under different fertilization treatments.
-----	-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------

187

Yield components	Treatments			
	TF	MF	MFHA	LOF
Total yield, t ha ⁻¹	61.73 ^{ab}	67.36 ^{bc}	72.30 ^c	58.07 ^a
Plant yield, kg plant ⁻¹	4.12 ^a	4.48 ^{ab}	5.122 ^b	3.909 ^a
Number of fruits per plant during the season	67 ^b	73 ^{bc}	82 ^c	51 ^a
Plant length, cm	158 ^a	159 ^a	177 ^a	162 ^a
Above-ground dry matter at last stage, g plant ⁻¹	110 ^b	110 ^b	123 ^c	91 ^a
Under-ground dry matter at last stage, g plant ⁻¹	1.50 ^a	1.70 ^a	2.28 ^b	1.61 ^a

Within rows means followed by the same letters are not significantly different according to LSD at 0.05
level. TF: traditional fertilization, MF: mineral fertilization, MFHA: mineral fertilization plus humic acids,
LOF: liquid organic fertilization.

191

The effect of fertilization treatments on plant length of cucumber cultivated under greenhouse was investigated and shown in Figure 2. The different fertilization treatments had no effect on plant length until the days 89 from transplanting. Further more, at the end of the growing period, the MFHA treatment obtained the highest plant length compared to the other treatments; while the smallest plant length was attained under TF treatment.



Fig. 2. Plant length of cucumber plants under different fertilization treatments.

Data given in Table 5 indicated that the highest significant value of number of fruit per plant
(82 fruits) was observed under MFHA treatment, while the LOF treatment gave the lowest
significant value (51 fruits).

206 Table 5. The number of fruit per plant under four fertilization treatments

2	n	7
4	υ	1

	Fertilization treatments			
Harvesting event	TF	MF	MFHA	LOF
Week 1	7.0 ± 0.76	7.8 ± 0.46	7.0 ± 0.0	5.0 ± 2.0
Week 2	4.3 ± 0.89	6.3 ± 1.16	5.5 ± 0.53	4.3 ± 0.89
Week 3	5.5 ± 0.53	4.8 ± 1.39	6.8 ± 1.75	4.5 ± 0.53
Week 4	6.3 ± 1.39	5.3 ± 1.16	7.3 ± 1.16	3.0 ± 1.31
Week 5	5.5 ± 1.77	7.0 ± 0.0	9.0 ± 1.31	6.3 ± 1.58
Week 6	7.0 ± 1.69	7.0 ± 2.0	8.8 ± 0.89	2.5 ± 3.42
Week 7	7.8 ± 0.89	8.8 ± 0.46	10.3 ± 1.16	8.5 ± 1.20
Week 8	8.0 ± 3.12	9.5 ± 2.20	11.3 ± 1.39	7.0 ± 2.27
Week 9	8.3 ± 1.91	8.8 ± 2.87	7.5 ± 1.77	4.8 ± 0.89
Week 10	7.5 ± 0.93	7.5 ± 0.93	9.0 ± 1.55	5.0 ± 3.46
Sum	67 ± 5.8	73 ± 4.8	82 ± 3.8	51 ± 10.5

214 3.2 Plant dry matter

Plant dry matter was measured for both above and under-ground organs. The investigations of this study indicated that, at the end of the growing period, the MFHA obtained the highest above-ground dry matter, while the LOF treatment gave the smallest one compared to the other fertilization treatments. It is observed that plant growth was inhibited under LOF treatment after the days 105 from transplanting. Moreover, results of this study indicated that the highest dry matter of the underground organs was obtained under MFHA treatment and the lowest one was under TF treatment.





Fig. 3. Above-ground dry matter of cucumber plants under different fertilization treatments.





229 230

Fig. 4. Under-ground dry matter of cucumber plants under different fertilization treatments.

232

233

3.3 Irrigation depths and water use efficiency

234

All treatments received the same amount of irrigation water (300 mm) during the growing period, except for the TF treatment which received higher amount (367 mm). Irrigation depth was estimated using modified FAO Penman–Monteith method (Allen et al., 1998). A CROPWAT Software version 7.0 (Smith, 1992) was used for estimating the crop evapotranspiration. A set of climatic data, air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and solar radiation outside the greenhouse was collected for estimating reference evapotranspiration. The crop coefficient was taken 0.6 for initial stage, 1.15 for mid-season 242 stage and 0.8 for the late stage. The irrigation depth of the TF treatment was estimated 243 according to the amount of irrigation water used by the farmers in the experimental area. 244 The amount of irrigation water applied for the different fertilization treatments presented in 245 Table 6. The TF treatment used the highest amount of irrigation water during the growing 246 period. 247

- 248 Water use efficiency (WUE) was estimated as the ratio of the cucumber yield to irrigation 249 water applied according to FAO (1982). Data on WUE for all fertilization treatments are 250 presented in Table 6. The results of the investigated treatments indicated that, the MFHA treatment obtained the highest WUE followed by MF, LOF and TF treatments, respectively. 251 252
- 253

254 Table 6. Amount of applied fertilizers, irrigation depth, and yield of cucumber under 255 different fertilization treatments 256

Treatments	Applied fertilizers	Irrigation depth (mm)	WUE kg m ³⁻	Yield (t ha ⁻¹)
TF	5070 kg ha ⁻¹ N-P-K	367	17 ^a	61.73 ^{ab}
MF	3310 kg ha ⁻¹ N-P-K	300	22 °	67.36 ^{bc}
MFHA	3310 kg ha ⁻¹ N-P-K	300	24 °	72.03 ^c
	40 l ha^{-1} humic acids			
LOF	1470 l ha ⁻¹ bio-fish	300	19 ^b	58.07 ^a

Within columns means followed by the same letters are not significantly different according to LSD at 258 0.05 level. WUE: water use efficiency.

259

257

260

3.4 Soil moisture content 261

262

263 The soil moisture content of different fertilization treatments is presented in Figure 5. It is 264 observed that the soil moisture content of the TF treatment was higher than that under the 265 other treatments. This is attributed to the fact that, high amount of irrigation water was 266 applied for this treatment. In MFHA treatment, it is observed that the soil moisture content 267 was lower than the other treatment during the whole growing period. This can be explained 268 by higher water uptake that took place by plant roots during the growing period.



269 270 Fig. 5. Soil moisture content under different fertilization treatments at soil depth 0-15 cm.

271 **4. CONCLUSION**

272

Results of this study indicated that the inorganic fertilizer when combined with humic acids 273 274 had positive impact on plant growth and yield of cucumber plants. It is indicated that the yield 275 components; total yield, plant yield, number of fruit per plant were significantly affected by 276 the fertilization treatments. On average, cucumber yield under MFHA treatment was 19%, 14% and 6% higher than that under LOF, TF and MF, respectively. The investigations of this 277 278 study indicated that the MFHA obtained the highest above-ground dry matter, while the LOF 279 treatment gave the smallest one compared to the other fertilization treatments. The results of 280 the investigated treatments indicated that, the MFHA treatment obtained the highest WUE 281 followed by MF, LOF and TF treatments, respectively. 282

283 COMPETING INTERESTS

284

285 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

287 AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

288

286

Author MR designed the study, wrote the protocol, managed the literature searches,
 followed the field work and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author AQ managed the
 analyses of the study and performed the statistical analysis.

292 293

294 **REFERENCES**

295

Abou-Hadid AF, Amin OM, Abdel-Fattah AI, Ezzat MS. Effect of composted greenhouse
wastes on macro-nutrients concentration and productivity of cucumber. Acta Hort. 2011;
549: 123-130.

Achieng JO, Ouma G, Odhiambo G, Muyekho F. 2010. Effect of farmyard manure and
 inorganic fertilizers on maize production on alfisols and ultisols in Kakamega, western
 Kenya. Agric. Biol. J. N. Am. 2010; 1: 430–43.

Akinci S, Buyukkeskin T, Eroglu A, Erdogan BE. The effect of humic acid on nutrient
 composition in broad bean (Vicia faba L.) Roots Not. Sci. Biol. 2009; 1: 81–87.

Akinremi OO, Janzen HH, Lemke RL, Larney FJ. Response of canola, wheat and green
 beans to leonardite additions. Can. J. Soil. Sci. 2000; 437–443.

Allen, R.G., Pereira, L., Raes, D., Smith, M., 1998. Crop evapotranspiration guidelines for
 computing crop water requirements. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56. UN-FAO, Rome,
 Italy.

Arisha HM, Bradisi A. Effect of mineral fertilizers and organic fertilizers on growth, yield and
 quality of potato under sandy soil conditions. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 1999; 26: 391–405.

Badr LA, Fekry WA. Effect of intercropping and doses of fertilization on growth and
 productivity of taro and cucumber plants. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 1998; 25: 1087–101

313 Black, C.A., 1969. Methods of soil analysis. Amer. Soc. of Agron. Inc., Madison, USA.

- Bokhtiar SM, Paul GC, Alam KM. Effects of organic and inorganic fertilizer on growth, yield,
 and juice quality and residual effects on ratoon crops of sugarcane. Journal of Plant
 Nutrition. 2008; 31(10):1832 1843.
- Chapman HD, Pratt F. Methods of analysis for soils, plants and water. Univ. of Calif., USA;
 1961.
- Chen Y, Aviad T. Effect of humic substances on plant growth. In: P. MacCarthy, editor.
 Humic Substances in Soil and Crop Sciences: Selected Readings. Madison, WI USA:
 American Society of Agronomy, 1990; pp. 161–186.
- 322 Dauda SN, Ajayi FA, Ndor E.2008. Growth and yield of water melon (Citrullus lanatus) as
 323 affected by poultry manure application. J. Agric. Soc. Sci. 2008;(4): 121–4.
- Ece A, Saltali K, Eryigit N, Uysal F. The effects of leonardite applications on climbing bean
 (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) yield and soil properties. J. Agron. 2007; (6): 480–483.
- Food and Agriculture Organization. Crop water requirements. Irrigation and Drainage Paper
 No. 24, FAO, Rome, Italy; 1982.
- Ipimoroti RR, Daniel MA, Obatolu CR. 2002. Effect of organic mineral fertilizer on tea growth
 at Kusuku Mabila Plateau Nigeria. Moor. J. Agric. Res. 2002;(3): 180-183.
- 330 Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA; 1967.
- Katkat AV, Lelik H, Turan MA, Asik BB. 2009. Effects of soil and foliar applications of humic
 substances on dry weight and mineral nutrients uptake of wheat under calcareous soil
 conditions. Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci. 2009;(3): 1266–1273.
- Khan HZ, Malik MA, Saleem MF. Effect of rate and source of organic material on the
 production potential of spring maize (Zea mays L.). Pak. J. Agric. Sci. 2008;(45): 40–43.
- Kondapa D, Radder BM, Patil PL, Hebsur NS, Alagundagi SC. Effect of integrated nutrient
 management on growth, yield and economics of chilli (Cv. Byadgi dabbi) in a vertisol.
 Karnataka J. Agric. Sci. 2009; 22(2): 438-440.
- Kulikova NA, Stepanova EV, Koroleva OV. 2005. Mitigating activity of humic substances:
 direct influence on biota. In: Perminova IV, Hatfield K, Hertkorn N, editors. Use of Humic
 Substances to Remediate Polluted Environments: From Theory to Practice. Netherlands:
 Springer. 2005; pp. 285–310.
- Makinde EA, Ayoola OT, Akande MO. 2007. Effects of organo-mineral fertilizer application
 on the growth and yield of egusi melon. Australian J. Basic Appl. Sci. 2007;(1):15-19.
- Maritus CH, Vleic PL. The management of organic matter in tropical soils. What are the
 priorities? Nutrient cycling in Agroecosystems. 2001;(61):1-6.
- Mathur G, Owen G, Dinel H, Schnitzer M. Determination of compost biomaturity. Biol. Agric.
 Hortic. 1993;(10): 65-85.
- 349 Murwira HK, Kirchman AK. Carbon and nitrogen mineralization of cattle manures subjected 350 to different treatment in Zimbabwean and Swedish soils: In Mulongoy K and Merckr KR

- (editors) Soil organic matter dynamics and sustainability of tropical agriculture. 1993; pp.
 189-198.
- Obi ME, Ebo PO. 1995. The effect of different management practices in the soil physical
 properties and maize production in severely degraded soil in /Southern Nigeria. Biol. Res.
 Technol. 1995;(51): 117-123.
- 356 Ojeniyi SO. Effect of goat manure on soil nutrients and okra yield in a rain forest area of 357 Nigeria. Appl. Tropical Agric. 2000;(5):20-23.
- 358 Ouda BA, Mahadeen AY. Effect of fertilizers on growth, yield, yield components, quality and 359 certain nutrient contents in broccoli (Brassica oleracea) Int. J. Agri. Biol. 2008(10):627-632.
- 360 Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis. Inter Science Publisher Inc., NY, USA; 1950
- Saruhan V, Kusvuran A, Kkten K. The effect of different replications of humic acid fertilization
 on yield performances of common vetch (Vicia sativa L.). Afr. J. Biotechnol. 2001a;(10):
 5587–5592.
- Saruhan V, Kuşvuran A, Babat S. The effect of different humic acid fertilization on yield and
 yield components performances of common millet (Panicum miliaceum L.). Sci. Res.
 Essays. 2011b;(6): 663–669.
- 367 Smith M. CROPWAT: a computer program for irrigation planning and management. FAO 368 Irrigation and Drainage Paper 46. UN-FAO, Rome, Italy; 1992.
- 369 Stevenson FJ. Humates: facts and fantasies on their value as commercial soil amendment.
 370 Crops Soils. 1979;(31): 14–16.
- 371 Stevenson FJ. Humus Chemistry: Genesis, Composition, Reactions. 2nd ed. New York, NY,
 372 USA: Wiley; 1994.
- 373 Stewart MW, Dibb WD, Johnston EA, Smyth JT. The contribution of commercial fertilizer
 374 nutrients to food production. Agron. J. 2005;(97): 1-6.
- Tara A, Brien O, Allen VB, 1996. Growth of peppermint in compost. J.Herbs, Spices Med.
 Plants. 1996;(4): 19-27.
- Tipping E. Cation Binding by Humic Substances. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University
 Press; 2002.
- 379 Wantanabe FS, Olsen SR. Test of an ascorbic acid method for determining phosphorus in 380 water and NaHCO3 extracts from soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 1965; (29): 677-678.