Original Research Article

Yield Stability of Newly Released Wheat Varieties in Multi-Environments of Bangladesh

ABSTRACT

Varietal adaptability to environmental fluctuations is important for the stabilization of crop production on both region and season. In this context, one thousand six trials with four wheat varieties *viz.,* BARI Gom 25, BARI Gom 26, BARI Gom 27 and BARI Gom 28) were conducted in forty districts of 8 agricultural regions in Bangladesh during 2013-14. Objectives of this study were to evaluate the mean yield performance and yield stability of the newly released varieties in different regions of the country in different environmental status. Yield of 4 varieties significantly varied among the locations. The highest mean yield was obtained from Rangpur region (4275.15 kg ha^{-1}) followed by Jessore region (4266 kg ha^{-1}). Yield of these two regions were statistically similar but significantly higher than other regions. In AMMI analysis, AMMI-1 biplot showed that Rangpur and Rajshahi were favorable environments; Sylhet and Comilla were unfavorable environments. Considering varieties, BARI Gom 26 and BARI Gom 25 were more stable and can be recommended for all environmental condition of Bangladesh. On the other hand BARI Gom 27 was more sensitive to changing production environments. In AMMI-2 biplot, Comilla, Sylhet and Dhaka were the most discriminating environments for all tested varieties, while BARI Gom 26 and BARI Gom 25 were the more stable genotypes. The most suitable varieties for Rangpur, Rajshahi and Mymensingh were BARI Gom 26; BARI Gom 25 for Sylhet; BARI Gom 27 for Comilla, Jessore and Barishal while variety BARI Gom 28 was suitable for Dhaka. Among the varieties, BARI Gom 26 and BARI Gom 25 had higher mean grain yield values coupled with small positive IPCA 1 scores and hence these are recommended for all locations.

Key words: Yield stability; *Triticum aestivum*; Adaptability; AMMI analysis

1. INTRODUCTION

The agricultural sector plays a very significant role in the Bangladesh economy as well socially and culturally. Agriculture accounts for about 20% of the country's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (NAPA 2012). In addition, agriculture provides more than 60% of the national employment. The agriculture sector is an important tool to reach different goals stated in the Poverty Reduction Strategy as it directly reaches the poorest in the rural areas, proving both food and cash at the same time. Wheat is the second most important cereal crop after rice in Bangladesh (Sarker et al. 2014) and its consumption is increasing 3% per year (Karim *et al.*, 2010). The tragic irony is that the wheat production in the country 1.4 million tons (USDA 2014), which is much bellow than the annual requirement. This deficit accounts about 75% of country's annual consumption and it is met, through imports (Hussain, 2012). Wheat production has increased steadily from around 0.115 million tons in 1971-72 to 1.9 million tons in 1999 and then gradually decreased to 0.763 million tons in 2006-07 (BBS, 2007, Hossain and Teixeira da Silva, 2013). This low production is mainly due to the reduction of area under wheat cultivation. The highest wheat growing area was 0.85 million hectares in 1999 which now came down to 0.45 (2013-14) million ha (BBS, 2014). Wheat is to compete with other profitable crops like Boro rice, corn, potato and winter vegetables which insisting farmers to push wheat crop in marginal lands from fertile ones and this is considered as the main reason for decreasing area under wheat (WRC, 2009). Yield was also decreasing due to cultivation of old varieties that are susceptible to leaf rust and BpLB, knowledge gap about recommended technologies (Sufian, 2005).

For increasing wheat production in Bangladesh, it is very important to adopt new technologies recommended by Wheat Research Centre (WRC, 2014). Use of power tiller operated seeder (PTOS) to confirm timely seeding and use of wheat thresher is also important to save the quality of seeds from early monsoon. WRC of Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI) has released 30 varieties in conventional breeding approach and most of the later released varieties are better than previous one (BARI 2013) in respect of yield, disease and terminal heat tolerance. But those varieties and other technologies are not being adopted by the farmers in a satisfactory rate due to their inadequate knowledge about the varieties and technologies and insufficient extension efforts (Pandit *et al.*, 2007).

Crop adaptability is commonly understood as wide or local adaptation as a result of high mean grain

57 yield and yield stability across environments and seasons. On the other hand, yield stability of a
58 cultivar is defined as consistency of yield response of variety(ies) across environments or seasons
59 (Farshadfar et al. 2012). Varietal adaptability to environmental fluctuations is important for the
60 stabilization of crop production on both region and season. Information on the genotype x
61 environment interaction is essential to the successful evaluation of stable genotypes (Yan et al. 2000).
62 Multi-environment trials (MET) are conducted to evaluate the yield stability performance of different
63 genetic materials under different environmental conditions (Delacy et al. 1996, Yan et al.
64 2000). Oftentimes, genotypes subject to different environments show significant fluctuations on the
65 yield responses. These changes are influenced by different environmental conditions commonly
66 referred to as genotype-by-environment interaction (Allard & Bradshow 1964). The action of GE
67 interaction makes genetic progress difficult since it minimizes the association of phenotypic and
68 genotypic values (Comstock & Moll 1963). Hence, GE interaction should be exploited through
69 selection of superior genotypes on specific location or avoided by selecting genotypes with wide
70 adaptability and stable yield response across diverse locations (Ceccarelli 1989). Recently, several
71 methods in analyzing genotype x environment (GE) interaction and MET data to reveal patterns of GE
72 have been reported. These methods include regression coefficient (Finlay and Wilkinson, 1963), sum
73 of squared deviations from regressions (Eberhart & Russel1966), stability of variance (Shukla 1972),
74 coefficient of determination (Pinthus1973) coefficient of variability (Francis & Kanneber 1978), and
75 multiplicative main effects and multiplicative interaction (AMMI) (Gauch & Zobel 1988, Zobel et al.
76 1988; Gauch 1992) which have been commonly used. Another method proposed by Yan et al. (2000)
77 proposed another method called GGE biplot analysis for graphical display of GE interaction pattern in
78 MET data. GGE biplot is an effective method based on principal component analysis (PCA) which
79 graphically displays GE in a two-way table and allows visual examination of the relationship
80 interactions among test environments, genotypes, and GE interaction. The main objective of this
81 study was to evaluate the yield performance and yield stability of the newly released varieties in
82 different regions of the country in different environmental status.
83
84
85
86 ## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS
87 One thousand six trials were conducted with 4 newly released wheat varieties viz., BARI Gom 25 &
88 BARI Gom 26 (released 2010) and BARI Gom 27 & BARI Gom 28) (released 2012) in the farmers' fields
89 of forty districts out of sixty four under 8 agricultural regions in 2013-14 (Table 1 & Fig. 1) in collaboration
90 with Department of Agricultural Extension (DAE), Non-government Organizations (NGOs), On Farm
91 Research Division (OFRD), Cereal Systems Initiative for South Asia (CSISA) & Agricultural Research
92 Station (ARS) of BARI. Seven hundred twenty three trials were conducted in collaboration with DAE,
93 eighty through NGOs, forty through CSISA and one hundred fifty one trials by BARI scientists (thirty six
94 through WRC & ARS and one hundred fifteen through OFRD). The pedigree of these varieties are BARI
95 Gom 25 (ZSH 12/HLB 19//2*NL 297), BARI Gom 26 (ICTAL123/3/RAWAL87//VEE/HD2285), BARI
96 Gom 27 (FRANCOLIN # 1) and BARI Gom 28 (CHIL/2*STAR/4/BOW/CROW//BUC/PVN/3/2*VEE#10).
97 Each trial had a single variety with a plot size 800 sq.m. Each variety was established in one farmer's
98 field. Only seed, fertilizers, signboard and printed documents on wheat production technologies were
99 supplied to farmers. All other management practices were done by farmers. One day training was given
100 to the farmers, related Sub Assistant Agricultural Officer (SAAOs), Scientific Assistance (SA)/ Scientific
101 Assistances (SSAs), Upazila Agriculture Officers (UAOs) and Crop Protection Specialist (CPS) of the
102 respective districts about trials, wheat production and seed preservation technologies. Seeds were sown
103 from 10 November to 16 December 2013. Weekly temperature data recorded during the concern period
104 is graphically presented in Fig. 2a and Fig. 2b
105
106 Yields were taken from 4 samples of 5 m^2 (total 20 m^2) areas from each trial. A format was supplied to
107 farmers for sending data on yield, sowing & harvesting date, no. of irrigations, seed preservation,
108 overall comments, etc. through supervising officials of DAE, NGOs and BARI.
109
110 In AMMI model the contribution of each genotype and each environment to the GEI is assessed by
111 the use of the biplot graph display in which yield means are plotted against the scores of the IPCA1
112 (Zobel *et al.* 1988). The stability parameters and regression coefficient (bi) were estimated according
113 to Eberhart and Russell (1966). All the data were subjected to analysis using statistical analysis
114 package software Cropstat version 7.2 after Zobel *et al.* (1988).
115
116 The AMMI model does not make provision for a quantitative stability measure, such a measure is

essential in order to quantify and rank genotypes according their yield stability, the following measure was proposed by Purchase (1997):

$$ASV = \sqrt{[\frac{IPCA1\, sum\, of\, squre}{IPCA2\, sum\, of\, squre}(IPCA1\ score)]^2 + [IPCA2\ score]^2}$$

Table 1 Regional and Institutional distribution of wheat trials in the year 2013-14

SI #	Region	Number of trials					Total
		DAE	NGO	WRC & ARS	CSISA	OFRD	
1	Rangpur	204	36	12	0	0	252
2	Rajshahi	190	36	12	0	0	238
3	Jessore	116	0	0	0	24	140
4	Mymensingh	72	0	12	36	12	132
5	Dhaka	24	0	0	0	15	39
6	Comilla	12	0	0	0	12	24
7	Sylhet	12	0	0	0	12	24
8	Barisal	93	12	0	12	40	157
	Total	723	84	36	48	115	1006

154
155
156

Fig. 1. Showing trials located in different regions of Bangladesh

157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167

168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178

Weekly maximum, minimum and average temperature in Rangpur region

Weekly maximum, minimum and average temperature in Rajshahi region

Weekly maximum, minimum and average temperature in Jessore region

Weekly maximum, minimum and average temperature in Mymensingh region

Figure 2a: Weather data containing minimum, maximum and average temperature of Rangpur, Rajshahi, Jessore and Mymensing region during the experimental season.

Weekly maximum, minimum and average temperature in Dhaka region

Weekly maximum, minimum and average temperature in Comilla region

Weekly maximum, minimum and average temperature in Sylhet region

Weekly maximum, minimum and average temperature in Barisal region

Figure 2b: Weather data containing minimum, maximum and average temperature of Dhaka, Comilla, Sylhet and Barisal region during the experimental season.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Agronomic Performance

Yield of 4 varieties significantly ($F_{(8,20)}$ =8.65; P= <0.001) varied among the locations. The highest mean yield was obtained from Rangpur region (4275.15 kg ha^{-1}) followed by Jessore region (4266 kg ha^{-1}). Yield of these two regions were statistically similar but significantly higher than other regions (Table 2). The lowest mean yield was recorded in Sylhet region due to the water stress. Hasan *et al.* (2008) found that area and production of wheat were fluctuating due to weather condition and technological changes based on secondary data during 1980/81-2003/04. This reference support current findings of variation in wheat yield among locations . The yield of BARI Gom 26 was highest (4725 kg ha^{-1}) in Rangpur region followed by BARI Gom 28 (4530 kg ha^{-1}) in Dhaka region and BARI Gom 25 (4370.70 kg ha^{-1}) at Jessore region. At Rajshahi region, the yield of BARI Gom 26 was highest (4302.29 kg ha^{-1}) followed by BARI Gom 25 (4006.71 kg ha^{-1}). The BARI Gom 27 produced lowest yield (27.20 kg ha^{-1}) in Sylhet region. The highest mean yield was recorded in BARI Gom 26 (3977.83 kg ha^{-1}) followed by BARI Gom 28 (3828.01 kg ha^{-1}), BARI Gom 25 (3819.31 kg ha^{-1}) and BARI Gom 27 (3709.78 kg ha^{-1}). BARI Gom 26 produced the highest yield but statistically ($F_{(3,20)}$ =1.42; P= 0.265) similar with all other varieties. Mohammed (2009) observed in Sudan using AMMI analysis that considering the average performance combined over environments, the genotypes differed significantly in grain yield of bread wheat. Naroui Rad *et al*. (2013) also found variation in grain yield of bread wheat lines due to environmental variation.

Table 2. Region-wise results of variety trials conducted through all organization (DAE, OFRD, ARS, WRC, CSISA & NGOs) in 2013-14

Districts	No. of trials	Result of trials	Grain yield (kg/ha)					Seeds preserved by farmers (kg)
			BARI Gom25	BARI Gom26	BARI Gom27	BARI Gom28	Mean yield ± SE	
Rangpur	252	98	4002.50	4725.00	4116.50	4256.60	4275.15±4.45 a	17281
Rajshahi	238	118	4006.71	4302.29	3739.40	3895.71	3986.03±3.85 abc	23423
Jessore	140	140	4370.70	4212.80	4157.00	4324.40	4266.23±2.48 a	27925
Mymensingh	132	132	3692.88	3755.25	3363.63	3703.63	3628.84±3.34 bdc	11780
Dhaka	39	39	3983.33	4180.00	4050.00	4530.00	4185.83±3.90 ab	4770
Comilla	24	19	3290.00	3445.00	3840.00	3325.00	3475.00±3.97 cd	1807
Sylhet	24	10	3715.00	3640.00	2720.00	2950.00	3256.25±5.57 d	1170
Barishal	157	93	3493.33	3562.33	3691.67	3638.75	3596.52±2.33 bdc	7270
Total	**1006**	**649**						**95426**
Mean			**3819.31**	**3977.83**	**3709.78**	**3828.01**		

The farmers have preserved 95426 kg seeds of the new varieties. The highest seed stored (27925 kg) in Jessore region followed by Rajshahi region (23423 kg). In total 50050 farmers of the same and neighbouring villages visited the trials and expressed their interest to collect seeds of new varieties in next year. The results demonstrated that all of 4 new varieties (BARI Gom 25, BARI Gom 26, BARI Gom 27 and BARI Gom 28) were preferred by the farmers.

3.2 Stability Performance

Adaptability of the new wheat varieties over wide ranges of environments (40 districts) were presented in Fig. 3 indicated that BARI Gom 25 and BARI Gom 26 were more stable than other varieties. These varieties had comparatively high yields in poor environment and can be recommended for growing in poor environments. BARI Gom 27 was more sensitive to changing production environments. It had low yields in poor and very high yields in better environments. This variety could be recommended for growing in better environments. BARI Gom 28 had average sensitivity to changing production environments.

Fig. 3 Adaptability of the new wheat varieties conducted by DAE

3.3 AMMI Biplot Analysis

Table 3 reflects IPCA score and AMMI stability value (ASV). BARI Gom 28 showed the lowest scores in the IPCA 1, followed by BARI Gom 26 and BARI Gom 25. BARI Gom 27 scored the highest IPCA 1 value. Considering The AMMI stability value (ASV) that take into account the scores of the IPCA 2, the variety BARI Gom 28 appeared to be among those showing low ASV. The variety BARI Gom 26 became the second lowest in ASV. With regards to locations, Rangpur and Jessore gave the lowest IPCA 1 scores whereas Mymensingh, Rajshai and Dhaka gave medium score and Comilla scored the highest IPCA 1 value.

Table 3. IPCA axes scores for genotypes and environments, AMMI stability value (AVS) and mean performance for grain yield (kg/ha) of 4 wheat varieties grown at 8 agricultural regions in Bangladesh (2013-14)

Variety	Yield	Rank	IPCA1 Score	IPCA2 Score	bi	ASV	Rank	Location	IPCA1 Score
BARI Gom 25	3819.31	3	16.71	8.955	0.697	57.7	3	Rangpur	0.52
BARI Gom 26	3977.83	1	13.01	-4.08	0.994	44.6	2	Rajshahi	6.39
BARI Gom 27	3709.78	4	-20.75	11.89	1.007	71.8	4	Jessore	-1.52
BARI Gom 28	3828.01	2	-8.959	-16.76	1.303	34.9	1	Mymensingh	3.43
								Dhaka	-8.32
								Comilla	-14.56
								Sylhet	23.22
								Barishal	-9.15

The AMMI1 biplot provide a graphical representation of the relationship between the first interaction principal component axis (AMMI component 1) and mean of varieties and location (Kempton 1984) with the biplot according for up to 91.5% of the treatment sum of squares (Fig. 4). Variety and locations on the same parallel line, relative to ordinate, have similar yields and a variety or location on the right side of the midpoint of this axis has higher yields than those on the left hand side (Zobel *et al.* 1988). According to AMMI-1 biplot analysis (Fig. 4), environments showed high variation in both main effects and interactions (IPCA1). While the Rangpur and Jessore had low interaction; Sylhet and Comilla were highly interactive. Rajshahi was the most favorable environment and Comilla and Barishal was the least favorable environments, while Mymensingh and Dhaka were the average environments. Environment Rangpur and Jessore with IPCA1 scores near zero had no interaction effects, Variety BARI Gom 26 and location Rangpur combinations with IPCA1 scores of the same sine produced positive specific interaction effects and BARI Gom 28 and location Jessore combinations with IPCA1 score produced positive specific interaction effects. Hagos and Abay (2013) also found

8

275

276
277

Fig. 4. AMMI 1 model for grain yield (kg ha^{-1}) showing the means of varieties and locations
against their respective IPCA 1 scores. RN = Rangpur, RJ= Rajshahi, JE= Jessore, MY= Mymensingh,
DH= Dhaka, CO= Comilla, SY= Sylhet and BA= Barishal, 1 = BARI Gom 25, 2 = BARI Gom 26, 3 = BARI
Gom 27, 4 = BARI Gom 28, Δ = Variety combination (genotypes), ● = Locations (8)
278
279

280 Consequently, the variety BARI Gom 26 was the high yielder followed by BARI Gom 28, BARI Gom
281 25 and BARI Gom 27. The AMMI1 estimation had a profound effect in producing clear and stratified
282 ranking patterns and on this basis BARI Gom 26 and BARI Gom 25 would be considered more
283 adapted to a wide range of environments than the rest of the varieties. BARI Gom 27 showed low
284 yield and unstable and BARI Gom 28 showed high yield but moderately stable. Dixon and Nukenine
285 (1997) and Crossa *et al.* (1991) obtained a similar stratification in cassava and wheat genotypes,
286 respectively.
287
288

Fig. 5. AMMI 2 model for grain yield (kg ha-1) showing the IPCA scores of wheat varieties planted across locations.

According to AMMI-2 biplot analysis (Fig. 5), the varieties (and environments) that are located far away from the origin are more responsive. BARI Gom 27 BARI Gom 28 appeared unstable due to their dispersed position. Comilla, Sylhet and Dhaka were the most differentiating environments, while BARI Gom 27 and BARI Gom 28 were the most responsive genotypes. BARI Gom 26 and BARI Gom 25 appeared to be more stable when plotting the IPCA1 and IPCA2 scores. Genotypes and environments that fall in the same sectors interact positively; in contrast if they fall in opposite sectors interact negatively. If they fall into adjacent sectors, interaction is somewhat more complex. In this case, the best genotype with respect to sites Dhaka was BARI Gom 28 but unsuitable for Sylhet. Genotype BARI Gom 25 was suitable for Sylhet but unsuitable for Dhaka. With respect to Comilla, Barishal and Dhaka, genotype BARI Gom 27 was particularly suitable but unsuitable for Rajshahi and Mymensingh, while genotype BARI Gom 26 was well fit for Rajshahi and Mymensingh. For multivariate approach, the AMMI model is better for partitioning the G x E into the causes of variation, which ease identification of environments' potential and is used to identify superior genotypes either with specific adaptation or wide adaptation (Anandan *et al.*, 2009., Crossa, 1990 and Kempton,1984).

4. CONCLUSION

The AMMI statistical model has been used to diagnose the G × E interaction pattern of grain yield of newly released wheat varieties. The most suitable varieties for Rangpur, Rajshahi and Mymensingh were BARI Gom 26; BARI Gom 25 for Sylhet; BARI Gom 27 for Comilla, Jessore and Barishal while variety BARI Gom 28 was suitable for Dhaka. BARI Gom 26 and BARI Gom 25 were hardly affected by the G × E interaction and thus will perform well across a wide range of environments and these can be recommended for all locations. Locations, such as Rangpur and Rajshahi, these could be regarded as a good selection site for wheat cultivation due to stable yields.

REFERENCES

Adugna A. 2007. Assessment of Yield Stability in Sorghum. African Crop Science Journal. 15(2), 83-92.

Allard RW. Bradshaw AD. Implication of genotype-environmental interaction in applied plant breeding. Crop Science. 1964;5: 503-506.

324 Anandan A, Eswaran R, Sabesan T, Prakash M. Additive Main Effects and Multiplicative Interactions
325   Analysis of Yield Performances in Rice Genotypes under Coastal Saline Environments.
326   Advances in Biological Research. 2009; 3(1-2): 43-4.
327 BARI (Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute). BARI annual report 2012-13. 2013
328 BBS (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics). Statistical year of Bangladesh. 2007.
329 BBS (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics). Statistical year of Bangladesh. 2014.
330 Cecarelli S. Wide adaptation. How wide. Euphytica. 1989; 40: 197-205.
331 Comstock RE, Moll RH. Genotype x Environment Interactions. Symposiumon Statistical Genetics and
332   Plant Breeding. National Academy Science Research Council, Washington, D.C. 1963; pp:
333   164-196.
334 Crossa J. Statistical analyses of multi location trials. Advances in Agronomy. 1990;44: 55-85.
335 Crossa J, Fox P N, Pfeiffer WH, Rajaram S Gauch HG. AMMI adjustment for statistical analysis of an
336   international wheat yield trial. Theor Appl Genet. 1991; 81: 27-37.
337 Delacy IH, Basford KE, Cooper M, Bull JK.. Analysis of environment trials –an historical
338   perspective. In: Cooper, M. and G.L. Hammer (Eds.). Plant Adaptation and Crop
339   Improvement, CAB International, U.K. 1996.
340 Dixon AGO, Nukenine EN. Statistical analysis of cassava yield trials with the additive main effects and
341   multiplicative interaction (AMMI) model. Afr J Root Tuber Crops. 1997; 3: 46-50.
342 Eberhart SA, Russel WA. Stability parameters for comparing varieties. Crop Science. 1966; 6: 36-40.
343 Farshadfar E, Mohammadi R, Aghaee, M Vaisi, Z. GGE biplot analysis of genotype x environment
344   interaction in wheat-barley disomic addition lines. Australian Journal of Crop Science. 2012;
345   6(6): 1074-1079.
346 Finlay KW, Wilkinson GN. The analysis of adaptation in a plant breeding programme.
347   Australian Journal of Agricultural Research. 1963; 14: 742-754.
348 Francis TR, Kannenberg LW. Yield stability studies in short season maize. I. A descriptive method for
349   grouping genotypes. Canadian Journal of Plant Science. 1978; 58: 1029-1034.
350 Gauch HG. Statistical Analysis of Regional Yield Trials: AMMI Analysis of Factorial Designs. Elsevier,
351   Amsterdam, The Netherlands. 1992.
352 Gauch HG, RW Zobel. Predictive and postpredictive success of statistical analyses of yield trials.
353   Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 1988; 76: 1-10.
354 Hagos HG, Abay F. AMMI and biplot analysis of bread wheat genotypes in the northern part of
355   Ethiopia. J. Pl. Bred & Genet. 2013. 1(1): 12-18
356
357 Hasan, MN, MA Monayem Miah, MS Islam QM Alam and MI Hossain. Change and instability in
358   area and production of wheat and maize in Bangladesh. Bangladesh J. Agril. Res. 2008 ;
359   33(3) : 409-417
360 Hossain A, Teixeira da Silva JA. Phenology, growth and yield of three wheat (Triticum aestivum l.)
361   varieties as affected by high temperature stress. Not. Sci.Biol. 2013; 4(3): 97-109.
362 Hussain SS. Bangladesh grain and feed annual. USDA foreign agricultural service BARI. Year of
363   release and average yield of Bangladesh wheat varieties develop since 1974. 2012.
364 Karim MA, Awal MA, Akter M. Forecasting of wheat production in Bangladesh. Bangladesh J Agril
365   Res. 2010; 35(1): 17-28.
366 Kempton RA. The Use of Biplots in interpreting Variety by Environment Interactions. Journal of
367   Agricultural Science. 1984; 103: 123-135.
368 Maarouf I. Mohammed. Genotype X Environment Interaction in Bread Wheat in Northern Sudan Using
369   AMMI Analysis American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci. 2009; 6 (4): 427-433
370
371 Naroui Rad MR., M. Abdul Kadir, MY Rafii, Hawa ZE Jaafar, MR Naghavi and Farzaneh Ahmadi.
372   Genotype × environment interaction by AMMI and GGE biplot analysis in three consecutive
373   generations of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under normal and drought stress conditions.
374   Australian Journal of Crop Science.2013. 7(7): 956-961
375 NAPA (National Adaptation Programme of Action). Climatic Risks Assessment at Community Level in
376   Agriculture. 2012
377 Pandit DB, Baksh ME, Sufian MA, Harun-ur-Rashid M, Islam MM. Impacts of participatory
378   variety selection in wheat on agro-economic changes of wheat farmers in Bangladesh.
379   Bangladesh J Agril Res. 2007;32(3): 335-347.
380 Pinthus JM. Estimate of genotype value: A proposed method. Euphytica. 1973; 22: 121-123.
381 Purchase RL. Parametric analysis to describe genotype by environment interaction and yield stability
382   in winter wheat. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture of the

383   University of the Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa. 1997.

384 Sarker MAZ, Alam MA, Hossain A, Mannaf MA. Agro-economic performance of crop diversification in
385   rice based cropping systems of northwest Bangladesh. Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries.
386   2014; 3(4): 264-270.

387 Shukla GK. Some statistical aspects of partitioning of genotype-environmental
388   components of variability. Heredity. 1972;29: 237-245.
389 Sufian MA. Sustainable wheat production in Bangladesh in relation to climate change. A Key note
390   paper presented at the workshop on "Sustainable Wheat Production, held at BRAC Centre
391   Inn, Dhaka on October 2005. 2005.

392 USDA. Bangladesh wheat production by year
393   (http://www.indexmundi.com/agriculture/?country=bd&commodity=wheat&graph=production).
394   2014.

395 WRC (Wheat Research Centre). Annual Report, 2008-09. 2009; 181p.

396 WRC (Wheat Research Centre). Annual Report, 2013-14. 2014; 203p.
397 Yan W, Hunt LA, Sheng Q, Szlavnics Z. Cultivar evaluation and mega-environment investigation
398   based on the GGE biplot. Crop Science. 2000;40: 597-605.
399 Zobel RW, Wright MJ, Gauch HG. Statistical analysis of a yield trial. Agronomy Journal 1988;80: 388-
400   393.
401