

Agronomic Performances of Rice Varieties at Different Transplanting Ages

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out during the period from November 2012 to May 2013 in Agroecological Zone 20 (Eastern Surma-Kushiyara Floodplain) in Bangladesh to observe the varietal performances of high yielding and local varieties of Boro rice. Four varieties viz. BRRI dhan28, BRRI dhan29, Khoiaboro and Begunbichi, and transplanting of three seedling ages viz. 15, 20 and 25 days old were included as treatments in the experiment. The experiment was laid out in a factorial randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. The results revealed that BRRI dhan29 produced significantly highest grain yield (6.25 t ha^{-1}) attributed by the higher number of effective tillers hill⁻¹ (18.72), grains panicle⁻¹ (121.09) and 1000-grain weight (22.38 g). BRRI dhan28 produced the second highest grain yield (5.37 t ha^{-1}) while the local variety Begunbichi produced the lowest grain yield (2.26 t ha^{-1}) in spite of its highest number of grains panicle⁻¹ (163.92), because of its small sized grain (12.10 g/1000 grain). Total number of spikelets panicle⁻¹, number of grains panicle⁻¹, unfilled spikelets panicle⁻¹, grain, straw and biological yield varied significantly but other characters like plant height, total number of tillers hill⁻¹, number of effective tillers hill⁻¹, number of non-effective tillers hill⁻¹, length of panicle, 1000 grain weight and harvest index did not among different ages of seedlings. The highest grain yield of 4.49 t ha^{-1} was obtained from planting 25-days-old seedlings ascribed to higher number of grains panicle⁻¹. Grain yield of 4.23 t ha^{-1} was obtained from planting 20-days-old seedlings which was statistically similar to that of planting 25 and 15-days-old seedlings. Interaction of variety and seedling age produced significant effect on days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, number of effective tillers hill⁻¹, total number of spikelets panicle⁻¹, number of grains panicle, number of unfilled spikelets panicle⁻¹, 1000 grain weight, straw and biological yield. This indicates that all varieties require planting 25-days-old seedlings to obtain higher grain yield. Cost and return analysis showed that BRRI dhan29 gave maximum gross return, net return, and benefit cost ratio of US\$ 1665.00 ha⁻¹, US\$ 699.28 ha⁻¹ and 1.72, respectively with planting 25-days-old seedlings. In spite of lower yield of local variety Begunbichi, it gave higher net return and benefit cost ratio because of its higher selling price than BRRI dhan28 and local Khoiaboro varieties with the same 25-day-old seedlings.

Key words: Boro rice, Variety, Seedling age, Yield

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice is the staple food of about 149.69 million people of Bangladesh and it is being grown in about 75% of the total cropped area and more than 80% of the total irrigated area [16]. Almost all the farm families of 13 million grow rice in the country. It provides nearly 40% of national employment (48% of rural employment), about 70-76% of total calorie supply and 66% of protein intakes of an average person in the country [16, 10]. Rice sector contributes one-half of the agricultural GDP and one-sixth of the national income in Bangladesh [17]. Thus, rice plays a vital role in the livelihood of the Bangladeshi people. Rice production needs to be increased more as the population of Bangladesh is still growing by two millions in every year and may increase by another 30 millions over the next 20 years. There are less possibilities of bringing more lands under cultivation of rice; much of the additional rice requirement will have to be met by increasing the average yield from the existing land. Although rice is grown on large area in Bangladesh, its average yield is still far below the levels attained in other rice producing countries in spite of having many high yielding varieties. The average yield in Bangladesh is about 2.74 t ha⁻¹ as compared to Japan (5.93 t ha⁻¹) and Korea (6.12 t ha⁻¹) [19]. To combat the situation it requires adoption of modern technologies such as better management package, high yielding cultivars of both inbred and hybrid, and higher input use [42]. There are several reasons behind this but one of the most important reasons is that the seedling age is not managed properly to get vigorous seedlings for uniform stand and better bush establishment. Among the various factors that influence rice productivity, seedling age has tremendous effect on plant height, tiller production, panicle length, grain formation and other yield attributing characters [1]. Younger seedlings may not be able to withstand transplanting shock whereas too old seedlings may not be able to produce its yield potential to the peak. Transplanting seedlings in proper age can provide appropriate ground for achieving potential production by reducing the death of tillers. Chopra *et al.* (2002) evaluated the yield and quality of seeds of the rice cv. Pusa 44 by transplanting seedlings at 25, 35, 45, 55, and 65 days [7]. They found that transplanting seedlings at 35 days resulted greater number of panicles hill⁻¹, panicle length, 1000 seed weight and seed yield than 55 to 65-day old seedlings. Farmers transplant seedlings at different ages but more often with those of at 25 to 50 days older in lowland rice [9, 41, 35]. Many researchers reported that grain yield increased by transplanting younger seedlings of 25 days [36, 2, 26, 39]. On the other hand some studies exposed that grain yield was not affected by transplanting even 30-60 days old seedlings [6]. Recent studies on the System of Rice Intensification (SRI) also showed that yield and yield components of rice might be increased by

65 transplanting seedlings as younger as 14 days as compared to older seedlings of 21-23 days [22].
66 McHugh (2002) also observed in Madagascar that 8 to 15 days old seedlings transplanted at 25 hills m²
67 produced the highest yields [24]. Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRI) has recommended to
68 decide seedling age of rice for transplanting according to growing season. BRRI (1991; 1992)
69 recommended for transplanting 20-30 days old seedlings in Aus season, 20-35 days old seedlings in T.
70 Aman season and 40-45 days old seedlings in Boro season [4, 5]. It is generally seen that Researchers'
71 recommendations are not following by farmers [17] and it has been reported that farmers even use 80
72 days old seedlings of Boro rice for transplanting [18]. In Bangladesh, transplantation of younger
73 seedlings in Boro season is very difficult and it is labour-intensive because of stunted growth of
74 seedlings due to cold weather. To avoid the situation, older seedlings with optimum growth need to be
75 transplanted. It was reported that paddy yield was decreased significantly after transplanting of
76 younger seedlings due to its higher mortality rate in the field while transplanting of older
77 seedlings resulted in better performances [21]. In most of the above citations transplanting rice at
78 different ages of high yielding varieties or modern varieties have been studied for the variation in their
79 performances in respect of yield but local varieties have not been tested in Boro season. The major
80 objectives of the study was to know the effect of seedling age at transplanting on the growth and yield
81 performances of high yielding and local varieties of Boro season in Sylhet region, Bangladesh.

82

83 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

84 The experiment was conducted during the period from November 2012 to May 2013 at Patnipara,
85 Chicknagul union under Jointapur upazila of Sylhet district, 18 km far North-East from Sylhet
86 Agricultural University, Sylhet. Geographically the location is situated at 23° to 25°1' North and 90°57'
87 to 92°28' East longitude and latitude, respectively with an elevation of 34 m above the mean sea level.
88 The experimental field had fairly leveled topography: medium low land with a good drainage system.
89 The experimental plot was under the Agro-ecological zone 20 and the soil type was silty clay loam in
90 texture and pH of the soil was about 5.5-6.5. Organic matter content of the soil was moderate. Levels of
91 cation exchange capacity (CEC) and Zn were medium while the status of P, K and B was low. Sylhet
92 has a tropical climate as the monsoon clouds blow in the area throughout the year. There is a
93 considerable rainfall in most of the months of the year while June and July receive the highest amount.
94 This area is much cooler in winter and hotter in summer than the other parts of Bangladesh. Monthly

95 maximum and minimum temperature, rainfall and relative humidity during the crop growing period have
 96 been presented in Table 1.

97 **Table 1. Monthly average rainfall, minimum and maximum temperatures and relative humidity**
 98 **during the study period from November 2012-June 2013**
 99

Month	Year	Rainfall (mm)	Air Temperature (°C)			Relative Humidity (%)
			Maximum	Minimum	Average	
November	2012	11.9	29.2	18.9	24.1	68
December	2012	Nil	25.0	14.5	19.8	75
January	2013	Nil	25.6	11.8	18.7	63
February	2013	2.3	31.2	15.9	23.6	49
March	2013	1.9	36.2	19.7	27.8	47
April	2013	13.9	33.0	21.9	27.5	59
May	2013	34.2	30.4	22.7	26.6	78
June	2013	26.9	33.9	25.8	29.9	75

100 Source: Department of Meteorology, Sylhet
 101

102 The treatments included in the experiment were as follows.

103 **Factor A.** Variety: 4

104 i. BRRI dhan28 (V₁)

105 ii. BRRI dhan29 (V₂)

106 iii. Khoiaboro (V₃)

107 iv. Begunbichi (V₄)

108 **Factor B.** Seedling age at transplanting: 3

109 i. 15-day-old seedlings (15DOS)

110 ii. 20-day-old seedlings (20DOS)

111 iii. 25-day-old seedlings (25DOS)

112 Among the varieties BRRI dhan28 and BRRI dhan29 were the high yielding varieties and Khoiaboro
 113 and Begunbichi (aromatic) were the local or indigenous varieties of rice. Characteristics of the varieties
 114 are as follows.

115

116 **BRRI dhan28**

117 BRRi dhan28, a high yielding rice variety having yield potential of 5.5 to 6.0 t ha⁻¹ was released by
118 National Seed Board (NSB) in 1994 and was developed by Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRi) for
119 commercial cultivation in Boro season. This variety is popularly known by its life cycle, yield, insect and
120 disease resistance. Although BRRi has recommended this variety to be grown in Boro season but farmers
121 are cultivating the variety during all three rice growing seasons successfully. It is resistant to the important
122 disease like blast. BRRi dhan28 is a cross parents of BR6 and Purbachi a Chinese rice variety. Its life
123 cycle is about 140 days. It has medium slender grain.

124

125 **BRRi dhan29**

126 BRRi dhan29, a high yielding rice variety released by NSB in 1994 for its commercial cultivation in
127 Boro season. The variety was developed by BRRi. It is moderately tolerant to leaf blight and sheath
128 blight diseases, insect pest and also to lodging. The variety is responsive to high inputs and hence, able
129 to give higher yield. Its life cycle is about 160 days. It produces medium slender grain and yields about
130 7.5 t ha⁻¹.

131

132 **Khoiaboro**

133 Khoiaboro is a local variety of Sylhet region, Bangladesh. It takes short duration for maturity and it can
134 be harvested before flash flood especially for Sylhet region. The variety is resistant to disease and insect
135 pest. Plant height is generally 140-150 cm and it possesses weak and tall culm and usually susceptible to
136 lodging. It is a short duration variety and takes about 125-130 days for maturity.

137

138 **Begunbichi**

139 Begunbichi is a local aromatic fine rice variety and cultivated in Boro season. The variety is usually
140 cultivated in the region where the experiment was conducted. It is very tasty to eat for its aroma and
141 slender tiny grain size. The plant becomes tall and susceptible to lodging. It takes about 140-145 days
142 for its maturity and yields very low.

143

144 The experiment was laid out according to a **factorial randomized complete block design**. The unit plot
145 size was 3 m x 2 m. Seed was used at the rate of 10 kg ha⁻¹ having germination percentage of 93%, 95%,
146 92% and 95% for BRRi dhan28, BRRi dhan29, Khoiaboro and Begunbichi, respectively. Pre-
147 germinated seeds of all varieties were sown in nursery beds on 23rd November 2012 (for **25-day-old**
148 seedlings), 28th November 2012 (for **20-day-old** seedlings) and 3rd December 2012 (for **15-day-old**

149 seedlings). Frequent irrigation was done to maintain enough moisture content in the seed bed. Field was
150 prepared fifteen days before by power tiller. Organic manures and inorganic fertilizers were applied in
151 the field. The source of organic manures was cow-dung and it applied at the rate of 10 t ha⁻¹ as basal
152 application 10 days before final land preparation. Fertilizers were applied as suggested by BRRRI (2011)
153 [3] at the rate of 138-20-60-20-4 kg ha⁻¹ NPKS & Zn for the variety of BRRRI dhan29, 121-20-60-20-4
154 kg ha⁻¹ NPKS & Zn for BRRRI dhan28, 52-15-15 kg ha⁻¹ of NPK, respectively and 5 t ha⁻¹ of Cowdung
155 as basal for both the local varieties of Khoiaboro and Begunbichi. N, P, K, S and Zn were applied
156 through Urea, TSP, MoP, Gypsum and ZnSO₄, respectively. Urea was applied into three installments-
157 1/3rd at final land preparation, 1/3rd at 21 days after transplanting (DAT) (tillering stage) and 1/3rd at 36
158 DAT (active tillering stage) in each plot. The nursery beds were made wet by application of water both
159 in morning and evening on the previous day of uprooting the seedlings. Seedlings were uprooted
160 carefully so that minimum damage was done to the root system and uprooted seedlings kept in shade
161 before transplanting. The methods were followed for each case of uprooting and transplanting for
162 different ages of seedling. The seedlings uprooted from the nursery bed were transplanted on the same
163 day. Single seedling of each 15, 20 and 25 days old was transplanted in a square pattern maintaining 25
164 cm × 25 cm spacing on the well puddled plots on 16 December 2012. During transplanting of seedling
165 the plot was saturated with sufficient 2-3 cm depth of water. To maintain the desired plant population in
166 each plot, gap filling was done within 10 days of transplanting as some hills died off using seedling of
167 the same source of the respective age. The first manual weeding was done at 21 DAT after which first
168 top dress of urea was done. Second top dress of urea was done after second weeding at 36 DAT for each
169 crop. At both weeding and top dressing sufficient moisture was ensured in each plot. Water
170 management was done properly following flood irrigation method with the help of shallow tubewell
171 from surface water. After transplanting 3-4 cm water depth was maintained throughout the life cycle of
172 the crop but removed 10 days before maturity. For controlling insect-pest granular insecticide
173 Carbofuran 5G (Furadan) was applied at the rate of 16 kg ha⁻¹ by maintaining 4-5 cm water depth in the
174 crop field at maximum tillering stage. Crop maturity was determined when 80% grain of all panicles in a
175 plot turned into golden yellow in colour except Khoiaboro. Grain colour of Khoiaboro rice was blackish
176 yellow. At this stage culm and leaves were also turn into yellow colour. At maturity ten random hills
177 were sampled for collection of data on yield and yield attributes. BRRRI dhan28 was harvested on 12, 19,
178 25 April 2013 respectively for the seedling age of 25-day-old, 20-day-old and 15-day-old, respectively.
179 BRRRI dhan29 was harvested on 2, 9 and 15 May 2013 April, respectively of the seedling age of 25-day-

180 old, 20-day-old and 15-day-old. Khoiaboro was harvested on 12, 16 and 18 April 2013 of the seedling
181 age of 25-day-old, 20-day-old and 15-day-old, respectively and Begunbichi was harvested on 12, 20 and
182 24 April 2013 of the seedling age of 25-day-old, 20-day-old and 15-day-old, respectively. First of all,
183 border row from each side were harvested and these were excluded from final threshing. Remaining net
184 plot area was harvested manually at ground level using sickle and kept separately for recording crop
185 yield plot wise. Then grains were separated from each bundle by beating with bamboo sticks and dried
186 in the sun. Then moisture was recorded with moisture meter (GMK-303RS) and grain weight of
187 individual plot was adjusted at 12% moisture content. After thorough sun drying straw weight was
188 recorded separately. Finally, grain and straw weights in **kg plot⁻¹** of the individual plot were converted into
189 t ha⁻¹. Data were collected on the growth, yield and yield attributes as follows.

- 190 i. Number of tiller plant⁻¹ at every 10-day intervals
- 191 ii. Days to 50% flowering (when at least 50% tillers had panicle in each plot)
- 192 iii. Days to maturity
- 193 iv. Plant height at harvest
- 194 v. Total number of tillers hill⁻¹
- 195 **vi. Number of effective tillers hill⁻¹**
- 196 **vii. Number of non-effective tillers hill⁻¹**
- 197 viii. Length of panicle
- 198 **ix. Total number of spikelets panicle⁻¹**
- 199 **x. Number of grains panicle⁻¹**
- 200 **xi. Number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹**
- 201 xii. 1000 grain weight
- 202 xiii. Grain weight plot⁻¹
- 203 xiv. Straw weight plot⁻¹
- 204 xv. Biological yield
- 205 xvi. Harvest index

206 Number of total tillers was counted from the selected five hills at every 10 day intervals. **Tillers** were
207 counted by spreading the base of each standing hill so that small tiller may not be left out. Number of
208 tillers counted in each date from five hills was averaged for individual plot and this data were
209 statistically analyzed.

210 Harvest index (HI) was calculated on the basis of grain and straw yields using the following formula and
211 expressed in percentage [13].

$$\text{Harvest index} = \frac{\text{Grain yield}}{\text{Biological yield}} \times 100$$

216 Where, Biological Yield= Grain yield + Straw yield

219 Statistical analysis

220 The collected data were tabulated and these were analyzed using computer software MSTATC. Mean-
221 separations were done at 5% level of significance by Least Significant Difference (LSD) Test wherever
222 F values were significant at either 0.01% or 0.05% level of probability.


224 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

226 Number of tillers hill⁻¹ at 10-day intervals

228 Individual effect of variety and seedling age has not been discussed here as interaction effect of variety
229 and seedling age was found significant for number of tillers hill⁻¹ at 10-day intervals at most of the
230 cases. Interaction effect of variety and seedling age was found non-significant for number of tillers hill⁻¹
231 at 40 DAT but at 50 DAT (Figure 1). The highest number of tillers (14.00 hill⁻¹) was recorded from the
232 combination of V₂×25DOS and the lowest (1.89 hill⁻¹) was obtained from the combination of
233 V₃×15DOS at 50 DAT. The result indicated that at 60 DAT, the combination of V₂×25DOS produced
234 maximum number of tillers (25.66 hill⁻¹) which was statistically similar to that of V₂×20DOS (23.67 hill⁻¹)
235 and minimum (6.88 hill⁻¹) was found in the combination of V₃×15DOS. Number of tillers hill⁻¹ was
236 significantly affected by the interaction of variety and seedling age at 70 DAT. The maximum number
237 of tillers (33.67 hill⁻¹) was recorded from the combination of V₁×25DOS which was statistically similar
238 to that of V₂×20DOS (29.78 hill⁻¹) and V₂×25DOS (32.22 hill⁻¹). The minimum number of tillers (14.56
239 hill⁻¹) was obtained from the combination of V₄×15DOS similar to that of V₂×15DOS (16.65 hill⁻¹) and
240 V₃×15DOS (17.33 hill⁻¹).

241
242
243
244

245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266



267 The interaction effect of variety and seedling age was non-significant for number of tillers hill⁻¹ at 80
 268 DAT while the same was significant at 90 DAT (Figure 1). The highest number of tillers (33.89 hill⁻¹)
 269 was recorded in the combination of V₂×25DOS which was statistically similar to that of V₂×15DOS
 270 (33.22 hill⁻¹), V₁×25DOS (31.33 hill⁻¹) and V₃×25DOS (31.44 hill⁻¹) combinations. On the other hand,
 271 the lowest number of tillers (22.11 hill⁻¹) was found in the combination of V₄×15DOS which was
 272 statistically at par with that of V₄×20DOS (22.44 hill⁻¹). The result revealed that older seedling produced
 273 more number of tillers hill⁻¹. Interaction of variety and seedling age produced significant effect on the
 274 number of tillers hill⁻¹ at 100 DAT and the results showed that the highest number of tillers (37.22 hill⁻¹)
 275 was produced by the combination of V₃×20DOS while the lowest (20.33 hill⁻¹) was produced by the

276 combination of V₄×15DOS. The highest number of tiller (40.67 hill⁻¹) was obtained due to the treatment
277 combination of V₃×20DOS which was significantly different from the others while the lowest (17.00
278 hill⁻¹) was obtained in the combination of V₄×15DOS at 110 DAT.

279 Phenology, yield components and yield

280 Varietal performances

281 Maximum days to 50% flowering (115.0) was recorded from the variety Begunbichi and minimum days
282 to flowering (97.3) was recorded from the variety Khoiaboro (Table 2). Both the varieties BRRi dhan29
283 and Begunbichi took maximum days for their maturity (141.8) while Khoiaboro took the minimum days
284 for maturity (122.0) (Table 2). Plant height was significantly varied among the varieties irrespective of
285 seedling age. Result revealed that the local variety Begunbichi produced the tallest plant (154.49 cm)
286 which was significantly different from the others. Moderate plant height was found in Khoiaboro whilst
287 the shortest plant (96.82 cm) was found in BRRi dhan29 which was statistically similar to that of BRRi
288 dhan28 (100.33 cm). Both the local varieties produced the taller plant and HYV's produced the shorter
289 might be due to genetic variations of the varieties (Table 2). Total number of tillers hill⁻¹ included
290 effective and non-effective tillers was significantly differed among the varieties. It is evident that
291 maximum number of tillers (27.20 hill⁻¹) was obtained from the local variety Khoiaboro which was
292 significantly different from the others. Minimum total number of tillers (20.70 hill⁻¹) was found in the
293 local aromatic variety Begunbichi. BRRi dhan28 and BRRi dhan29 produced statistically similar
294 number of total tillers (22.30 and 22.70 hill⁻¹, respectively) to the local variety Begunbichi (Table 2).
295 The highest number of effective tillers (22.51 hill⁻¹) was found in the variety Khoiaboro which was
296 significantly different from the others (Table 2). The Begunbichi produced the lowest number of
297 effective tillers (16.41 hill⁻¹) while both the varieties BRRi dhan28 and BRRi dhan29 produced
298 moderate number of effective tillers hill⁻¹. Venugopal and Singh (1985) obtained the highest number of
299 effective tillers in short duration rice variety [40]. There was significant variation among the varieties in
300 respect of number of non-effective tillers hill⁻¹. Both the varieties Khoiaboro and Begunbichi produced
301 statistically similar number of non-effective tillers (4.7 and 4.3 hill⁻¹, respectively) having the highest in
302 the variety Khoiaboro. The lowest number of non-effective tillers (3.0 hill⁻¹) was found in the variety
303 BRRi dhan29 which was statistically similar to the variety BRRi dhan28. The variety BRRi dhan28 also
304 produced similar number of non-effective tillers hill⁻¹ to the varieties Khoiaboro and Begunbichi. The
305 varieties differed significantly in terms of length of panicle. BRRi dhan29 and Begunbichi had
306 statistically similar panicle length having the highest value (25.0 cm) in the variety Begunbichi. The

307 variety Khoiaboro had the lowest panicle length (20.0 cm) which was similar to that of BRR1 dhan28
 308 (22.2 cm) (Table 3). There was also significant variation in terms of total number of filled and unfilled
 309 **spikelets** panicle⁻¹. The variety Begunbichi produced maximum total number of **spikelets** (200.89
 310 panicle⁻¹) while the variety Khoiaboro produced minimum (87.57 panicle⁻¹). BRR1 dhan29 produced the
 311 second highest total number of **spikelets** (177.92 panicle⁻¹) which was significantly different from that of
 312 BRR1 dhan28 (141.29) (Table 3). Variation was found significant among all varieties in respect of
 313 number of **grains** panicle⁻¹. Significantly highest number of **grains** (163.92 panicle⁻¹) was found in the
 314 variety Begunbichi followed by BRR1 dhan29 while the lowest number (69.18 panicle⁻¹) was found in
 315 the variety Khoiaboro (Table 3).

316 **Table 2. Phenology and yield components of rice varieties during Boro season 2012-2013**

Varieties	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity*	Plant height (cm) at harvest	Total number of tillers hill ⁻¹	Number of effective tillers hill ⁻¹	Number of non-effective tillers hill ⁻¹
V ₁	101.7 ^b	126.8 ^b	100.33 ^c	22.14 ^b	18.11 ^b	4.0 ^{ab}
V ₂	114.3 ^a	141.8 ^a	96.82 ^c	21.69 ^b	18.72 ^b	3.0 ^b
V ₃	97.3 ^c	122.0 ^c	146.02 ^b	27.20 ^a	22.51 ^a	4.7 ^a
V ₄	115.0 ^a	141.8 ^a	154.49 ^a	20.72 ^b	16.41 ^c	4.3 ^a
CV(%)	2.11	1.55	3.73	9.70	8.85	27.54
LSD _{0.05}	2.206	2.015	4.542	2.121	1.638	1.077

317 Note: V₁= BRR1 dhan28, V₂=BRR1 dhan29, V₃= Khoiaboro, V₄= Begunbichi; Means within the same column having same
 318 or no letter(s) do not differ significantly at 5% level of probability; *Total of nursery bed and field duration.
 319

320
 321 Maximum number of unfilled spikelets (56.8 panicle⁻¹) was found in BRR1 dhan29 and the local variety
 322 Khoiaboro produced the minimum (18.4 panicle⁻¹) (Table 3). The second highest number of unfilled
 323 **spikelets** of 37.3 panicle⁻¹ was found in the variety Begunbichi and it was 32.7 panicle⁻¹ in BRR1 dhan28.

324 **Table 3. Yield components of rice varieties during Boro season 2012-2013**

Variety	Length of panicle (cm)	Total number of spikelets panicle ⁻¹	Number of grains panicle ⁻¹	Number of unfilled spikelets panicle ⁻¹	1000 grain weight (g)
V ₁	22.2 ^b	141.29 ^c	108.63 ^c	32.69 ^c	22.01 ^a
V ₂	24.7 ^a	177.92 ^b	121.09 ^b	56.82 ^a	22.38 ^a
V ₃	22.0 ^b	87.57 ^d	69.18 ^d	18.40 ^d	20.81 ^b
V ₄	25.0 ^a	200.89 ^a	163.92 ^a	37.30 ^b	12.10 ^c
CV(%)	5.21	3.88	6.53	9.26	4.05

LSD_{0.05} 1.197 5.769 7.382 3.287 0.765

Note: V₁= BRRi dhan28, V₂=BRRi dhan29, V₃= Khoiaboro, V₄= Begunbichi; Means within the same column having same or no letter(s) do not differ significantly at 5% level of probability.

Statistically similar 1000 grain weight was found in both varieties BRRi dhan28 and BRRi dhan29 and having maximum (22.38 g) in BRRi dhan29 (Table 3). The variety Begunbichi gave the minimum 1000 grain weight (12.10 g) which was significantly different from others. Significant variation was also observed among varieties in terms of grain yield. The result showed that the highest grain yield (6.25 t ha⁻¹) was produced in BRRi dhan29 followed by BRRi dhan28 (5.37 t ha⁻¹) whilst the lowest (2.26 t ha⁻¹) was obtained in the local variety Begunbichi (Table 4). The highest grain yield in BRRi dhan29 was possibly attributed by the higher number of effective tillers hill⁻¹ and grains panicle⁻¹. In spite of lower number of effective tillers hill⁻¹ in BRRi dahn28 than Khoiaboro grain yield was compensated in BRRi dhan28 probably due to its higher number of grains as well as larger grain size. On the contrary, the local variety Begunbichi had the highest number of grains panicle⁻¹ but due to its lower number of tillers hill⁻¹ and smallest grain size the variety produced the lowest grain yield (Table 4). The variety Khoiaboro produced maximum straw yield (6.86 t ha⁻¹) among the variety irrespective of seedling age which was statistically similar to that of BRRi dhan29 (6.82 t ha⁻¹) (Table 4). Moderate straw yield (5.71 t ha⁻¹) was found in BRRi dhan28 and the minimum straw yield (4.88 t ha⁻¹) was found in the local variety Begunbichi. Local variety Khoiaboro produced maximum straw yield might be due to its taller plant stature but in spite of taller plant in Begunbichi lowest straw yield was produced might be due to its thin plant stature.

Table 4. Yield and harvest index of rice varieties during Boro season 2012-2013

Varieties	Grain yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Biological yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index (%)
V ₁	5.37 ^b	5.71 ^b	11.08 ^b	48.60 ^a
V ₂	6.25 ^a	6.82 ^a	13.07 ^a	47.95 ^a
V ₃	2.90 ^c	6.86 ^a	9.77 ^c	30.09 ^b
V ₄	2.26 ^d	4.88 ^c	7.14 ^d	31.78 ^b
CV(%)	10.54	8.42	7.06	7.67
LSD _{0.05}	0.432	0.499	0.708	2.968

Note: V₁= BRRi dhan28, V₂=BRRi dhan29, V₃= Khoiaboro, V₄= Begunbichi; Means within the same column having same or no letter(s) do not differ significantly at 5% level of probability.

354 Variation on biological yield was also found significant among the varieties. BRRRI dhan29 gave the
355 maximum biological yield (13.07 t ha⁻¹) while the minimum biological yield (7.14 t ha⁻¹) was found in
356 the variety Begunbichi (Table 4). BRRRI dhan28 had biological yield of 11.08 t ha⁻¹ followed by that of
357 Khoiaboro (9.77 t ha⁻¹) which was significantly different from each others. The result revealed that
358 variety BRRRI dhan28 gave the highest HI (48.67%) and it was **statistically similar** to that of BRRRI dhan29
359 (47.95%). There were statistically identical harvest indices of 30.09% and 31.78% of the local varieties
360 Khoiaboro and Begunbichi (Table 4). This results **indicates** that assimilate partitioning is more in the grains
361 of **high yielding varieties** than **that of in the local varieties** which in turn resulted larger size of seed as well as
362 higher grain yield in **high yield varieties**.

363

364 **Effect of seedling age**

365 Planting 20-day-old seedlings took maximum duration for 50% flowering (110.9 days) and 15-day-old
366 seedlings took minimum **duration for flowering** (103.5 days) (Table 5). The results confirmed the
367 findings of Raju *et al.* (1989) who stated that days to flowering delayed in case of planting older
368 seedlings [29]. But Padalia (1981) observed that days from sowing to flowering decreased with the
369 increase of seedling age at planting [27]. Planting 25-day-old seedlings took maximum duration for
370 maturity (136.4 days) while planting 15-day-old seedlings took minimum (130.3 days) (Table 5). Plant
371 height did not vary significantly by the seedling age. However, plant height ranged from 123.06 cm in
372 planting 15-day-old seedlings to 125.97 cm in planting 20-day-old seedlings (Table 5). Planting 25-day-
373 old seedlings produced shorter plant of 124.23 cm than that of planting 20-day-old seedlings. Similar
374 result has been reported by Murthy *et al.* (1993) [25]. Gani *et al.* (2002) reported that younger seedlings
375 produced taller plant than older [12]. Total number of **tillers** hill⁻¹ as well as number of effective **tillers**
376 hill⁻¹ did not vary significantly due to variation of seedling age. The results revealed that number of
377 effective **tillers** ranged from 18.14 hill⁻¹ in planting 20-day-old seedlings to 19.55 hill⁻¹ in planting 25-day-
378 old seedlings (Table 5). The results are in partial conformity with that of Mannan and Siddique (1991)
379 [23]. On the contrary, Das *et al.* (1988) obtained higher **tillers** hill⁻¹ in younger seedling [8].

380

381

382

383

384

385
386
387
388
389

Table 5. Phenology and yield attributes of rice as influenced by seedling age during Boro season 2012-2013

Age of seedlings	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm) at harvest	Total number of tillers hill ⁻¹	Number of effective tillers hill ⁻¹	Number of non-effective tillers hill ⁻¹
15DOS	103.5 ^c	130.3 ^c	123.06	23.09	19.13	3.9
20DOS	106.8 ^b	132.6 ^b	125.97	22.22	18.14	4.0
25DOS	110.9 ^a	136.4 ^a	124.23	23.50	19.55	3.9
CV(%)	2.11	1.55	3.73	9.70	8.85	27.54
LSD _{0.05}	1.910	1.745	NS	NS	NS	NS

390 Note: 15DOS= 15-day-old seedlings, 20DOS= 20-day-old seedlings, 25DOS= 25-day-old seedlings; Means within the same
391 column having same or no letter(s) do not differ significantly at 5% level of probability; NS = Not significant.
392
393

394 Variation of number of non-effective tillers hill⁻¹ was not significant and it was found that number of
395 non-effective tiller was about 4.0 hill⁻¹ for different ages of seedling (Table 5).

396 **Table 6. Yield attributes of rice as influenced by seedling age during Boro season 2012-2013**

397

Age of seedlings	Length of panicle (cm)	Total number of spikelets panicle ⁻¹	Number of grains panicle ⁻¹	Number of unfilled spikelets panicle ⁻¹	1000 grain weight (g)
15DOS	23.6	143.74 ^c	111.8 ^b	32.0 ^c	19.52
20DOS	23.3	151.57 ^b	114.8 ^{ab}	36.8 ^b	19.07
25DOS	23.7	160.44 ^a	120.6 ^a	40.1 ^a	19.39
CV(%)	5.21	3.88	6.53	9.26	4.05
LSD _{0.05}	NS	4.996	6.393	2.847	NS

398 Note: 15DOS= 15-day-old seedlings, 20DOS= 20-day-old seedlings, 25DOS= 25-day-old seedlings; Means within the same
399 column having same or no letter(s) do not differ significantly at 5% level of probability; NS = Not significant.
400

401
402 Length of panicle did not vary significantly due to variation in the age of seedling in this experiment.
403 Rao and Raju (1987) also recorded similar findings and they stated that seedling age produced no
404 significant effect on panicle length of rice [30]. But Singh *et al.* (2004) concluded that planting 21-day-
405 old seedlings produced higher panicle length than that of planting 31, 41 and 51-day-old seedlings [34].
406 A significant variation was found in terms of total number of spikelets panicle⁻¹. The highest number of
407 spikelets (160.4 panicle⁻¹) was obtained from planting 25-day-old seedlings. Planting 15-day-old

408 seedlings produced the lowest number of spikelets (143.7 panicle⁻¹) (Table 6). The results exhibited that
409 there was significant variation in terms of number of grains panicle⁻¹. The highest number of grains
410 (120.4 panicle⁻¹) was found in the planting 25-day-old seedlings and the lowest number of grains (111.7
411 panicle⁻¹) was found in planting 15-day-old seedlings (Table 6). Number of grains of 114.7 panicle⁻¹ was
412 produced in planting 20-day-old seedlings. The result did not agree with many other scientists [15, 29,
413 33]. Planting 25-day-old seedlings had significantly highest number of unfilled spikelets (40.1 panicle⁻¹)
414 while planting 15-day-old seedlings produced the lowest (32.0 panicle⁻¹) (Table 6). Reddy and Narayana
415 (1981) observed that spikelet sterility decreased with the increased seedling age [32]. But Gill and Shahi
416 (1987) opined that spikelet sterility increased in the older seedlings [14]. Seedling age also failed to
417 produce significant variation in respect of 1000 grain weight. It was found that planting 15, 20 and 25-
418 day-old seedlings gave 19.52, 19.07 and 19.39 g 1000 grain weight, respectively (Table 6). The result
419 did not agree with the findings of Sunder Singh *et al.* (1983) who opined that 1000 grain weight
420 increased significantly with the increase of seedling age [37]. On the contrary, Kamdi *et al.* (1991)
421 reported that 1000 grain weight reduced with transplanting older seedlings [20]. Seedling age showed a
422 significant influence on grain yield. The result presented in Table 7 showed that grain yield increased
423 with the increase of seedling age. Planting 25-day-old seedlings gave the highest grain yield (4.49 t ha⁻¹)
424 and it was significantly different from other treatments. Planting 20-day-old seedlings produced grain
425 yield of 4.23 t ha⁻¹ which was statistically similar to that of both planting 25 and 15-day-old seedlings.
426 The lowest grain yield of 3.86 t ha⁻¹ was obtained from planting 15-day-old seedlings (Table 7). Higher
427 grain yield in planting 25-day-old seedlings was ascribed to mainly by the higher number of grains
428 panicle⁻¹. Initial higher leaf area and photosynthesis, and less respiration loss for tiller production than
429 15 and 20-day-old seedlings helped to produce more early dry matter accumulation which in turn might
430 augment formation of more number of grain in planting 25-day-old seedlings. The results are in close
431 conformity with that of Teetharappan and Palaniappan (1984) who stated that planting 25-day-old
432 seedlings gave the highest grain yield of rice [38]. Prasad *et al.* (1992) reported that grain yield
433 increased with the seedling age at transplanting up to 35-day-old [28]. Rashid *et al.* (1990) opined that
434 planting 40-day-old seedlings gave higher grain yield than that of planting 20 or 60-day-old seedlings
435 [31].

436
437
438
439

440
441
442
443
444

Table 7. Yield and harvest index of rice as influenced by seedling age during Boro season 2012-2013

Age of seedlings	Grain yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Biological yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index (%)
15DOS	3.86 ^b	5.25 ^c	9.11 ^c	40.79
20DOS	4.23 ^{ab}	6.19 ^b	10.40 ^b	39.33
25DOS	4.49 ^a	6.78 ^a	11.27 ^a	38.70
CV(%)	10.54	8.42	7.06	7.67
LSD _{0.05}	0.374	0.435	0.613	NS

445
446
447
448
449

Note: 15DOS= 15-day-old seedlings, 20DOS= 20-day-old seedlings, 25DOS= 25-day-old seedlings; Means within the same column having same or no letter(s) do not differ significantly at 5% level of probability; NS = Not significant.

450 The highest straw yield of 6.78 t ha⁻¹ was obtained from planting 25-day-old seedlings while the lowest
451 of 5.25 t ha⁻¹ was obtained from planting 15-day-old seedlings. Planting 20-day-old seedlings produced
452 6.19 t ha⁻¹ straw yield which was significantly different from all other seedling ages (Table 7). The result
453 indicated that planting 20-day-old seedlings had a little bit higher plant height as well as total number of
454 **tillers** hill⁻¹ which might be attributed to produce more straw yield. The lowest straw yield was obtained
455 from planting 15-day-old seedlings because of little bit lower plant height and tillering capacity than
456 others. Planting 40-day-old seedlings produced higher straw yield than that of planting 20 or 60-day-old
457 seedlings [31]. Furuk *et al.* (2009) also stated that planting 2-week-old seedlings gave the lowest straw
458 yield than planting 4-week-old seedlings of rice [11]. Biological yield was significantly influenced by
459 seedling age. The highest biological yield (11.27 t ha⁻¹) was obtained from planting 25-day-old seedlings
460 whilst the lowest biological yield (9.11 t ha⁻¹) was recorded from planting 15-day-old seedlings. The
461 result clearly indicated that biological yield was increased with increase of seedling age from planting
462 15 to 25-day-old (Table 7). Harvest index (HI) was not influence significantly due to seedling age
463 (Table 7). The highest HI (40.79%) was obtained from planting 15-day-old seedlings. Planting of both
464 20 and 25-day-old seedlings gave harvest indices of 39.33% and 38.70%, respectively.

465 **Interaction effect of variety and seedling age**

466 The result exhibited that BRRi dhan29 took maximum days for 50% flowering (121.3 days) with
467 planting 25-day-old seedlings closely followed by Begunbichi (119.0 days) (Table 8). Khoiaboro took

468 the minimum duration for 50% flowering (91.67 days) at planting 15-day-old seedlings. It was found
 469 that the variety BRR1 dhan29 took the maximum days for maturity (146.0) closely followed by the
 470 variety Begunbichi (145.3) at planting 25-day-old seedlings. The variety Khoiaboro took minimum days
 471 (116.7) for its maturity (Table 8). Variations of plant height at harvest, total number of **tillers hill⁻¹** and
 472 number of non-**effective tillers hill⁻¹** due to the interaction of variety and seedling age were not
 473 significant. Interaction of varieties and ages of seedling exerted significant influence on number of
 474 effective **tillers hill⁻¹**. The results revealed that the combination **of V₃×25DOS** gave the highest number
 475 of effective **tillers** (25.47 hill⁻¹) while the combination V₄×20DOS gave the lowest (15.67 hill⁻¹) (Table
 476 8). The combinations **of V₁×25DOS, V₄×15DOS and V₄×25DOS** also produced statistically similar
 477 number of effective **tillers** hill⁻¹ to that of V₄×20DOS. Actually there was no consistent trend in respect
 478 of the number of effective **tillers** hill⁻¹ with different seedling ages for different varieties.

479

480 **Table 8. Phenology and yield attributes of rice as influenced by the interaction of variety and**
 481 **seedling age during Boro season 2012-2013**
 482

Interaction (Variety × Seedling age)	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm) at harvest	Total number of tillers hill ⁻¹	Number of effective tillers hill ⁻¹	Number of non-effective tillers hill ⁻¹
V ₁ ×15DOS	101.0 ^d	126.3 ^{de}	101.43	23.63	19.37 ^{bcd}	4.27
V ₁ ×20DOS	101.3 ^d	125.7 ^{de}	100.60	22.76	18.77 ^{bcd}	4.00
V ₁ ×25DOS	102.7 ^d	128.3 ^d	98.97	20.03	16.20 ^{ef}	3.83
V ₂ ×15DOS	111.7 ^c	140.7 ^{bc}	93.63	22.00	19.27 ^{bcd}	2.73
V ₂ ×20DOS	110.0 ^c	138.7 ^c	98.10	20.03	17.07 ^{cdef}	2.97
V ₂ ×25DOS	121.3 ^a	146.0 ^a	98.73	23.03	19.83 ^{bc}	3.20
V ₃ ×15DOS	91.67 ^e	116.7 ^f	142.53	25.50	21.00 ^b	4.50
V ₃ ×20DOS	99.67 ^d	123.3 ^e	151.30	26.23	21.07 ^b	5.17
V ₃ ×25DOS	100.7 ^d	126.0 ^{de}	144.23	29.86	25.47 ^a	4.40
V ₄ ×15DOS	109.7 ^c	137.3 ^c	154.63	21.23	16.87 ^{def}	4.37
V ₄ ×20DOS	116.3 ^b	142.7 ^{ab}	153.87	19.86	15.67 ^f	4.20
V ₄ ×25DOS	119.0 ^{ab}	145.3 ^a	154.97	21.06	16.70 ^{def}	4.37
CV(%)	2.11	1.55	3.73	9.70	8.85	27.54
LSD _{0.05}	3.821	3.491	NS	NS	2.837	NS

483 Note: V₁= BRRi dhan28, V₂=BRRi dhan29, V₃= Khoiaboro, V₄= Begunbichi, 15DOS= 15- day-old seedlings, 20DOS= 20-
 484 day-old seedlings, 25DOS= 25-day-old seedlings; Means within the same column having same or no letter(s) do not differ
 485 significantly at 5% level of probability; NS = Not significant.

486
 487 Interaction of variety and seedling age was found non-significant in respect of length of panicle and total
 488 number of **spikelets** panicle⁻¹. Number of grain panicle⁻¹ also did not vary significantly due to interaction
 489 of variety and seedling age (Table 9). Number of unfilled **spikelets** panicle⁻¹ varied significantly due to
 490 the interaction of variety and seedling age. The results exhibited that the variety V₂ (BRRi dhan29) had
 491 significantly highest number of unfilled **spikelets** panicle⁻¹ (62.5) along with planting 25-day-old
 492 seedlings (Table 9). It is evident that variety V₃ (Khoiaboro) produced the lowest number of unfilled
 493 **spikelets** panicle⁻¹ (11.0) with planting 15-day-old seedlings which was statistically identical to that of
 494 planting 20-day-old seedlings (14.6 panicle⁻¹) of the same variety. A moderate number of unfilled
 495 **spikelets** panicle⁻¹ was observed in both the varieties V₁ (BRRi dhan28) and V₄ (Begunbichi) with all
 496 seedling ages.

497 **Table 9. Yield attributes of rice as influenced by the interaction of variety and seedling age during**
 498 **Boro season 2012-2013**

Interaction (Variety × Seedling age)	Length of panicle (cm)	Total number of spikelets panicle ⁻¹	Number of grains panicle ⁻¹	Number of unfilled spikelets panicle ⁻¹	1000 grain weight (g)
V ₁ ×15DOS	22.10	136.30 ^f	105.5 ^e	30.9 ^d	22.27
V ₁ ×20DOS	21.83	148.63 ^e	115.7 ^{de}	32.87 ^d	22.10
V ₁ ×25DOS	22.63	138.93 ^{ef}	104.7 ^e	34.2 ^d	21.67
V ₂ ×15DOS	25.73	166.70 ^d	113.5 ^{de}	53.2 ^b	21.97
V ₂ ×20DOS	23.93	174.93 ^d	120.2 ^{cd}	54.7 ^b	23.03
V ₂ ×25DOS	24.57	192.13 ^{bc}	129.6 ^c	62.5 ^a	22.13
V ₃ ×15DOS	21.83	86.70 ^h	75.7 ^f	11.0 ^e	21.17
V ₃ ×20DOS	22.33	66.03 ⁱ	51.5 ^g	14.6 ^e	20.17
V ₃ ×25DOS	21.87	109.97 ^g	80.4 ^f	29.6 ^d	21.10
V ₄ ×15DOS	24.57	185.27 ^c	152.4 ^b	32.9 ^d	12.67
V ₄ ×20DOS	24.97	216.67 ^a	171.7 ^a	45.0 ^c	10.97
V ₄ ×25DOS	25.53	200.73 ^b	167.7 ^a	34.0 ^d	12.67
CV(%)	5.21	3.88	6.53	9.26	4.05
LSD _{0.05}	NS	9.993	12.79	5.693	NS

500 Note: V₁= BRRi dhan28, V₂=BRRi dhan29, V₃= Khoiaboro, V₄= Begunbichi, 15DOS= 15-day-old seedlings, 20DOS= 20-
 501 day-old seedlings, 25DOS= 25-day-old seedlings. Means within the same column having same or no letter(s) do not differ
 502 significantly at 5% level of probability. NS = Not significant.

503

504 The results revealed that interaction of variety and seedling age failed to produce significant effect on
 505 1000 grain weight. The values of 1000 grain weight presented in Table 9 indicated that the varieties V₁,
 506 V₂ and V₃ had comparatively larger sized grain (ranged from 20.17 g to 23.03 g) while V₄ had small
 507 sized grain (ranged from 10.97 g to 12.67 g). Grain yield was not significantly varied due to interaction
 508 of variety and seedling age (Table 10). The results indicated that all varieties included in the experiment
 509 required a particular seedling age for producing maximum grain yield.

510 **Table 10. Yield and harvest index of rice as influenced by the interaction of variety and seedling**
 511 **age during Boro season 2012-2013**

Interaction (Variety × Seedling age)	Grain yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Biological yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index (%)
V ₁ ×15DOS	5.24	5.50 ^{cd}	10.74 ^b	49.19
V ₁ ×20DOS	5.42	5.59 ^{cd}	11.01 ^b	49.28
V ₁ ×25DOS	5.44	6.04 ^{bc}	11.48 ^b	47.33
V ₂ ×15DOS	5.58	5.63 ^{cd}	11.20 ^b	49.83
V ₂ ×20DOS	6.23	7.96 ^a	14.19 ^a	43.78
V ₂ ×25DOS	6.94	6.88 ^b	13.81 ^a	50.23
V ₃ ×15DOS	2.66	5.84 ^{cd}	8.50 ^{cd}	31.32
V ₃ ×20DOS	2.98	6.13 ^{bc}	9.10 ^c	32.70
V ₃ ×25DOS	3.07	8.62 ^a	11.69 ^b	26.24
V ₄ ×15DOS	1.97	4.04 ^e	6.01 ^e	32.81
V ₄ ×20DOS	2.30	5.00 ^d	7.30 ^d	31.54
V ₄ ×25DOS	2.51	5.59 ^{cd}	8.10 ^{cd}	31.00
CV(%)	10.54	8.42	7.67	7.67
LSD _{0.05}	NS	0.865	1.227	NS

513 Note: V₁= BRRI dhan28, V₂=BRRI dhan29, V₃= Khoiaboro, V₄= Begunbichi, 15DOS= 15-day-old seedlings, 20DOS= 20-
 514 day-old seedlings, 25DOS= 25-day-old seedlings; Means within the same column having same or no letter(s) do not differ
 515 significantly at 5% level of probability. NS = Not significant.
 516

517 Effect of interaction between variety and seedling age on straw yield was found significant. The highest
 518 straw yield (8.62 t ha⁻¹) was obtained from the combination of V₃×25DOS. The lowest straw yield (4.04
 519 t ha⁻¹) was recorded from the combination of V₄×15DOS (Table 10). The combinations of V₁×15DOS,
 520 V₁×20DOS, V₁×25DOS, V₂×15DOS, V₃×15DOS and V₃×20DOS produced statistically similar straw
 521 yield. Significant variation was found in respect of biological yield due to interaction effect of variety
 522 and seedling age. The highest (14.19 t ha⁻¹) biological yield was obtained from the combination of
 523 V₂×20DOS which was statistically identical to that of V₂×25DOS (13.81 t ha⁻¹). The lowest biological

524 yield (6.01 t ha⁻¹) was recorded from the combination of V₄× 15DOS (Table 10). The results indicated
525 that the combinations of V₁×20DOS, V₁×25DOS and V₃×25DOS produced statistically similar
526 biological yields of 11.01, 11.48 and 11.69 t ha⁻¹, respectively. The combinations of V₁×15DOS and
527 V₂×15DOS also produced similar biological yields of 10.74 and 11.20 t ha⁻¹, respectively. Biological
528 yields of 7.30 and 8.10 t ha⁻¹ of the combinations of V₄×20DOS and V₄×25DOS were statistically
529 similar. Interaction effect of variety and seedling age also produced significant influence on harvest
530 index (HI). The highest HI (50.23%) was obtained from the combination of V₂×25DOS which was
531 similar to the combinations of V₁×15DOS, V₁×20DOS and V₂×15DOS (Table 10). The lowest HI
532 (26.24%) was obtained from the combination of V₃×25DOS which was significantly different from
533 other combinations. The combinations of V₃×15DOS, V₃×20DOS, V₄×15DOS, V₄×20DOS and
534 V₄×25DOS produced statistically similar HI's of 31.32%, 32.71%, 32.81%, 31.54% and 31.00%,
535 respectively.

536

537 **Economic performance**

538 Total cost of cultivation was calculated maximum (US\$ 965.73 ha⁻¹) in the variety BRR I dhan29
539 followed by the variety BRR I dhan28 (US\$ 957.29 ha⁻¹). The maximum production cost incurred in the
540 HYV's due to the requirement of more inputs for their production (Table 11). Maximum gross return
541 (US\$ 1665.00 ha⁻¹), net return (US\$ 699.28 ha⁻¹) and BCR (1.72) were also obtained from the same
542 variety BRR I dhan29 with planting 25-day-old seedlings. The higher profitability obtained in BRR I
543 dhan29 was due to its higher yield. It was found that cultivation of local variety 'Begunbichi' was more
544 profitable than BRR I dhan28 with planting 25-day-old seedlings and than Khoiaboro at all seedling
545 ages. This was due to more market price of the scented grain of Begunbichi (US\$ 0.43 kg⁻¹) compared to
546 BRR I dhan28 (US\$ 0.23 kg⁻¹). Cultivation of Khoiaboro was found less profitable due to its lower
547 productivity as well as low market price because of its coarse size grains.

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555
556
557
558
559
560

Table 11. Cost and return analysis of production of different rice varieties during Boro season 2012-2013

Interaction		Yield (t ha ⁻¹)		Total cost of cultivation (US\$ ha ⁻¹)	Gross return (US\$ ha ⁻¹)			Net return (US\$ ha ⁻¹)	BCR
Variety	Seedling age	Grain	Straw		Grain	Straw	Total		
V ₁	15DOS	5.24	5.50	957.29	1205.20	55.00	1260.20	302.91	1.32
	20DOS	5.42	5.59	957.29	1246.60	55.90	1302.50	345.21	1.36
	25DOS	5.44	6.04	957.29	1251.20	60.40	1311.60	354.31	1.37
V ₂	15DOS	5.58	5.63	965.73	1283.40	56.30	1339.70	373.98	1.39
	20DOS	6.23	7.96	965.73	1432.90	79.60	1512.50	546.78	1.57
	25DOS	6.94	6.88	965.73	1596.20	68.80	1665.00	699.28	1.72
V ₃	15DOS	2.66	5.87	611.66	558.60	58.70	617.30	5.64	1.01
	20DOS	2.98	6.13	611.66	625.80	61.30	687.10	75.44	1.12
	25DOS	3.07	8.62	611.66	644.70	86.20	730.90	119.24	1.19
V ₄	15DOS	1.97	4.04	723.94	847.10	40.40	887.50	163.56	1.23
	20DOS	2.30	5.00	723.94	989.00	50.00	1039.00	315.06	1.44
	25DOS	2.51	5.53	723.94	1079.30	55.30	1134.60	410.66	1.57

561
562
563
564
565
566
567

Note: V₁= BRRi dhan28, V₂=BRRi dhan29, V₃= Khoiaboro, V₄= Begunbichi, 15DOS= 15-day-old seedlings, 20DOS= 20-day-old seedlings, 25DOS= 25-day-old seedlings.

Selling price: Rice grain – US\$ 0.23 kg⁻¹ for both BRRi dhan28 and BRRi dhan29; US\$ 0.21 kg⁻¹ for Khoiaboro; US\$ 0.43 kg⁻¹ for Begunbichi; Straw- US\$ 0.01 kg⁻¹; 1 US\$= BDT 80; BCR = Benefit-Cost Ratio.

568 CONCLUSIONS

569 On the basis of the results obtained from the experiment lead to conclude that 25-day-old seedlings was
570 found to produce the highest grain yield and therefore, all high yielding and local varieties are suggested
571 to be grown with 25-day-old seedlings. BRRi dhan29 gave the maximum economic benefit followed by
572 Begunbichi, a local aromatic Boro rice variety. **Considering the profitability and fine grain quality, local
573 variety Begunbichi may be evaluated in other parts of the country to observe its adaptability and yield**

574 performance. This conclusion is based on one season trial and the experiment may be repeated by the
575 interested researchers for justification of the findings.

576

577 REFERENCES

- 578 1. Ali MY, Rahman MM, Haq MF. Effect of time of transplanting and age of seedling on the
579 performance of late planted aman rice. Bangladesh J. Sci. Ind. Res. 1995;30(1):45-58.
- 580 2. Ashraf M, Khalid A, Ali K. Effect of seedling age and density on growth and yield of rice in saline
581 soil. Pakistan J. Biol. Sci. 1999;2:860–862.
- 582 3. BRRI. ‘Adhunik Dhaner Chash’ (Modern rice cultivation). Bangladesh Rice Research Institute,
583 Joydebpur, Gazipur. 9th Edt. 2011: p.7.
- 584 4. BRRI. ‘Adhunik Dhaner Chash’(Modern Rice Cultivation). In Bengali. Pub. No.5. Bangladesh Rice
585 Res. Inst. Joydebpur, Gazipur, Bangladesh; 1991: pp.45.
- 586 5. BRRI. Annual Report for 1990. Bangladesh Rice Res. Inst. Joydebpur, Gazipur, Bangladesh; 1992:
587 pp.329.
- 588 6. Chandra D, Manna GB. Effect of planting date seedling age and planting density on late
589 planted wet season Rice. Intl. Rice Res. Newsl. 1988;13:30-31.
- 590 7. Chopra MK, Chapra N, Sinha SN. Influence of dates of transplanting on production and quality of
591 scented rice (*Oryza sativa*) seed. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 2002;73(1):12-13.
- 592 8. Das K, Biswal D, Pradhan T. Effect of plant density and age of seedlings on the growth and yield of
593 rice variety, Parjit. Oryza. 1988;25:191-194.
- 594 9. De Datta SK. Principles and Practices of Rice Production. New York, USA. International Rice
595 Research Institute, John Willy & Sons, Inc.;1981.
- 596 10. Dey MM, Miah MN, Mustafi BAA, Hossain M. Rice production constraints in Bangladesh:
597 Implication for further research priorities. In: Rice Research in Asia: Progress and Priorities. CAB
598 International and IRRI Philippines; 1996.
- 599 11. Furuk MO, Rahman MA, Hassan MA. Effect of seedling age and number of seedling per hill on the
600 yield and yield contributing characters of BRRI dhan33. Intl. J. Sustain. Crop Prod. 2009;4:58-61.
- 601 12. Gani A, Rahman A, Dahono, Rustam, Hengsdijk H. Synopsis of water management experiments in
602 Indonesia. In: Water-wise Rice Production, IRRI; 2002:pp. 29-38.
- 603 13. Gardner FP, Pearce RB, Mitchell RL. Physiology of Crop Plants. 1st Edn., The Iowa State
604 University Press, ISBN-10: 081381376X, USA.; 1985: pp. 327.

- 605 14. Gill PS, Shahi HN. Effect of nitrogen levels on relation to age of seedling and time of transplanting
606 on the growth, yield and milling characteristics of rice. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 1987;57(9):630-634.
- 607 15. Hariom R, Joon K, Singh OP. Effect of age of seedlings and different date of transplanting on the
608 growth and yield of rice. *Indian J. Agron.* 1989;34(3):325-327.
- 609 16. Hossain M, Deb UK. Liberalization of Rice Sector: Can Bangladesh with stand Regional
610 Competition? Poster paper presented at PETRRA Communication Fair 2003 held at Hotel Sheraton,
611 Dhaka on August 10-11; 2003.
- 612 17. Hossain SMA, Salam MU, Sattar M, Ahmed JU. Hill population in rice researchers' findings and
613 farmers' technology. *Bangladesh J. Agril. Sci.* 1989;16:213-217.
- 614 18. Hossain SMA, Sattar M, Ahmed JU. Spacing and seedling age in rice. *Component Technology*
615 *Research. CSRDP, BAU, Mymensingh, Bangladesh.* 1983: pp.12-13.
- 616 19. IRRI. Annual Report. Manila (Philippines): International Rice Research Institute;1997.
- 617 20. Kamdi JT, Hatwar KG, Bodhe GN, Patil SM. Effect of age of seedling at transplanting on yield of
618 rice varieties. *J. Soils and Crops.* 1991;1(2):154-156.
- 619 21. Kewat ML, Agrawal SB, Agrawal KK, Sharma RS. Effect of divergent plant spacings and age of
620 seedlings on yield and economics of hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Indian J. Agron.* 2002;47:367-371.
- 621 22. Makarim AK, Balasubramanian V, Zaini Z, Syamsiah I, Diratmadja IGPA, Arafah H, Wardana IP, Gani
622 A. System of Rice Intensification (SRI): Evaluation of seedling age and selected components in Indonesia.
623 In: Bouman BAM, A Hengsdijt A, B Hardy, PS Bindraban, TP Tuong and JK Ladha (Eds.), *Water-wise*
624 *Rice Production, IRRI; 2002:pp. 129-139.*
- 625 23. Mannan MA, Siddique JB. Effect of seedling age and date of planting on the growth and yield of
626 photo-period sensitive rice. *Bangladesh Rice J.* 1991;2(1-2):104-106.
- 627 24. McHugh O. Farmer alternative wet/dry, non flooded and continuously flooded irrigation practices in
628 traditional and intensive systems of rice cultivation in Madagascar. MS Thesis. Cornell University.
629 Ithaca, NY, USA; 2002.
- 630 25. Murthy KK, Pillai KG, Srinivasn TE and Ramprasad AS. Rice varieties and technological improvement
631 for late planting during the main kharif season. *Seeds and Farms.*1993;49: 9-13.
- 632 26. Nandini DK, Singh AI. Influence of seedling age and plant density on the performance of rice. Central
633 Agricultural University, College of Agriculture, Imphal, Manipur, India; 2000.
- 634 27. Padalia CR.. Effect of age of seedling on the growth and yield of transplant rice. *Oryza.* 1981;18(3):165-
635 167.

- 636 28. Prasad K, Singh R, Singh S. Effect of seedling age and number of seedling per hill on the yield of
637 rice in sodic soil. *Curr. Agric.* 1992:16(1-2):67-70.
- 638 29. Raju RA, Reddy GV, Reedy MN. Response of long duration rice to spacing and age of seedlings.
639 *Indian J. Agron.* 1989:31(4):506-507.
- 640 30. Rao CP, Raju MS. Effect of age of seedling, nitrogen and spacing on rice. *Indian J. Agron.*
641 1987:32:100–102.
- 642 31. Rashid MA, Aragon ML, Denning GL. Influence of variety, seedling age and nitrogen on growth
643 and yield of rice grown on saline soil. *Bangladesh Rice J.* 1990:1(1): 37-47.
- 644 32. Reddy SN, Narayana P. Effect of age of seedlings and different dates of transplanting on yield and
645 yield components of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Res. Bull. Marathwada Agril. Univ.* 1981:5(5/12):18-21.
646 [Rice Abst. 7(12): 152. 1984].
- 647 33. Roy BC, Sattar SH, Gaffer MA, Islam MA. Seedlings age effect on yield of irrigated rice.
648 *Bangladesh Rice J.* 1992: 3(1 & 2):83-88.
- 649 34. Singh KK, Yadav SK, Tomar BS, Singh JN, Singh PK. Effect of seedling age on seed yield and seed
650 quality attributes in rice (*Oryza sativa*) cv. Pusa Basmati-1. *Seed Res.* 2004:32(1):5-8.
- 651 35. Singh RS, Singh SB. Effect of age of seedlings, N levels and time of application on growth and
652 yield of rice under irrigated condition. *Oryza.* 1999:36(4):351-354.
- 653 36. Singh RS, Singh SB. Response of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) to age of seedlings and level and time of
654 application of nitrogen under irrigated condition. *Indian J. Agron.* 1998:43(4):632-635.
- 655 37. Sundar Singh SD, Gurujan B, Sabaiah V, Subramanian V. Note on the performance of rice Co-40 at
656 different age of planting in single crop periar canal command area. *Madras Agril. J.* 1983:70:821-
657 822.
- 658 38. Teetharappan TS, Palaniappan SP. 1984. Optimum seedling age transplanting short duration rice.
659 *Int. Rice Res. Newsl.* 1984:9:2-29.
- 660 39. Thanunathan K, Sivasubramanian V. Age of seedling and crop management practices for high
661 density (HD) grain in rice. *Crop Res.* 2002:24:421–424.
- 662 40. Venugopal K, Singh RD. Effect of plant density and age of seedling on the yield of DR 92 rice
663 variety in Sikkim. *Oryza.* 1985:22:162-165.
- 664 41. Wagh RG, Khanvilkar SA, Thorat ST. Effect of age of seedlings at transplanting, plant densities
665 and nitrogen fertilization on the yield of rice variety R711. *Oryza.* 1988:25:188-190.

666 42. Wang S, Cao W, Jiang D, Dai T, Zhu Y. Physiological characteristics and high-yield techniques with
667 SRI rice. *In: Assessments of the System of Rice Intensification. Proc. Intl. Conf., Sanya, China.*
668 Apr. 1-4; 2002: pp.116-124. [www. bank-brrri.org](http://www.bank-brrri.org).