



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012 IJTDH 2606
Title of the Manuscript:	SCHISTOSOMIASIS IN IPOGUN: UPDATE ASSESSMENT ON ENDEMICITY AND EFFICACY OF PRAZIQUANTEL IN CHEMOTHERAPY.

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Line 141: Gender prevalence has to be corrected to 19.7% among males because there are 58 positives among 294 examined male pupils and 7% among female pupils because there are 42 positives among 273 examined female pupils. - Line 163 :Title of table 2: it is better to change the title of table 2 to: Prevalence of <i>Schistosoma haematobium</i> infection among school pupils according to Gender. - Table 2: calculation of the percentage of positive pupils according to gender has to be related to the total number examined in each school among male and female pupils not related to the total population as calculated in the table. - Fig 1: The Y-axis must present percent of positives not the number of positives. - Line 185 & 186: infection according to gender has to be discussed according to the percentage infected not to absolute numbers. - As the authors have data about the number of <i>S.haematobium ova</i>/10 ml urine as mentioned in the materials and methods, it is recommended to present these data as percentage of reduction in the geometric mean egg count/10 ml of urine after praziquantel treatment.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Lines 61-65: a reference must be cited for this conclusion. - Lines 93 & 95: reference: Colley 2001 must be	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Colley et al. 2001., Reference Hagan 2004 must be Hagan et al 2004., Fenwick 2006 must be Fenwick et al 2003. 3. Table 1: for calculation of percentages, it is preferred that numbers has one decimal number. 4. Line 187: to reach the conclusion that the pupils from schools that were close to the village river had the highest prevalence of infection, the authors must mention in the results which schools are close to or far from the village river.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Materials and methods: methods used are suitable and sufficient details of the methods are provided. 2. Results and discussion: analysis of the data has to be corrected as mentioned in the previous comments. 3. References: are relevant and adequate.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rashida Mohammed Rashid Barakat
Department, University & Country	Tropical health Department, High Institute of Public Health, University of Alexandria, Egypt.