

SDI Review Form 1.6

SCIENCEDOMAIN international
www.sciencedomain.org



PART 1:	
Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/IJTDH/2457
Title of the Manuscript:	Adopt a village, rural rabies prevention project: A 'one health' experiment in India

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Although it's impressive what was done during the two years, the paper cannot be published in the present version as a scientific paper. The manuscript describes what was done for increasing rabies awareness in 3 Indian villages. No intervention was done in 3 other villages which served as control group. This is an interesting approach and it was reported that the difference was significant between the intervention and control villages (line 173-175). However, it was not mentioned how this difference was estimated (only a p-value was presented). Also, the methodology, results and discussion is not well ordered. I suggest describing in the methodology what was done as interventions (as in line 101-114, but in much more detail, as it was done in the results part). Also, the surveillance procedure was unclear. The result part should include the estimation of the differences of the intervention and control villages. Also, the results of the surveillance can be reported in the results. The discussion should focus more on the One Health topic, because this is the main topic of this paper. Also, the economic part is just mentioned very briefly. If this should be reported in the manuscript, it has to be described in more detail how these cost were summed up.	This paper is an overview of the project work. Hence, the paper is a concise report of the project. The detailed project report is available on website of Rabies in Asia Foundation vide. http://www.rabiesinasia.org/AVVP.pdf The same is cited as reference no.8
Minor REVISION comments	There are lot of missing blanks and other spelling errors; check the English before publishing. The reference list is very short.	Complied. Two more recent and relevant references are added.
Optional/General comments	It would be nice to seen published this study, because it could serve as a model for other areas (as mentioned by the authors). But it has to be revised substantially.	Thank You, This has been revised.