



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_3276
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Hepatitis B Virus Infection among Egyptian Pregnant Women - A Single Center Study

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1 .Methodology did not state that the patients were randomly selected as reported in your results section. 2. How did you come about 35 confirmed HBsAg +ve patients when you had earlier on stated that 2 turned to be negative after confirmatory ELISA test? 3. How many were HBeAg positive? Or they were not tested for? 4. You had earlier stated that previous history of dental extractions, filling or gum treatments were not significant risk factors. How come in your conclusion that previous visit to dentists is now an important risk factor? 5. Discussion: There were lots of mixed ups, incoherent thoughts. Lots of language construction errors and grammatical mistakes. These need to be addressed properly.	Randomly chosen pregnant women phrase is added to the methodology Clarified We did not test for HBeAg in this study, we only did HBsAg. Corrected Revised and corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	As stated above	