



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_5029
Title of the Manuscript:	Neurocysticercosis: A Health Burden in Nepal
Type of the Article	Research paper (Should be Classified as a review)

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

[\(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline\)](http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comments (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlight <i>that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
<u>Compulsory</u>	I regret to say that the author did not work between lines on the previously proposed comments. i. The manuscript does not fall under the “International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health” format. ii. The fact that this is a “Research Paper” as they worked with 60 epileptic patients positive to NCC based on CT scan and MRI and they further employed EITB test, a kit donated by CDC, the paper should inevitably follow the IJTDPH publication format. On this, with exception to comment (iv) which was accordingly effected, the remaining comments apply.	This is a review article. We have supplemented our data when it comes using figures to demonstrate a certain concept. Accordingly it is the correct format. We are requesting this paper to be classified as a review article. Yes, we have analysed some samples. Our observations substantiate previous observations by others. Since it is really not new spectacularly new data as we had hoped, we have decided to incorporate our observations into the form of a review. Please accept our request.
<u>Minor</u>	None	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		