



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_6390
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, attitude, and practices related to dengue among caretakers of elementary school children in Chanthaburi Province, Thailand

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Line 47 on the original manuscript and line 67 on the revised manuscript – suggested change was not implemented (replacing the word 'through' with the word 'to')	We have effected this change in the revised manuscript (line 67, page 2)
Line 130: 80% were 30-49 years old, but when I add numbers in Table 1, i.e. 44.7% (30-39 years) and 36.4% (40-49 years), I get 81.1% - please verify	We have verified that the correct value is 81.1% and corrected accordingly (line 130, page 3)
Line 254-256: I wonder if there are any other possible explanations for the fact that civil servants were less likely to use repellents despite their odds of having more knowledge being 3.4 times higher than factory labourers (refer to line 156-157). If there are no other possible explanations, you can think of, you don't have to worry about this comment.	We can't think of other possible explanations for this finding besides what we have already mentioned in lines 254-256 on page 10. The odds of having higher knowledge of dengue among civil servants was in fact lost in adjusted analysis.