

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Tue, Jan 29, 2013 at 6:28 PM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: Re: Request for final decision for manuscript number 2012 IJTDH 2732

Paper still returned with many grammatical and semantic errors. Publication requires careful editing by a scientific writer, as I mentioned previously. Publication of the paper should also depend on editorial policy. This is a very good summary of the thinking about kala azar in India and is a very good literature review from a very knowledgeable team. It is not a systematic review, nor is it a critical review, but more like an editorial, introducing no new information, or providing evidence to change strategy or policy. In fact it reads like the summary of review prepared for WHO or some other national policy organization. This is not to say it is unimportant - kala azar is truly neglected - and the article may generate needed interest. Still, the authors clearly need more time to repair the text. I hope the next time I see this draft it is final. I have made a few changes in the text to provide an example for the authors. The paper also requires more detail about the review process, as I say in the text.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Carl Kendall

Department of Global Community Health and Behavioral Sciences, Tulane University

School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, USA

Note: Modification was done in this document ONLY to hide the email id.