

RATES AND RISK FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH SURGICAL SITE INFECTIONS IN A TERTIARY CARE CENTER IN SOUTH-WESTERN NIGERIA.

ABSTRACT

Background: Surgical site infections [SSI] are one of the most common nosocomial infections. This study was therefore carried out to determine the incidence and risk factors associated with surgical site infection among patients in a tertiary care center in Western Nigeria.

Methods: The study was a 6 months Retrospective cohort study designed to know the incidence of surgical site infections in the hospital. This reviewed Case files obtained from the surgical departments. This study was carried out between 23rd Jan. 2012 and 3rd Feb. 2012 at Olabisi Onabanjo University Teaching Hospital, Sagamu, Nigeria.

Result: A total of 386 surgical patients were recruited into the study. The overall incidence rate of SSI was 13.0%. None of the patients had more than one surgical site infection. Only 26.0% had clean wounds and 74% contaminated wounds. The children had the highest infection rate of 22.9% followed by [15.4%] among age 45-59 yrs. Factors associated with SSI were Pre-existing Medical Condition [$X^2=70.76$, $p=0.00001$] Department of Care [$X^2=11.68$, $p=0.039$] and age [$X^2=9.50$, $p=0.05$]. There was no statistically significant difference in the SSI rate among the respondents due to Sex [$X^2=1.74$, $p=0.187$], Operation site [$X^2=9.01$, $p=0.109$] and Occupation [$X^2=5.84$, $p=0.12$]. Forty-nine (98.0%) out of the 50 clinical surgical site infections were culture-positive and 20 (40.0%) of them had polymicrobial infection. The most frequently isolated bacteria were *Staphylococcus aureus*, 16 [32.7%], *E. Coli* 17 [34.7%], *Proteus miraidis* spp. 7 [14.3] and *Klebsiella* Spp. 9 [18.4%]. The mean length of postoperative stay was significantly longer for patients with SSI than for those without these infections (mean of 18.2 vs 7.5 days; $P<0.001$).

Pre-existing medical condition [OR=0.46, C.I=0.36-0.59] and length of hospital stay [OR=0.33, C.I=0.21-0.50] were predictors of risk of surgical site infection.

Conclusion: Surgical site infections are a considerable problem in surgical wards in Nigeria and other low income countries, with incidence rates being much higher than in other countries, particularly in clean wounds. Identification of risk factors for surgical site infections should encouraged the development of national recommendations for prevention. Monitoring system for surgical wound infections is necessary to suggest preventive and control measures which can result in a lowering of the infection.

Key words: Surgical site infection, Rates, Risk factors, Surgical wards, Nigeria

INTRODUCTION

Surgical site infections are one of the most common nosocomial infections besides pneumonia, urinary tract infections, and blood-stream infections [1]. Nosocomial infections are hospital-acquired infections that develop within a hospital or are acquired within a hospital [2]. The most common type of nosocomial infection is urinary tract infection, followed by pneumonia, wound infection, and *Clostridium difficile*–associated diarrhoea [3-5]. On surgical services, urinary tract infections are the most common, followed by surgical site infection [SSI], lower respiratory infection and bacteremia [2]. The 2002 survey report by the *Nosocomial Infection National Surveillance Service* which covered the period between October 1997 and September 2001 indicates that the incidence of hospital acquired infections related to surgical wound is as high as 10% and cost the National Health Service in the UK alone approximately 1 billion pounds. In the United States alone, these infections number approximately 500,000 per year, among an estimated 27 million surgical procedures, and account for approximately one quarter of the estimated 2 million Nosocomial infections in the United States each year [6-8].

Wound infections are a common complication of surgery that adds significantly to the morbidity of patients and costs of treatment. The global trend towards reducing length of hospital stay post-surgery and the increase in day case surgery means that surgical site infections (SSI) will increasingly occur after hospital discharge. This, poses a threat on hospital performance, physicians' efficiency and the general efficacy of surgery as a form of

58 therapy. Surgical Wound Infection is a type of healthcare-associated infection in which a
59 wound infection occurs after an invasive (surgical) procedure. The *US Centers for Disease*
60 *Control (CDC)* definition states that only infections occurring within 30 days of surgery (or
61 within a year in the case of implants) should be classified as SWIs.

62 Postoperative nosocomial infections (NIs) are the single most common class of complication
63 that can reach excessive levels while attracting very little attention. Many health care
64 providers and organizations such as the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
65 (CDC), the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations and the Surgical
66 Infection Society, consider that periodic audits of postoperative Nis should be mandatory
67 because surveys of this nature decrease infection rates by raising awareness of the issue[7] .
68 Unfortunately, economic constraints make it difficult to perform such studies. In 1992, a
69 standardized definition of SSIs was published by the Surgical Wound Infection Task Force.
70 This definition includes: the presence of purulent drainage; spontaneous drainage of fluid
71 from the wound, regardless of whether it is culture positive for bacteria; localized signs of
72 infection for superficial sites or radiologic evidence of infection for deep sites; an abscess or
73 other type of infection on direct surgical exploration; or a diagnosis of an infection by a
74 surgeon [8].

76 SSIs are among the most common hospital acquired infections comprising 14–16 percent of
77 inpatient infections [9]. SSI is a dangerous condition, a heavy burden on the patient and
78 social health system [10]. Such infections lengthen bed stay for an average of seven days.
79 Potential sources of infection are the patient (especially contamination by alimentary tract
80 bacteria), hospital environment, food, other patients, staff, infected surgical instruments,
81 dressings, and even drugs and injections [11]. SSIs have a significant effect on quality of life
82 for the patient and are associated with considerable morbidity and extended hospital stay
83 resulting in a considerable financial burden to healthcare seekers. A survey sponsored by
84 World Health Organization demonstrated a prevalence of nosocomial infections varying
85 from 3-21% with Surgical Wound Infections accounting for 5-34% [11]. Several studies have
86 reported community based data from their national registries for nosocomial infections[6-
87 8, 12]. However most of the studies have been done at tertiary care centres [13-17] with
88 few of this studies done in Africa. This study was therefore carried out to determine the

incidence and risk factors associated with surgical site infection among patients in a tertiary care center in Western Nigeria.

METHODOLOGY

STUDY POPULATION

A systematic chart review was carried out of all surgical interventions performed in the operating room between October 2010 and March 2011, as recorded in the operating room log book. Surgeries not involving an incision ($n = 25$) such as dilatation and curettage and closed reductions were also excluded.

STUDY DESIGN

The type of study carried out was a 6 months Retrospective cohort study designed to know the incidence of surgical site infections in the hospital. This reviewed Case files obtained from the departments of Surgery, O&G, ENT & Ophthalmology. This study was carried out between 23rd Jan. 2012 and 3rd Feb. 2012

STUDY LOCATION;

The study was conducted in Olabisi Onabanjo University Teaching Hospital, Sagamu, Southwest Nigeria .This hospital is funded by the government of Ogun State, in Southwest Nigeria and it serves as the referral centre for other health facilities in all the Local Government Areas in Remo and Ijebu regions of the State. These regions have a projected population of 1,186,282 people. In addition to providing emergency medical services to women referred from other centres, the hospital also provides outpatient services for Sagamu community and neighbouring towns. Patients are expected to pay for their services though in emergency situations, they are managed within the means of existing resources before funds are made available. The ART clinic is run with free drug provision from support from Federal Ministry of Health in collaboration with implementing partners. The hospital provides blood transfusion services from limited stock and relatives of patients are

requested to donate or pay for blood when blood transfusion is indicated, at times in cases of emergency.

DATA COLLECTION

The following data were collected on standardized pre-coded forms: age, sex, admission, operation and discharge date, presence of an underlying disease, smoking habits, type of operation (elective or emergency), wound class, duration of the operation, number of medical personnel during the operation, whether drainage was performed, duration of drainage, and American Society of Anesthesiologists' (ASA) preoperative assessment score, which categorizes patients into five subgroups according to preoperative physical status.

The CDC standardized surveillance criteria for defining surgical site infections, which involve interpretation of clinical and laboratory findings, were used to detect nosocomial infections. According to these criteria, a surgical site infection is an infection which occurs within 30 days after the operation if no implant is left in place or within 1 year if implant is in place and the infection appears to be related to the operation.

The tool used was a Questionnaire designed to extract relevant information from the case-notes obtained via Stratified random sampling method. It was administered and filled in with pertinent information from the case notes by the interviewer. The record brochure of surgeries carried out at the Hospital theatre between October 2010 and March 2011 were obtained from the medical records department.

Ethical Consideration

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Olabisi Onabanjo Teaching Hospital Ethics Board. Confidentiality on candidate's information was maintained.

Statistical analysis

Point estimates and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for incidence rate of surgical site infections were computed. χ^2 test (for categorical data) and t test (for variables with normal distribution) or Mann-Whitney U test (for parametric heterogeneous data) were performed to assess the relation between potential risk factors and outcome of interest. Results were

expressed as a percentage or as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Univariate analyses of the categorical outcome (development of surgical site infection) and each individual associated factor were conducted. Variables associated with surgical site infection in the univariate analysis were introduced to stepwise forward-Wald multivariate logistic regression analysis, with entry probability of 0.5 and probability of removal of 0.1. The -2 log-likelihood ratio test was used to test the overall significance of the predictive equation. The significance of the variables in the model was assessed by the Wald χ^2 test and confidence intervals. The fit of the model was assessed by the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit χ^2 test. List-wise deletion was used as conventional method for handling the missing data.

The level of statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$. Analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 15.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

UNDER PEER REVIEW

RESULTS

Study population and Patient Characteristics

A total of 386 surgical patients were recruited into the study, 198 [51.3%] were males and 188 [48.7%] were females. 48 [12.4%] of the participants were children, 87 [22.5%] were aged 15-30yrs, 141 [36.5%] were 31-45yrs, 65 [16.8%] were 45-59yrs and 45 [11.7%] were elderly above 60yrs. The mean age of the patients studied was 39.46 ± 7.32 . About one-third 140 [36.3%] were self employed, 114 [29.5%] had a white collar job, 88 [22.8%] were unemployed and 44 [11.4%] were students. The body mass index for 20 patients (5.2%) was above 30, indicating obesity. About one-fifth of the patients (75 cases) suffered from accompanying conditions such as diabetes [5.2%], malignancy [3.4%], HIV [5.7%]. The rest [80.6%] were free of accompanying pre-existing medical conditions (Table 1). One hundred and thirty seven [35.5%] were receiving care at the General Surgery department, 85 [22.0%] at Orthopedics department, 74 [19.2%] at Obstetrics and Gynaecology department, 41 [10.6%] at Paediatrics Surgery, 36 [9.3%] at Ophthalmology and 13 [3.4%] at Ear, nose and throat department. The socio-demographic characteristics of the participants are summarized in Table 1.

Incidence of Wound Infection among Surgical Patients

The overall incidence rate of wound infection among the surgical patients was 13.0%. None of the patients had more than one surgical site infection. we found clean wounds in 26.0% and contaminated wounds in 74%. The children had the highest infection rate of 22.9% followed by [15.4%] among age 45-59 yrs. This was statistically significant [$X^2=9.50$, $p=0.05$]. Males [15.2%] had a higher infection rate than [10.6%] females [$X^2=1.74$, $p=0.187$]. Students [20.5%] had the highest infection rate among the occupational group followed by those with white collar jobs [16.7%]. Those that are self employed [10.0%] and unemployed [9.1%] had the least infection rates. Those with Orthopaedics cases [21.2%] had the highest incidence of wound infection followed by Paediatrics Surgery [14.6%] while Ophthalmology had no case of wound infection. The SSI for Diabetes Mellitus was 25.0%, Obesity [35.0%] HIV/AIDS [45.5%] and Malignancies [61.5%]. (Table 1).

Those operated on the Upper limb [22.2%] and thorax[18.8%] had the highest incidence, followed by those operated on Lower Limb [15.9%], Abdomen [13.8%], Pelvis & Perineum [13.2%]. The Head and Neck [3.0%] had the least infection rate.

Pathogens

Forty-nine (98.0%) out of the 50 clinical surgical site infections were culture-positive and 20 (40.0%) of them had polymicrobial infection. The most frequently isolated bacteria were *Staphylococcus aureus*, Organism isolated from the wound biopsy were *Staph aureus* 16 [32.7%], *E. Coli* 17 [34.7], *Proteus miraidisspp.* 7 [14.3] and *Klebsiella Spp.* 9 [18.4%]. It was observed that 84.2% of the patients submitted to clean surgery and 98.7% of the contaminated ones, used prophylactic antibiotics on average. Prophylaxis antibiotics used included Cefrazone [12.0%], Metronidazone [32.0%], Ampiclox [18.0%] Gentcin [20.0%] and Ciprofloxacin [18.0%].

Impact of surgical site infections

The mean length of postoperative stay was significantly longer for patients with surgical site infections than for those without these infections (mean of 44.2 vs 23.5 days; $P<0.001$). Surgical wound infection rate was statistically significantly associated with Length of stay in the hospital [$\chi^2=47.65$, $p=0.0001$],

Factors associated with Surgical site infection

Factors associated with surgical wound infection were Pre-existing Medical Condition [$\chi^2=70.76$, $p=0.00001$] Department of Care [$\chi^2=11.68$, $p=0.039$] and age [$\chi^2=9.50$, $p=0.05$].

There was no statistically significant difference in the Surgical wound infection rate among the respondents due to Sex [$\chi^2=1.74$, $p=0.187$], Operation site [$\chi^2=9.01$, $p=0.109$] and Occupation [$\chi^2=5.84$, $p=0.12$] as shown in table 1 below.

In the multiple logistic regression models, two variables were found to be independently associated with Surgical wound infection rate among the patients. These are pre-existing medical condition [OR=0.46, C.I=0.36-0.59] and length of hospital stay [OR=0.33, C.I=0.21-0.50]. This is as shown in Table 3.

DISCUSSION

The incidence rate of surgical site infections found in the present study was 13.0%. The incidence rate in our study was remarkably higher than the incidence rates in patients from developed countries [18-20], but similar to the rates in developing countries [21-22]. High rates of contaminated, dirty, and trauma-related wounds in our study might have contributed to the high incidence of surgical site infections. On the other hand, the elevated surgical site infection rates of clean wounds can be explained by the lack of financial resources, outdated equipment, and limited ventilation in the operating theatre, as well as limited application of infection control measures.

Our study supports the previously published results that an infection following the surgical intervention prolongs the length of hospitalization [23-24]. Only a small proportion of patients (19.4%) were hospitalized for less than one week. SSI is a dangerous condition, a heavy burden on the patient and social health system. Potential sources of infection are the patient (especially contamination by alimentary tract bacteria), hospital environment, food, other patients, staff, infected surgical instruments, dressings, and even drugs and injections. This is one of the factors to be taken into account. Thus by reducing pre-operation bed stay we may decrease SSI.

Paediatric age group was found to be statistically significantly associated with surgical site infection in this study. This has been reported by various studies [24-26] [4,5]. This may be due to the fact that children may likely present with dirty and contaminated wounds before surgery and a fall in the body immunological efficiency, causing more extensive SSI. The fact that SSI is not statistically significantly associated with sex, in this study is in agreement with several other reported studies [24-25]. The pre-existing medical conditions varying between Malignancy (26%), Obesity (23%), RVD (33%), Diabetes mellitus (16%) was significantly associated with SSI. The literature shows that SSI increases with obesity, one reason being a decrease in blood circulation in fat tissues [25]. Immunosuppression is a strong factor predisposing to SSI and other conditions are associated with lowered immunity to infections [26].

Staphylococcus aureus and gram negative bacteria were the predominant causative agents, as in other studies in a similar setting [27]. Eradication of *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal carriage with mupirocin was found to be effective, this measure reduced the surgical site infections rates in some studies[28]. Currently, the use of prophylactic antibiotics is recommended in contaminated procedures. In clean surgeries it is indicated when prostheses are implanted, or in patients with risk factors (obesity, diabetes, immunosuppression, etc.) and also in cases in which an infection could lead to catastrophic results, such as neurosurgical procedures [29-31]. However, there are controversies about the use of antibiotics in clean surgeries, even with polypropylene prostheses, such as inguinal hernias [32]. The procedures considered dirty or infected deserve therapeutic use, i.e. for an extended period.

It was observed that 84.2% of the patients submitted to clean surgery and 98.7% of the contaminated ones, used prophylactic antibiotics on average for a slightly longer time than recommended in the literature. It is known that the antimicrobials should be used carefully in order to avoid selecting multiresistant pathogens [29-30]. The agents most commonly involved in the development of infection in the clean procedures are from the patient's normal microflora, generally *S. aureus* and *Coagulase-negative S*, while in the contaminated procedures the infection is generally polymicrobial [33]. The antimicrobials used in this study were in accordance with the probable microflora of the surgical area involved. The antibiotic should be administered about half an hour to one hour before the incision, so that at the beginning of the procedure it will achieve minimum inhibitory concentration in the tissue. It is unnecessary to use it for a long time, after 24 hours, during the postoperative period, and recent studies show that it does not improve the rates of infection[34]. Although the study was performed at a university hospital, with the active presence of the Hospital Infection Control Committee and Antibiotics Committee, and in-house publication of standards and periodical meetings with the medical services, the rates of infection and the duration of antibiotic use were above the recommended level.

SSI rates were found to be highest in Orthopaedic cases. This may be because of the increase in rate of infection in amputations due to arterial compromise. Studies [33, 35] have reported that host factors such as poor tissue oxygenation increase the risk of infection. O/G and general surgical cases had a relatively high degree of SSIs, considering that most of their cases were clean compared with the other surgical specialties. Ear, nose and throat (ENT) had an SSI rate of 2.0% and Ophthalmology SSI rate of [0.0%]. We can relate this low infection rate to the high degree of oxygen tension in the richly vascular head and neck area [35]. In addition, the mean duration of procedures is short, which minimizes infections.

Conclusion

Surgical site infections are a considerable problem in surgical wards in Nigeria, with incidence rates being much higher than in other countries, particularly in clean wounds. Identification of risk factors for surgical site infections should encouraged the development of national recommendations for prevention. A monitoring system for surgical wound infections is necessary to suggest preventive and control measures which can result in a lowering of the infection.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

AOS participated in the study design and conducted data collection. OEA conceived the study theme, participated in the study design, supervised data collection and prepared the final manuscript. KOF was involved in Data collection and analysis. ALL authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We hereby acknowledge medical students and residents in the department during the collection of data for their encouragement and motivation during the design and conduct of the study.

REFERENCES

1. National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance (NNIS) System Report, data summary from January 1992 through June 2004, issued October 2004. *Am J Infect Control*. 2004;32:470–85. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2004.10.001.
2. John JF Jr. Nosocomial infection rates at a General Army Hospital. *Am J Surg* 1977;134:381-4.
3. Ronveaux O, Mertens R, Dupont Y. Surgical wound infection surveillance: results from the Belgian hospital network. *Acta Chir Belg* 1996;96:3-10.
4. Mertens R, Jans B, Kurz X. A computerized nationwide network for nosocomial infection surveillance in Belgium. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1994;15:171-9.
5. Habte-Gabr E, Gedebo M, Kronvall G. Hospital-acquired infections among surgical patients in Tikur Anbessa Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Am J Infect Control* 1988;16:7-13.
6. Dumpis U, Balode A, Vigante D, et al. Prevalence of nosocomial infections in two Latvian hospitals. *Euro Surveill* 2003;8(3):73-8.
7. Weiss CA, Statz CL, Dahms RA, et al. Six years of surgical wound infection surveillance at a tertiary care center: review of the microbiologic and epidemiological aspects of 20 007 wounds. *Arch Surg* 1999;134:1041-8.
8. National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance (NNIS) System report, data summary from January 1990–May 1999, issued June 1999. *Am J Infect Control* 1999;27:520-32.
9. Skarzyska J, Cienciala A, Madry R, Barucha P, Kwasniak M, Wojewoda T, Sroga J. Hospital infection in general surgery wards. *Przegl Epidemiol*. 2000;54:299–304.

- 333 10. Troillet N, Petignant C, Matter M, Eisenring MC, Mosimann F, Francioli P. Surgical site
334 infection surveillance: an effective preventive measure. *Rev Med Suisse Romande*.
335 2001;**121**:125–8.
- 336 11. Burkitt Jf. Identification of the sources of staphylococci contaminating the surgical
337 wound during operation. *Ann Surg*. 1963;**158**:898–904.
- 338 12. Horan TC, Gaynes RP, Martone WJ, et al. CDC definitions of nosocomial surgical site
339 infections. *Am J Infect Control* 1992;20:271-4.
340
- 341 13. Malangoni MA. Surgical site infections: the cutting edge. In: *Current opinion in infectious*
342 *diseases*. Philadelphia (PA): Lippincott–Raven; 1998. p. 465-9.
343
- 344 14. Economou SG, Deziel DJ, Witt TR, et al. *Rush University review of surgery*. 2nd ed.
345 Philadelphia (PA): WB Saunders; 1994. p. 117.
346
- 347 15. Bowton DL. Nosocomial pneumonia in the ICU — year 2000 and beyond. *Chest* 1999;115
348 (3 suppl):28S-33S.
349
- 350 16. Haley RW, Culver DH, Morgan WM, et al. Identifying patients at high risk of surgical
351 wound infection: a simple multivariate index of patient susceptibility and wound
352 contamination. *Am J Epidemiol* 1985;121:206-15.
353
- 354 17. Mangram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML, Silver LC, Jarvis WR. Guideline for prevention of
355 surgical site infection, 1999. Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. *Infect*
356 *Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 1999;20:250–80. doi: 10.1086/501620.
- 357 18. Lecuire F, Gontier D, Carrere J, Giordano N, Rubini J, Basso M. Ten-year surveillance of
358 nosocomial surgical site infections in an orthopedic surgery department. *Rev Chir Orthop*
359 *Reparatrice Appar Mot*. 2003;89:479–86. [in French]

- 360 19. Gastmeier P, Sohr D, Brandt C, Eckmanns T, Behnke M, Rüden H. Reduction of
361 orthopaedic wound infections in 21 hospitals. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2005;125:526–30.
362 doi: 10.1007/s00402-005-0036-y.
- 363 20. Whitehouse JD, Friedman ND, Kirkland KB, Richardson WJ, Sexton DJ. The impact of
364 surgical-site infections following orthopedic surgery at a community hospital and a
365 university hospital: adverse quality of life, excess length of stay, and extra cost. *Infect*
366 *Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2002; 23:183–9. doi: 10.1086/502033.
- 367 21. Kasatpibal N, Jamulitrat S, Chongsuvivatwong V. Standardized incidence rates of surgical
368 site infection: a multicenter study in Thailand. *Am J Infect Control.* 2005;33:587–94. doi:
369 10.1016/j.ajic.2004.11.012.
- 370 22 Thu LT, Dibley MJ, Ewald B, Tien NP, Lam LD. Incidence of surgical site infections and
371 accompanying risk factors in Vietnamese orthopaedic patients. *J Hosp Infect.* 2005;60:360–
372 7. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2005.02.006.
- 373 23. Vegas AA, Jodra VM, García ML. Nosocomial infection in surgery wards: a controlled
374 study of increased duration of hospital stays and direct cost of hospitalization. *Eur J*
375 *Epidemiol.* 1993;9:504–10.
- 376 24. Schwartz SI, Comshires G, Spencer FC, Dally GN, Fischer J, Galloway AC. *Principles of*
377 *surgery.* 7. NY: McGraw-Hill companies; 1999. p. 83.
- 378 25. Nystrom PO, Jonstam A, Hojer H, Ling L. Incision infection after colorectal surgery in
379 obese patients. *Acta chir scand.* 1987;153:225–7.
- 380 26. Habte-Gabr E, Gedebau M, Kronvall G. Hospital-acquired infections among surgical
381 patients in Tikur Anbessa Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Am J Infect Control.* 1988:7–13.
- 382 27. Thu LT, Dibley MJ, Ewald B, Tien NP, Lam LD. Incidence of surgical site infections and
383 accompanying risk factors in Vietnamese orthopaedic patients. *J Hosp Infect.* 2005;60:360–
384 7. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2005.02.006.

28. Kalmeijer MD, Coertjens H, van Nieuwland-Bollen PM, Bogaers-Hofman D, de Baere GA, Stuurman A, et al. Surgical site infections in orthopedic surgery: the effect of mupirocin nasal ointment in a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2002;35:353–8. doi: 10.1086/341025.

29. Bell DM. Promoting appropriate antimicrobial drug use: perspective from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2001;33:S245-50.

30. Malone DL, Genuit T, Tracy JK, Gannon C, Napolitano LM. Surgical site infections: reanalysis of risk factors. *J Surg Res*. 2002;103:89-95.

31. Mangram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML, Silver LC, Jarvis WR. Guideline for prevention of surgical site infection, 1999. Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 1999;20:250-78.

32. Anderson DJ, Sexton DJ, Kanafani ZA, Auten G, Kaye KS. Severe surgical site in community hospitals: epidemiology, key procedures, and changing prevalence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2007;28:1047-53.

33. Webb AL, Flagg RL, Fink AS. Reducing surgical site infections through a multidisciplinary computerized process for preoperative prophylactic antibiotic administration. *Am J Surg*. 2006;192:663-8.

34. Karamanis E, Peppas G, Alexiou VG, Falagas ME. World Wide Web resources on prevention and treatment of postoperative infection. *Am J Surg*. 2008;196: 307-10.

35. Hopf HW, Hunt TK, West JM, et al. Wound tissue oxygen tension predicts the risk of wound infection in surgical patients. *Arch Surg* 1997;132:997-1004.

412 **Table 1: Socio-dermographic Characteristics and Surgical wound infection**

	Total	Wound infection Rate	X², p-value
Age			
<15 yrs	48 [12.4]	11 [22.9]	9.50, 0.05
16-30 yrs	87 [22.5]	7 [8.0]	
31-44 yrs	141 [36.5]	20 [14.2]	
45-59 yrs	65 [16.8]	10 [15.4]	
>60	45 [11.7]	2 [4.4]	
Total	386 [100.0]	50 [13.0]	
Sex			
Male	198 [51.3]	30 [15.2]	1.74, 0.187
Female	188 [48.7]	20 [10.4]	
Occupation			
White collar job	114 [29.5]	19 [16.7]	5.84, 0.12
Self employed	140 [36.3]	14 [10.0]	
Unemployed	88 [22.8]	8 [9.1]	
Students	44 [11.4]	9 [20.5]	
Dept of Care			
General Surgery	137 [35.5]	18 [13.1]	11.68, 0.039
Orthopedics	85 [22.0]	18 [21.2]	

Obs/Gynae	74 [19.2]	7 [9.5]	
Paediatric Surgery	41 [10.6]	6 [14.6]	
Ophthalmology	36 [9.3]	0 [0.0]	
Ear, nose & throat	13 [3.4]	1 [7.7]	

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

UNDER PEER REVIEW

431 **Table 2: Surgical Characteristics and wound infection**

	Total	Wound infection Rate	X ² , p-value
Pre-existing Medical Condition			
Nil	311 [80.6]	20 [6.4]	70.76, 0.00001
Diabetes Mellitus	20 [5.2]	5 [25.0]	
Obesity	20 [5.2]	7 [35.0]	
HIV/AIDS	22 [5.7]	10 [45.5]	
Malignancy	13 [3.4]	8 [61.5]	
Others			
Type of cases			8.71, 0.003
Minor cases	75 [19.4]	2 [2.7]	
Major cases	311 [80.6]	48 []	
Operation site			
Head and Neck	67 [17.4]	2 [3.0]	9.01, 0.109
Thorax	16 [4.1]	3 [18.8]	
Upper Limb	27 [7.0]	6 [22.2]	
Lower Limb	63 [16.3]	10 [15.9]	
Abdomen	160 [41.5]	22 [13.8]	
Pelvis and Perineum	53 [13.7]	7 [13.2]	

Length of stay in Hospital			
< 7 days	75 [19.4]	2 [2.7]	47.65, 0.0001
8-14 days	167 [43.3]	11 [6.6]	
15-21 days	104 [26.9]	20 [19.2]	
>21 days	40 [10.4]	17 [42.5]	

UNDER PEER REVIEW

433

434 Table 3: MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS- PREDICTORS OF SURGICAL SITE INFECTION

435

	Adjusted OR [95% C.I]	436
Age		437
<15 yrs	1.26 [0.89-1.80]	438
>15 yrs	1.00	439
Pre-existing Medical Condition		440
Nil Disease	0.46 [0.36-0.59]	441
Had a Chronic Disease	1.00	442
Length of stay in Hospital		443
< 7 days	0.33 [0.21-0.50]	444
> 7 days	1.00	445
Dept of Care		446
General Surgery	1.27 [0.92-1.76]	447
Specialty Surgery	1.00	448
		449

450

451

452