



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_5029
Title of the Manuscript:	Neurocysticercosis: A Health Burden in Nepal and Other Developing Countries
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Manuscript needs to be grammatically corrected.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Development of Human being as an intermediate host has not been discussed. The review has not commented upon the outcome of other diseases influenced by Cysticercosis. Kindly refer to (Del Brutto et al 1997; Desai et al 1997; Herrera 2000). Some statements seem unnecessary to the manuscript like – Line 171-172 “In addition to neurocysticercosis, the seizures may also be caused by other factors such as trauma, tumor mass, congenital factors, infections, etc. (Figure 6).” They may be removed. Some statements need clarification and justification like line 180-181 “sudden onset of symptoms of NCC is due to the status of the cyst as well as location of the cyst” Pathophysiology and its clinical correlation have	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	not been commented upon sufficiently. Some statements are directly taken from an article, although referenced, delineating plagiarism. That should be altered.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rohit Verma
Department, University & Country	Department of Psychiatry, Lady Hardinge Medical College, New Delhi, India