SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_IJTDH_11789**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparative efficacy of Amantadine Hydrochloride versus Amantadine Sulphate in treating Egyptian patients with chronic HCV**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	My comments Were typed on manuscript content.	.a1. Done A2. I removed the genotypes after rephrasing the sentence. A3. 30%of patients suffered from uncontrolled diabetes A4. I agree
Optional/General comments	Please clarify the ethical issue, if any	No conflict of interest