



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_10265
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF PERSONAL HYGIENE AND OF HEALTH STATUS OF STREET- FOOD VENDORS IN YAOUNDÉ (CAMEROON)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	• The abstract needs to be revised and rewritten to include the essential outcomes of this study • The results are poorly presented and therefore make it difficult to understand what they mean. • The results of the chi-square analyses are not shown in the work although it has been mentioned in the methodology. • The ethical considerations in this work need to be explained further since human subjects were used. • The Discussion needs to be rewritten to thoroughly explain the results from this study. Currently, it is just a review of literature and does not bring out essential findings and implications of the work.	The main result is been presented. Can not include all the outcomes; if not the abstract will be too long It might have been so because of some comments that were added. Those comments have been moved either to discussion or to method sections Amended Please, see lines 194-199 See current draft
Minor REVISION comments	Grammatical and spelling errors need to be corrected in the work	
Optional/General comments	The study contributes new knowledge to the public health field but the approach and presentation of results have not be properly done. The author(s) need to spend time on the paper to explain their methodology very well to readers, present all the relevant information in a manner that will be easily understandable to all readers	OK



SDI Review Form 1.6

	and explain the findings of their work thoroughly. The paper needs to be formatted to suit the journal's requirements. All the yellow shaded portions in the manuscripts need to be revised accordingly Please clarify the ethical issue, if any	It is done. Done Please, see lines 194-199
--	--	---