



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_10509
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitude and Practice on Dengue among Rural Communities in Rembau and Bukit Pelanduk, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	INTRODUCTION: It is well written, the objectives are clear. However the references are a bit old. I would like the authors to include more recent references. MATERIAL AND METHODS: Why did you choose these villages? There are a number of cases? What is the average population in these villages? The study was conducted in two villages and the interview was conducted with 100 people. Why the number of respondents is 400? Or were 200 people interviewed for each village? RESULTS: It is not necessary to repeat the number of respondents. Remove the first paragraph. You can discuss the profile of the respondents (age, education level, ethnicity and gender). The tables are far from the text. Should be included after the paragraph that references the table. DISCUSSION: Is clear and well written. In my opinion, would be more complete if some measures in health programs were sugeridas for these villages.	Done a literature search again BUT did not come across new publication on the topic within Malaysia. The villages were chosen by random sampling. The two areas (Rembau and Bukit Pelanduk) were chosen due to increasing number of dengue cases over the past few years. 2 villages for each area making a total of 4 villages sampled with 100 respondents from each village; making a total of 400 respondents. Agreed. Paragraph removed. Rearrangement of text and tables/figures done. Thanks and noted.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	This article presents an analysis of knowledge about dengue in rural comunidades of Malaysia. The manuscript is interesting and contributes to preventive measures and socio-educational to be devised. I believe that the manuscript can be published. However, some points should be reviewed prior to acceptance.	Comments noted and revisions done.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		