



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_10771
Title of the Manuscript:	Prescribing practices for uncomplicated malaria in a rural district in Ghana, 2012
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Introduction: First paragraph line 10 'Asenta and Asenso-Okyere revealed that nine workdays were lost Is this loss monthly , annually (be more specific) Discussion: Lines 33 and 34 ' In the bivariate analyses, fever (either by history or by measured axillary temperature was positively associated with conformity to guidelines at statistically significant levels	This is actually nine workdays lost per episode of malaria. Well noted and corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A relevant article for improved malaria case management.	