



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_11252
Title of the Manuscript:	A new focus of pleuro-pulmonary paragonimiasis in Manjo health district, Littoral Region of Cameroon.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The introduction is good, statetement of the problem and objectives are well defined in the introduction. In the methodology section the authors have to indicate where the figures (For instance Fig. 1 , Fig. 2 and all the other figures) can be found in the paper.	I think that it is by mistake that the reviewer is asking to indicate in methodology where all the figures can be found in the paper. We have done so for figure1 corresponding to the study site (page4). The other figures are indicated in the section # Results#: (figure 2 page 7; figure3 page 7; and figure 4 page8 .)
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Most of the references are old	We have replaced references 4, 14, and 15 by more recent references.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The work is good	