



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_11908
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Candiduria in infants from a tertiary care hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. It is important to describe whether those yeasts caused symptomatic infections (candiduria). A. If yes, what were those species and prevalence? What were the outcomes? B. If not, the title of the manuscript should be modified. 2. It would be informative to quantitate the amount of yeast in each urine sample in order to determine whether they are clinical significant. 3. It would be informative to investigate the risk factors for yeast colonization/infection. 4. With more data in the present study, the authors can discuss more about their findings with other previous reports in the section of discussion.	1. Yes, this study deals with symptomatic candiduria as the samples received in our laboratory were from symptomatic patients. A. <i>Candida albicans</i> (51.39%) was most common followed by <i>C.tropicalis</i> (27.77%), <i>C.krusei</i> (12.5%) and <i>C.parapsilosis</i> (8.3%) as shown in table 2. 2. The semiquantitative count of yeast is not recommended in CDC guidelines and hence was not performed at the time of study. So it cannot be included now. However, the samples of urine which grow candida were repeated to assess its significance. 3. Investigation of risk factors is a separate upcoming article. 4. Same has been incorporated in discussion.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Usually, the name of species should be italicized.	Name of the species has been italicized and same has been incorporated in the article.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		