SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_IJTDH_11908**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Prevalence of Candiduria in infants from a tertiary care hospital**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comments** (if agreed with reviewer, correct *the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The effort was good but not enough. A paper is too «poor» if it includes only the results of urine cultures of infants with *Candida*. Moreover one should decide if the paper focuses on microbiological matters or clinical ones. Statistical comparison is also necessary in order to draw conclusions. In any case the discussion should be more centered on candiduria in infants and not general information.	Discussion has been modified with focus on candiduria as suggested by reviewer.
Minor REVISION comments	English language needs correction	Corrections made.
Optional/General comments		