



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_11932
Title of the Manuscript:	ACHIEVING THE 6TH MDG: AN EVALUATION DRUG TREATMENT OF MALARIA IN SELECTED PHC IN OSUN STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This manuscript would be greatly improved if you could show whether the poor adherence to guideline-conform prescription has any influence on the success rate in pharmacotherapy of malaria.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	A list for abbreviations would be helpful. Some parts of the manuscript need editing. Page 7/lines 110-111: what do you mean with the statement "The pattern of prescription was statistically significant with respect to the facilities."? Table 4: always write the percentage in the second line, and make it more clear which values are given: n (%). Which values did you compare for significance (describe in more detail).	The abbreviations and acronyms have been provided not as a list but in the text. The issue of statistical significance has been explained. An additional table have also been added to clarify the issue. Appropriate prescription has been defined initially as ACT. Any other prescription is termed as inappropriate.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		